

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2014

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-5721

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its Charter)

New York 13-261557
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

520 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 460-1900
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

to submit and post such files).

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock at July 23, 2014 was 368,530,798.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

(Dollars in thousands, except par value)

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,186,725	\$3,907,595
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations	3,288,517	3,616,602
Financial instruments owned, including securities pledged of \$13,994,936 and \$13,253,537:		
Trading assets, at fair value	17,942,865	16,699,542
Available for sale securities	1,944,480	2,866,143
Total financial instruments owned	19,887,345	19,565,685
Investments in managed funds	251,188	57,285
Loans to and investments in associated companies	1,295,866	1,258,341
Securities borrowed	6,097,098	5,359,846
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	4,609,422	3,746,920
Securities received as collateral	126,106	11,063
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	2,601,721	2,180,996
Receivables from customers of securities operations	1,805,451	1,046,945
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net	936,923	885,859
Intangible assets, net	999,240	1,020,529
Goodwill	1,750,870	1,748,099
Deferred tax asset, net	1,742,268	1,809,943
Other assets	1,828,995	1,651,073
Total	\$51,407,735	\$47,866,781
LIABILITIES		
Short-term borrowings	\$12,000	\$12,000
Trading liabilities, at fair value	8,172,300	7,293,102
Securities loaned	2,901,159	2,506,122
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	11,668,130	10,779,845
Other secured financings	240,288	234,711
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	126,106	11,063
Payables to brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	1,477,030	1,379,243
Payables to customers of securities operations	5,623,278	5,208,768
Trade payables, expense accruals and other liabilities	1,660,870	1,721,934
Long-term debt – parent company	1,444,430	1,541,014
Long-term debt – subsidiaries	7,353,626	6,639,851
Total liabilities	40,679,217	37,327,653

Commitments and contingencies

MEZZANINE EQUITY

Redeemable noncontrolling interests	239,794	241,075
Mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred shares	125,000	125,000

EQUITY

Common shares, par value \$1 per share, authorized 600,000,000 shares; 368,536,178 and 364,541,333 shares issued and outstanding, after deducting 47,351,497 and 46,695,470 shares held in treasury	368,536	364,541
Additional paid-in capital	4,990,102	4,881,031
Accumulated other comprehensive income	561,305	538,050
Retained earnings	4,430,738	4,318,840
Total Leucadia National Corporation shareholders' equity	10,350,681	10,102,462
Noncontrolling interest	13,043	70,591
Total equity	10,363,724	10,173,053

Total	\$51,407,735	\$47,866,781
-------	--------------	--------------

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

2

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Revenues:				
Beef processing services	\$2,003,612	\$1,920,004	\$3,889,366	\$3,708,817
Commissions	167,378	146,848	329,441	146,848
Principal transactions	175,734	141,680	456,788	324,399
Investment banking	331,149	277,134	745,469	277,134
Interest income	291,012	264,706	548,672	270,402
Net realized securities gains	11,661	9,500	17,055	239,391
Other	117,287	125,443	250,909	215,937
Total revenues	3,097,833	2,885,315	6,237,700	5,182,928
Interest expense	245,779	209,590	442,332	209,590
Net revenues	2,852,054	2,675,725	5,795,368	4,973,338
Expenses:				
Cost of sales	2,041,331	1,921,651	3,987,006	3,752,114
Compensation and benefits	424,167	409,378	990,004	449,745
Floor brokerage and clearing fees	54,073	32,991	103,643	32,991
Interest	29,144	21,208	57,720	42,527
Depreciation and amortization	44,643	45,977	86,130	73,475
Selling, general and other expenses	187,418	195,574	379,842	250,425
	2,780,776	2,626,779	5,604,345	4,601,277
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and income related to associated companies	71,278	48,946	191,023	372,061
Income related to associated companies	35,345	34,863	55,381	65,671
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	106,623	83,809	246,404	437,732
Income tax provision	43,775	23,235	93,965	73,002
Income from continuing operations	62,848	60,574	152,439	364,730
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$1,670, \$(1,217), \$2,934 and \$(3,042)	3,102	(2,423)	5,448	(5,965)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of income tax provision of \$0, \$207, \$0 and \$32	500	385	500	60
Net income	66,450	58,536	158,387	358,825
Net (income) loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest	912	729	(1,625)	1,351
Net (income) loss attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interests	(1,273)	(5,638)	4,659	(1,107)
Preferred stock dividends	(1,015)	(1,015)	(2,031)	(1,354)
Net income attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders	\$65,074	\$52,612	\$159,390	\$357,715

(continued)

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

3

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations, continued

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Basic earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$.16	\$.14	\$.40	\$ 1.14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	.01	-	.02	(.02)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	-	-	-	-
Net income	\$.17	\$.14	\$.42	\$ 1.12
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$.16	\$.14	\$.40	\$ 1.12
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	.01	-	.02	(.02)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	-	-	-	-
Net income	\$.17	\$.14	\$.42	\$ 1.10
Amounts attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders:				
Income from continuing operations, net of taxes	\$61,469	\$54,405	\$153,419	\$363,110
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	3,105	(2,178)	5,471	(5,455)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations, net of taxes	500	385	500	60
Net income	\$65,074	\$52,612	\$159,390	\$357,715

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

4

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 66,450	\$ 58,536	\$ 158,387	\$ 358,825
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on investments arising during the period, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(759), \$(28,124), \$1,634 and \$(23,788)	(1,367)	(50,653)	2,943	(42,844)
Less: reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income (loss), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(950), \$3,027, \$(1,438) and \$117,170	1,712	(5,453)	2,590	(211,037)
Net change in unrealized holding gains (losses) on investments, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$191, \$(31,151), \$3,072 and \$ (140,958)	345	(56,106)	5,533	(253,881)
Net unrealized foreign exchange gains (losses) arising during the period, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(119), \$258, \$(57) and \$(680)	2,643	(11,003)	16,540	(12,692)
Less: reclassification adjustment for foreign exchange (gains) losses included in net income (loss), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0	—	—	—	—
Net change in unrealized foreign exchange gains (losses), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(119), \$258, \$(57) and \$(680)	2,643	(11,003)	16,540	(12,692)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives arising during the period, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(279), \$(6), \$(187) and \$(4)	(503)	(11)	(338)	(6)
Less: reclassification adjustment for derivative (gains) losses included in net income (loss), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0	—	—	—	—
Net change in unrealized derivative gains (losses), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(279), \$(6), \$(187) and \$(4)	(503)	(11)	(338)	(6)
Net pension and postretirement gains (losses) arising during the period, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0	—	—	—	—
Less: reclassification adjustment for pension and postretirement (gains) losses included in net income (loss), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(422), \$(666), \$(844) and \$(1,332)	760	1,200	1,520	2,400
Net change in pension liability and postretirement benefits, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$422, \$666, \$844 and \$1,332	760	1,200	1,520	2,400

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	3,245	(65,920)	23,255	(264,179)
Comprehensive income (loss)	69,695	(7,384)	181,642	94,646
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest	912	729	(1,625)	1,351
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interests	(1,273)	(5,638)	4,659	(1,107)
Preferred stock dividends	(1,015)	(1,015)	(2,031)	(1,354)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders	\$ 68,319	\$ (13,308)	\$ 182,645	\$ 93,536

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

5

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	2014	2013
Net cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 158,387	\$ 358,825
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used for) operations:		
Deferred income tax provision	64,313	58,673
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements	61,312	50,587
Other amortization	(2,461)	19,624
Share-based compensation	62,778	32,443
Provision for doubtful accounts	6,570	6,380
Net securities gains	(17,055)	(239,391)
Income related to associated companies	(93,273)	(94,211)
Distributions from associated companies	83,058	59,130
Net (gains) losses related to real estate, property and equipment, and other assets	(1,643)	4,780
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	(500)	(92)
Net change in:		
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations	329,559	671,426
Trading assets	(1,468,688)	933,964
Investments in managed funds	(49,965)	4,835
Securities borrowed	(732,449)	(47,036)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	(852,859)	106,129
Receivables from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	(466,846)	(845,322)
Receivables from customers of securities operations	(756,884)	(144,938)
Other assets	(213,619)	(90,696)
Trading liabilities	1,071,678	(2,979,532)
Securities loaned	390,165	780,579
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	878,120	1,521,605
Payables to brokers, dealers and clearing organizations	192,375	205,037
Payables to customers of securities operations	406,233	(18,807)
Trade payables, expense accruals and other liabilities	(158,058)	95,643
Other	(2,434)	(2,119)
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	(1,112,186)	447,516
Net cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisitions of property, equipment and leasehold improvements, and other assets	(165,817)	(54,332)
Acquisitions of and capital expenditures for real estate investments	(1,285)	(15,993)
Proceeds from disposals of real estate, property and equipment, and other assets	7,376	18,646
Net change in restricted cash	5,000	86
Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations, net of expenses and cash of operations sold	—	3,054
Cash acquired upon acquisition of Jefferies Group LLC	—	3,017,958
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(22,463)	—
Cash paid and cash of real estate operations sold to HomeFed Corporation	(18,067)	—

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Advances on notes and other receivables	–	(1,907)
Collections on notes, loans and other receivables	9,789	11,470
Loans to and investments in associated companies	(947,200)	(423,059)
Capital distributions and loan repayment from associated companies	1,135,636	669,237
Deconsolidation of asset management entities	(207,965)	–
Purchases of investments (other than short-term)	(974,193)	(1,637,333)
Proceeds from maturities of investments	655,224	1,071,261
Proceeds from sales of investments	1,251,122	1,097,596
Other	(646)	670
Net cash provided by investing activities	726,511	3,757,354
(continued)		

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, continued

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	2014	2013
Net cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of debt, net of issuance costs	\$909,709	\$88,947
Change in short-term borrowing	–	(100,000)
Reduction of debt	(167,857)	(483,357)
Net proceeds from other secured financings	5,577	105,000
Issuance of common shares	1,056	578
Cash and cash equivalents of Crimson Wine Group, Ltd., which was spun off	–	(21,042)
Net distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests	–	(6,682)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(1,464)	(312,450)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	9,084	3,091
Purchase of common shares for treasury	(51,050)	(23,517)
Dividends paid	(46,488)	(45,191)
Other	1,364	1,796
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	659,931	(792,827)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	4,874	(4,358)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	279,130	3,407,685
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1, including cash classified as assets of discontinued operations	3,907,595	145,960
Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, including cash classified as assets of discontinued operations	\$4,186,725	\$3,553,645
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Interest	\$517,407	\$238,765
Income tax payments (refunds), net	\$8,451	\$6,100
Non-cash investing activities:		
Common stock issued for acquisition of Jefferies Group LLC	\$–	\$3,376,939
Issuance of mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred shares for acquisition of Jefferies Group LLC	\$–	\$125,000
Non-cash financing activities:		
Net assets excluding cash and cash equivalents of Crimson Wine Group, Ltd., which was spun off	\$–	\$175,958
Issuance of common shares for debt conversion	\$97,546	\$–

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

7

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

For the periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

(In thousands, except par value and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Leucadia National Corporation Common Shareholders						
	Common Shares \$1 Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Subtotal	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$244,583	\$1,577,528	\$705,129	\$4,240,028	\$6,767,268	\$367	\$6,767,635
Net income				357,715	357,715	(1,351)	356,364
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes			(264,179)		(264,179)		(264,179)
Acquisition of Jefferies Group LLC	119,363	3,257,576			3,376,939	356,180	3,733,119
Distribution of common shares of Crimson Wine Group, Ltd. to shareholders				(197,000)	(197,000)		(197,000)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests					–	3,091	3,091
Distributions to noncontrolling interests					–	(353,249)	(353,249)
Change in interest in consolidated subsidiary		(4,422)			(4,422)	4,422	–
Change in fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interests		22,434			22,434		22,434
Exercise of options to purchase common shares, including excess tax benefit	5	114			119		119
Purchase of common shares for treasury	(828)	(22,689)			(23,517)		(23,517)
Share-based compensation		32,443			32,443		32,443

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

expense							
Dividends (\$.125 per common share)				(46,499)	(46,499)		(46,499)
Other	1,255	1,051			2,306		2,306
Balance, June 30, 2013	\$364,378	\$4,864,035	\$ 440,950	\$4,354,244	\$ 10,023,607	\$ 9,460	\$ 10,033,067
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$364,541	\$4,881,031	\$ 538,050	\$4,318,840	\$ 10,102,462	\$ 70,591	\$ 10,173,053
Net income				159,390	159,390	1,625	161,015
Other comprehensive income, net of taxes			23,255		23,255		23,255
Contributions from noncontrolling interests					–	19,766	19,766
Distributions to noncontrolling interests					–	(1,464)	(1,464)
Deconsolidation of asset management entities					–	(77,475)	(77,475)
Change in fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interests		(1,013)			(1,013)		(1,013)
Exercise of options to purchase common shares, including excess tax benefit	7	155			162		162
Issuance of common shares for debt conversion	4,606	92,940			97,546		97,546
Purchase of common shares for treasury	(1,880)	(49,170)			(51,050)		(51,050)
Share-based compensation expense		62,778			62,778		62,778
Dividends (\$.125 per common share)				(47,492)	(47,492)		(47,492)
Other	1,262	3,381			4,643		4,643
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$368,536	\$4,990,102	\$ 561,305	\$4,430,738	\$ 10,350,681	\$ 13,043	\$ 10,363,724

See notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

8

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Nature of Operations

Leucadia National Corporation (“Leucadia” or the “Company”) is a diversified holding company engaged through its consolidated subsidiaries in a variety of businesses, including investment banking and capital markets (Jefferies Group), beef processing (National Beef Packing Company), manufacturing (Conwed Plastics and Idaho Timber), oil and gas exploration and production (Juneau Energy and Vitesse Energy), energy projects (Lake Charles Clean Energy and Oregon LNG) and asset management (Leucadia Asset Management). We also own equity interests in businesses which are not consolidated, including Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC, (commercial mortgage banking and servicing), Garcadia, (automobile dealerships), HomeFed Corporation (real estate), Linkem S.p.A. (wireless broadband services provider in Italy) and Harbinger Group Inc. (diversified holding company). We continuously review and consider new and add-on acquisitions and investments in businesses, securities and assets. Changes in the scale and mix of our businesses and investments should be expected.

On March 1, 2013, Jefferies Group LLC (“Jefferies”) became our largest wholly-owned subsidiary. Jefferies is a global full-service, integrated securities and investment banking firm. Jefferies shareholders received 0.81 of a share of our common shares for each share of Jefferies common stock they held (the "Exchange Ratio"). Prior to the closing, we owned 58,006,024 common shares of Jefferies, representing approximately 28% of the then outstanding common shares of Jefferies. Richard Handler, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Jefferies, was appointed the Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Leucadia, and Brian Friedman, the Chairman of the Executive Committee of Jefferies, was appointed President and a Director of Leucadia.

Jefferies has a November 30th fiscal year, which it retains for standalone reporting purposes. We reflect Jefferies in our consolidated financial statements utilizing a one month lag. We have reviewed Jefferies business and internal operating results for the month of June 2014 for the purpose of evaluating whether additional financial statement disclosure or adjustments are required to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and have concluded that no additional disclosures or adjustments are warranted.

We own 78.9% of National Beef Packing Company. National Beef processes, packages and delivers fresh and frozen beef and beef by-products for sale to customers in the U.S. and international markets. National Beef operates two beef processing facilities, three consumer-ready facilities and a wet blue tanning facility, all located in the U.S. National Beef’s products include boxed beef, ground beef, hides, tallow, and other beef and beef by-products. National Beef operates the largest wet blue tanning facility in the world that sells processed hides to tanners that produce finished leather for the automotive, luxury goods, apparel and furniture industries. National Beef owns Kansas City Steak Company, LLC, which sells portioned beef and other products to customers in the food service and retail channels as well as direct to consumers through the internet and direct mail. National Beef also owns a refrigerated and livestock transportation company that provides transportation services for National Beef and third parties.

Idaho Timber is engaged in the manufacture and/or distribution of various wood products, including the following principal product lines: remanufacturing dimension lumber; remanufacturing, bundling and bar coding of home center boards for large retailers; and production of 5/4” radius-edge, pine decking. Idaho Timber operates ten facilities located in the U.S.

Conwed Plastics manufactures and markets lightweight plastic netting used for building and construction, erosion control, packaging, agricultural purposes, carpet padding, filtration and consumer products and other purposes. In March 2014, Conwed Plastics acquired 80% of Filtrexx International, LLC. Filtrexx markets a knitted sock product, which is used in the sediment control, agriculture and landscape markets, primarily in the U.S. Conwed Plastics has

four domestic manufacturing facilities, and it owns and operates a manufacturing and sales facility in Belgium.

Juneau Energy, LLC, a recently formed subsidiary, engages in the exploration, development and production of oil and gas from onshore, unconventional resource areas. Juneau currently has interests in acreage in the Oklahoma and Texas Gulf Coast regions. Vitesse Energy, LLC, a recently formed subsidiary, is engaged in the acquisition and development of non-operated working and royalty oil and gas interests in the Bakken Shale oil field located in North Dakota.

Berkadia, our 50–50 joint venture with Berkshire Hathaway, originates and brokers commercial real estate loans under various programs and services commercial real estate loans in the U.S.

Garcadia is a joint venture that owns and operates 22 automobile dealerships in the U.S.

9

We own 64% of HomeFed, which invests in and develops real estate projects. HomeFed is a public company traded on the NASD OTC Bulletin Board. In March 2014, we sold substantially all of our real estate operations to HomeFed; see Notes 11 and 26 for more information.

We own 40.2% of the common shares of Linkem and a convertible note; if converted, we would own approximately 53% of Linkem's common equity. Linkem provides residential broadband services using Wimax and LTE technologies deployed over the 3.5 GHz spectrum band. Linkem operates in Italy, which has few cable television systems and poor broadband alternatives.

We own approximately 20% of Harbinger, a diversified holding company that operates in four business segments: consumer products, insurance, energy and asset management. Harbinger is a public company traded on the NYSE.

On February 25, 2013, we distributed to our shareholders the common shares of the Crimson Wine Group, Ltd., a holding company through which we historically conducted our winery operations. The distribution was structured to qualify as a tax-free spin-off for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Our common shareholders on the record date received one share of Crimson common stock for every ten common shares of Leucadia, with cash in lieu of fractional shares. The distribution was a condition to the Jefferies acquisition. As a result, we recorded a dividend of \$197.0 million. Crimson was not reflected as a discontinued operation in our consolidated financial statements as amounts were not significant. Crimson's historical results of operations are included in the other operations segment.

Certain amounts have been reclassified to be consistent with the 2014 presentation.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, which reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items or items discussed herein) that management believes necessary to fairly state results of interim operations, should be read in conjunction with the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, which are included in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for such year (the "2013 10-K"). Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of annual results of operations. The Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at December 31, 2013 was extracted from the audited annual financial statements and does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for annual financial statements.

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate all of these estimates and assumptions. The most important of these estimates and assumptions relate to fair value measurements, compensation and benefits, asset impairment, the ability to realize deferred tax assets, the recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions and contingencies. Although these and other estimates and assumptions are based on the best available information, actual results could be different from these estimates.

Consolidation

Our policy is to consolidate all entities in which we can vote a majority of the outstanding voting stock. In addition, we consolidate entities which meet the definition of a variable interest entity for which we are the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party who has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has an obligation to absorb losses of the entity or a right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity. We consider

special allocations of cash flows and preferences, if any, to determine amounts allocable to noncontrolling interests. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

In situations where we have significant influence, but not control, of an entity that does not qualify as a variable interest entity, we apply either the equity method of accounting or fair value accounting pursuant to the fair value option election under GAAP. We have also formed nonconsolidated investment vehicles with third-party investors that are typically organized as partnerships or limited liability companies and are carried at fair value. Our subsidiaries may act as general partner or managing member for these investment vehicles and have generally provided the third-party investors with termination or “kick-out” rights.

10

Revenue Recognition Policies

Beef Processing and Other Operations

Revenues are recognized when the following conditions are met: (1) collectibility is reasonably assured; (2) title to the product has passed or the service has been rendered and earned; (3) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; and (4) there is a fixed or determinable price. National Beef's revenues are recognized based on the terms of the sale, which for beef processing operations is typically upon delivery to customers. Manufacturing revenues are recognized when title passes.

Investment Banking Activities

Commissions. All customer securities transactions are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition on a settlement date basis with related income reported on a trade date basis. We permit institutional customers to allocate a portion of their gross commissions to pay for research products and other services provided by third parties. The amounts allocated for those purposes are commonly referred to as soft dollar arrangements. These arrangements are accounted for on an accrual basis and, as we are not the primary obligor for these arrangements, netted against commission revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The commissions and related expenses on client transactions executed by Jefferies Bache, LLC, a futures commission merchant, are recorded on a half-turn basis.

Principal Transactions. Trading assets and trading liabilities are carried at fair value with gains and losses reflected in Principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations on a trade date basis. Fees received on loans carried at fair value are also recorded within Principal transactions.

Investment Banking. Underwriting revenues and fees from mergers and acquisitions, restructuring and other investment banking advisory assignments or engagements are recorded when the services related to the underlying transactions are completed under the terms of the assignment or engagement. Expenses associated with such assignments are deferred until reimbursed by the client, the related revenue is recognized or the engagement is otherwise concluded. Expenses are recorded net of client reimbursements and netted against revenues. Unreimbursed expenses with no related revenues are included in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Interest Revenue and Expense. Interest expense that is deducted from Revenues to arrive at Net revenues is related to Jefferies operations. Contractual interest on Trading assets and Trading liabilities is recognized on an accrual basis as a component of Interest income and Interest expense. Interest flows on derivative trading transactions and dividends are included as part of the fair valuation of these contracts and recognized in Principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations rather than as a component of interest income or expense. Discounts/premiums arising on long-term debt are accreted/amortized to Interest expense using the effective yield method over the remaining lives of the underlying debt obligations.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include highly liquid investments, including certificates of deposit and money market funds, not held for resale with original maturities of three months or less.

Cash and Securities Segregated and on Deposit for Regulatory Purposes or Deposited With Clearing and Depository Organizations

In accordance with Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Jefferies LLC as a broker-dealer carrying client accounts, is subject to requirements related to maintaining cash or qualified securities in a segregated reserve account for the exclusive benefit of its clients. In addition, certain financial instruments used for initial and variation margin purposes with clearing and depository organizations are recorded in this caption. Jefferies Bache, LLC, as a futures commission merchant, is obligated by rules mandated by the Commodities Futures Trading Commission under the Commodities Exchange Act, to segregate or set aside cash or qualified securities to satisfy such regulations, which regulations have been promulgated to protect customer assets. Certain other entities are also obligated by rules mandated by their primary regulators to segregate or set aside cash or equivalent securities to satisfy regulations, promulgated to protect customer assets.

Financial Instruments

Trading assets and trading liabilities are recorded at fair value, either as required by accounting pronouncements or through the fair value option election. Trading assets and trading liabilities include Jefferies trading activities, financial instruments of other consolidated entities that are accounted for through the fair value option election and, prior to the Jefferies acquisition, trading assets include our investment in Jefferies common shares. Gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations in Principal transactions. Available for sales securities are reflected at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reflected as a separate component of equity, net of taxes. When sold, realized gains and losses on available for sale securities are reflected in the caption Net realized securities gains. The cost of securities sold is based on average cost. Fair value is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price).

Fair Value Hierarchy

In determining fair value, we maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs reflect our assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. We apply a hierarchy to categorize our fair value measurements broken down into three levels based on the transparency of inputs as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level 2: Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these financial instruments include cash instruments for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, derivative instruments whose fair value have been derived using a model where inputs to the model are directly observable in the market, or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data, and instruments that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level 3: Instruments that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These financial instruments are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

Financial instruments are valued at quoted market prices, if available. Certain financial instruments have bid and ask prices that can be observed in the marketplace. For financial instruments whose inputs are based on bid-ask prices, the financial instrument is valued at the point within the bid-ask range that meets our best estimate of fair value. We use prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date. For financial instruments that do not have readily determinable fair values using quoted market prices, the determination of fair value is based upon consideration of available information, including types of financial instruments, current financial information, restrictions on dispositions, fair values of underlying financial instruments and quotations for similar instruments.

The valuation of financial instruments may include the use of valuation models and other techniques. Adjustments to valuations derived from valuation models may be made when, in management's judgment, features of the financial instrument such as its complexity, the market in which the financial instrument is traded and risk uncertainties about market conditions require that an adjustment be made to the value derived from the models. Adjustments from the price derived from a valuation model reflect management's judgment that other participants in the market for the financial instrument being measured at fair value would also consider in valuing that same financial instrument. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment.

The availability of observable inputs can vary and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of financial instrument and market conditions. As the observability of prices and inputs may change for a financial instrument from period to period, this condition may cause a transfer of an instrument among the fair value hierarchy levels. Transfers among the levels are recognized at the beginning of each period. The degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3.

Jefferies Valuation Process for Financial Instruments

The Jefferies Independent Price Verification ("IPV") Group, which is part of the Jefferies finance department, in partnership with Jefferies Risk Management, is responsible for establishing Jefferies valuation policies and procedures. The IPV Group and Risk Management, which are independent of business functions, play an important role and serve as a control function in determining that Jefferies financial instruments are appropriately valued and that fair value measurements are reliable. This is particularly important where prices or valuations that require inputs are less observable. In the event that observable inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to assure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable. The IPV Group reports to the Jefferies Global Controller and is subject to the oversight of the IPV Committee, which includes senior members of Jefferies finance department and other personnel. Jefferies independent price verification policies and procedures are reviewed, at a minimum, annually and changes to the policies require the approval of the IPV Committee.

Price Testing Process. The business units are responsible for determining the fair value of Jefferies financial instruments using approved valuation models and methodologies. In order to ensure that the business unit valuations represent a fair value exit price, the IPV Group tests and validates the fair value of the financial instruments inventory. In the testing process, the IPV Group obtains prices and valuation inputs from sources independent of Jefferies, consistently adheres to established procedures set forth in the valuation policies for sourcing prices and valuation inputs and utilizing valuation methodologies. Sources used to validate fair value prices and inputs include, but are not limited to, exchange data, recently executed transactions, pricing data obtained from third party vendors, pricing and valuation services, broker quotes and observed comparable transactions.

12

To the extent discrepancies between the business unit valuations and the pricing or valuations resulting from the price testing process are identified, such discrepancies are investigated by the IPV Group and fair values are adjusted, as appropriate. The IPV Group maintains documentation of its testing, results, rationale and recommendations and prepares a monthly summary of its valuation results. This process also forms the basis for the classification of fair values within the fair value hierarchy (i.e., Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3). The IPV Group utilizes the additional expertise of Risk Management personnel in valuing more complex financial instruments and financial instruments with less or limited pricing observability. The results of the valuation testing are reported to the IPV Committee on a monthly basis, which discusses the results and is charged with the final conclusions as to the financial instrument fair values in the consolidated financial statements. This process specifically assists management in asserting as to the fair presentation of our financial condition and results of operations as included within our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Report on Form 10-K. At each quarter end, the overall valuation results, as concluded upon by the IPV Committee, are presented to the Jefferies Audit Committee.

Judgment exercised in determining Level 3 fair value measurements is supplemented by daily analysis of profit and loss performed by the Product Control functions. Gains and losses, which result from changes in fair value, are evaluated and corroborated daily based on an understanding of each of the trading desks' overall risk positions and developments in a particular market on the given day. Valuation techniques generally rely on recent transactions of suitably comparable financial instruments and use the observable inputs from those comparable transactions as a validation basis for Level 3 inputs. Level 3 fair value measurements are further validated through subsequent sales testing and market comparable sales, if such information is available. Level 3 fair value measurements require documentation of the valuation rationale applied, which is reviewed for consistency in application from period to period; and the documentation includes benchmarking the assumptions underlying the valuation rationale against relevant analytic data.

Third Party Pricing Information. Pricing information obtained from external data providers (including independent pricing services and brokers) may incorporate a range of market quotes from dealers, recent market transactions and benchmarking model derived prices to quoted market prices and trade data for comparable securities. External pricing data is subject to evaluation for reasonableness by the IPV Group using a variety of means including comparisons of prices to those of similar product types, quality and maturities, consideration of the narrowness or wideness of the range of prices obtained, knowledge of recent market transactions and an assessment of the similarity in prices to comparable dealer offerings in a recent time period. Jefferies has a process whereby it challenges the appropriateness of pricing information obtained from external data providers (including independent pricing services and brokers) in order to validate the data for consistency with the definition of a fair value exit price. Jefferies process includes understanding and evaluating the external data providers' valuation methodologies. For corporate, U.S. government and agency, and municipal debt securities, and loans, to the extent independent pricing services or broker quotes are utilized in our valuation process, the vendor service providers are collecting and aggregating observable market information as to recent trade activity and active bid-ask submissions. The composite pricing information received from the independent pricing service is not based on unobservable inputs or proprietary models. For mortgage- and other asset-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations, the independent pricing service uses a matrix evaluation approach incorporating both observable yield curves and market yields on comparable securities as well as implied inputs from observed trades for comparable securities in order to determine prepayment speeds, cumulative default rates and loss severity. Further, Jefferies considers pricing data from multiple service providers as available as well as compares pricing data to prices observed for recent transactions, if any, in order to corroborate valuation inputs.

Model Review Process. Where a pricing model is to be used to determine fair value, the pricing model is reviewed for theoretical soundness and appropriateness by Risk Management, independent from the trading desks, and then approved by Risk Management to be used in the valuation process. Review and approval of a model for use may

include benchmarking the model against relevant third party valuations, testing sample trades in the model, backtesting the results of the model against actual trades and stress-testing the sensitivity of the pricing model using varying inputs and assumptions. In addition, recently executed comparable transactions and other observable market data are considered for purposes of validating assumptions underlying the model. Models are independently reviewed and validated by Risk Management annually or more frequently if market conditions or use of the valuation model changes.

Investments in Managed Funds

Investments in managed funds include our investments in funds managed by us and our investments in related party managed funds in which we are entitled to a portion of the management and/or performance fees. Investments in nonconsolidated managed funds are accounted for at fair value with gains or losses included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Asset management fees and investment income from managed funds include revenues we earn from management, administrative and performance fees from funds and accounts managed by us, revenues from management and performance fees we earn from related-party managed funds and investment income from our investments in these funds. We earn fees in connection with management and investment advisory services performed for various funds and managed accounts. These fees are based on assets under management or an agreed upon notional amount and may include performance fees based upon the performance of the funds. Management and administrative fees are generally recognized over the period that the related service is provided. Generally, performance fees are earned when the return on assets under management exceeds certain benchmark returns, “high-water marks” or other performance targets. Performance fees are accrued (or reversed) on a monthly basis based on measuring performance to date versus any relevant benchmark return hurdles stated in the investment management agreement. Performance fees are not subject to adjustment once the measurement period ends (generally annual periods) and the performance fees have been realized.

Loans to and Investments in Associated Companies

Loans to and investments in associated companies include investments in private equity and other operating entities in which we exercise significant influence over operating and capital decisions and loans issued in connection with such investments. Loans to and investments in associated companies are accounted for using the equity method. See Notes 11 and 26 for additional information regarding certain of these investments.

Under the equity method of accounting, our share of the investee's underlying net income or loss is recorded as Income (loss) related to associated companies, or as part of Other revenues if such investees are considered to be an extension of our business. Income (loss) for investees for which the fair value option was elected are reported as Principal transactions revenues.

Receivables from and Payables to Customers of Securities Operations

Receivables from and payables to customers of securities operations include amounts receivable and payable on cash and margin transactions. Securities owned by customers and held as collateral for these receivables are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Receivables from officers and directors included within this financial statement line item represent balances arising from their individual security transactions. These transactions are subject to the same regulations as customer transactions and are provided on substantially the same terms.

Securities Borrowed and Securities Loaned

Securities borrowed and securities loaned are carried at the amounts of cash collateral advanced and received in connection with the transactions and accounted for as collateralized financing transactions. In connection with both trading and brokerage activities, Jefferies borrows securities to cover short sales and to complete transactions in which customers have failed to deliver securities by the required settlement date, and lend securities to other brokers and dealers for similar purposes. Jefferies has an active securities borrowed and lending matched book business in which it borrows securities from one party and lends them to another party. When Jefferies borrows securities, it generally provides cash to the lender as collateral, which is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as Securities borrowed. Jefferies earns interest revenues on this cash collateral. Similarly, when Jefferies lends securities to another party, that party provides cash to Jefferies as collateral, which is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as Securities loaned. Jefferies pays interest expense on the cash collateral received from the party borrowing the securities. The initial collateral advanced or received approximates or is greater than the fair value of the securities borrowed or loaned. Jefferies monitors the fair value of the securities borrowed and loaned on a daily basis and requests additional collateral or returns excess collateral, as appropriate.

Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (collectively "repos") are accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at their contracted resale or repurchase amount plus accrued interest. Repos are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition on a net-basis-by counterparty, where permitted by GAAP. The fair value of the underlying securities is monitored daily versus the related receivable or payable balances. Should the fair value of the underlying securities decline or increase, additional collateral is requested or excess collateral is returned, as appropriate.

Property, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are provided principally on the straight-line method over the estimated

useful lives of the assets or, if less, the term of the underlying lease.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate, in management's judgment, that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. When testing for impairment, we group our long-lived assets with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities (or asset group). The determination of whether an asset group is recoverable is based on management's estimate of undiscounted future cash flows directly attributable to the asset group as compared to its carrying value. If the carrying amount of the asset group is greater than the undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss would be recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset group exceeds its estimated fair value.

Substantially all of our operating businesses sell products or services that are impacted by general economic conditions in the U.S. and to a lesser extent internationally. In recent years general economic conditions reduced the demand for products or services sold by our operating subsidiaries and/or resulted in reduced pricing for products or services. A worsening of current economic conditions could cause a decline in estimated future cash flows expected to be generated by our operations and investments. If future undiscounted cash flows are estimated to be less than the carrying amounts of the asset groups used to generate those cash flows in subsequent reporting periods, particularly for those with large investments in intangible assets and property and equipment (for example, investment banking & capital markets, beef processing, manufacturing, real estate and certain associated company investments), impairment charges would have to be recorded.

14

Intangible Assets, Net and Goodwill

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets deemed to have finite lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, where the useful life is the period over which the asset is expected to contribute directly, or indirectly, to our future cash flows. Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment on an interim basis when certain events or circumstances exist. If future undiscounted cash flows are estimated to be less than the carrying amounts of the asset groups used to generate those cash flows in subsequent reporting periods, particularly for those with large investments in amortizable intangible assets, impairment charges would have to be recorded.

An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is not amortized but assessed for impairment annually, or more frequently, when certain events or circumstances exist indicating an assessment for impairment is necessary. Impairment exists when the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Fair value will be determined using valuation techniques consistent with what a market participant would use. All of our annual indefinite-lived intangible assets were recognized in connection with the Jefferies acquisition, and our impairment testing date is as of August 1.

Goodwill. At acquisition, we allocate the cost of a business acquisition to the specific tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their fair values. Significant judgments and estimates are often made by management to determine these values, and may include the use of appraisals, consideration of market quotes for similar transactions, use of discounted cash flow techniques or consideration of other information we believe to be relevant. Any excess of the cost of a business acquisition over the fair values of the net assets and liabilities acquired is recorded as goodwill, which is not amortized to expense. Substantially all of our goodwill was recognized in connection with the Jefferies acquisition.

At least annually, and more frequently if warranted, we will assess whether goodwill has been impaired. If the estimated fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not impaired. If the estimated fair value is less than carrying value, further analysis is necessary to determine the amount of impairment, if any. The fair values will be based on widely accepted valuation techniques that we believe market participants would use, although the valuation process requires significant judgment and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. The methodologies we utilize in estimating fair value include market capitalization, price-to-book multiples of comparable exchange traded companies, multiples of merger and acquisitions of similar businesses and/or projected cash flows. The estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value could have a significant effect on whether or not an impairment charge is recorded and the magnitude of such a charge. Adverse market or economic events could result in impairment charges in future periods. Our annual goodwill impairment testing date related to Jefferies is as of August 1.

Inventories and Cost of Sales

National Beef's inventories consist primarily of beef products, beef by-products and supplies, and are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost principally determined under the first-in-first-out method for beef products and average cost for supplies.

Manufacturing inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost principally determined under the first-in-first-out method. Manufacturing cost of sales principally includes product and manufacturing costs, inbound and outbound shipping costs and handling costs.

Income Taxes

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our net deferred tax asset to the net amount that is more likely than not to be realized. If in the future we determine that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize our net deferred tax asset in excess of our net recorded amount, an adjustment to increase the net deferred tax asset would increase income in such period. If in the future we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our recorded net deferred tax asset, an adjustment to decrease the net deferred tax asset would be charged to income in such period. We are required to consider all available evidence, both positive and negative, and to weight the evidence when determining whether a valuation allowance is required and the amount of such valuation allowance. Generally, greater weight is required to be placed on objectively verifiable evidence when making this assessment, in particular on recent historical operating results.

Our estimate of future taxable income considers all available evidence, both positive and negative, about our operating businesses and investments, includes an aggregation of individual projections for each significant operating business and investment, estimated apportionment factors for state and local taxing jurisdictions and included all future years that we estimate we will have available net operating loss carryforwards (“NOLs”) (until 2029). We believe that our estimate of future taxable income is reasonable but inherently uncertain, and if our current or future operations and investments generate taxable income different than the projected amounts, further adjustments to the valuation allowance are possible. The current balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance principally reserves for NOLs of certain subsidiaries that are not available to offset income generated by other members of the consolidated tax return group.

15

We also record reserves for unrecognized tax benefits based on our assessment of the probability of successfully sustaining tax filing positions. Interest and penalties, if any, are recorded as components of income tax expense. Management exercises significant judgment when assessing the probability of successfully sustaining tax filing positions, and in determining whether a contingent tax liability should be recorded and if so estimating the amount. If our tax filing positions are successfully challenged, payments could be required that are in excess of reserved amounts or we may be required to reduce the carrying amount of our net deferred tax asset, either of which could be significant to our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition or results of operations.

Share-based Compensation

Share-based awards are measured based on the fair value of the award as determined in accordance with GAAP and recognized over the required service or vesting period. The fair value of options and warrants are estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected forfeitures are included in determining share-based compensation expense.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries having non-U.S. dollar functional currencies are translated at exchange rates at the end of a period. Revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates during the period. The gains or losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars, net of hedging gains or losses and taxes, if any, are included in other comprehensive income. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and certain other shares committed to be, but not yet issued. Net earnings available to common shareholders represent net earnings to common shareholders reduced by the allocation of earnings to participating securities. Losses are not allocated to participating securities. Common shares outstanding and certain other shares committed to be, but not yet issued, include restricted stock and restricted stock units ("RSUs") for which no future service is required. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders plus dividends on dilutive mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred shares and interest on convertible notes by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and certain other shares committed to be, but not yet issued, plus all dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding during the period.

Unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and, therefore, are included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method of earnings per share. Restricted stock and RSUs granted as part of share-based compensation contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends and dividend equivalents, respectively, and therefore, prior to the requisite service being rendered for the right to retain the award, restricted stock and RSUs meet the definition of a participating security. As such, we calculate basic and diluted earnings per share under the two-class method.

Securitization Activities

Jefferies engages in securitization activities related to corporate loans, commercial mortgage loans and mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities. Such transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when we have relinquished control over the transferred assets. The gain or loss on sale of such financial assets depends, in

part, on the previous carrying amount of the assets involved in the transfer allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests, if any, based upon their respective fair values at the date of sale. We may retain interests in the securitized financial assets as one or more tranches of the securitization. These retained interests are included within Trading assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. Any changes in the fair value of such retained interests are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

When a transfer of assets does not meet the criteria of a sale, the transfer is accounted for as a secured borrowing and we continue to recognize the assets of a secured borrowing in Trading assets and recognize the associated financing in Other secured financings.

Contingencies

In the normal course of business, we have been named, from time to time, as a defendant in legal and regulatory proceedings. We are also involved, from time to time, in other exams, investigations and similar reviews (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding our businesses, certain of which may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties or other injunctions.

We recognize a liability for a contingency when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a probable loss is a range, we accrue the most likely amount of such loss, and if such amount is not determinable, then we accrue the minimum in the range as the loss accrual. The determination of the outcome and loss estimates requires significant judgment on the part of management, can be highly subjective and is subject to significant change with the passage of time as more information becomes available. Estimating the ultimate impact of litigation matters is inherently uncertain, in particular because the ultimate outcome will rest on events and decisions of others that may not be within our power to control. We do not believe that any of our current litigation will have a significant adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity; however, if amounts paid at the resolution of litigation are in excess of recorded reserve amounts, the excess could be significant in relation to results of operations for that period. For further information, see Note 23.

Note 3. Accounting Developments

Income Taxes. In January 2014, we adopted new Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) guidance that requires an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward, unless such net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes resulting from the disallowance of a tax position. In the event that the tax position is disallowed or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit shall be presented in the financial statements as a liability and shall not be combined with deferred tax assets. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Discontinued Operations. In April 2014, the FASB issued new guidance on the reporting of discontinued operations. The new guidance requires that disposal of a component of an entity or a group of components of an entity be reported as discontinued operations if the disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity’s operations and financial results, and would require expanded disclosures. This guidance will be effective prospectively within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014.

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that defines how companies report revenues from contracts with customers, and also requires enhanced disclosures. The core principle of this new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. This guidance will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating the impact this new guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Repurchase Agreements. In June 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and linked repurchase financings to secured borrowing accounting, which is consistent with the accounting for other repurchase agreements. The guidance also requires new disclosures about transfers that are accounted for as sales in transactions that are economically similar to repurchase agreements and increased transparency about the types of collateral pledged in repurchase agreements and similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. This guidance will be effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014. We are currently evaluating the impact this new guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 4. Acquisitions

As more fully discussed in the 2013 10-K, Jefferies became a wholly-owned subsidiary on March 1, 2013. Each share of Jefferies common stock was converted at the Exchange Ratio into our common shares, an aggregate of approximately 119,363,000 common shares, and we issued a new series of our 3.25% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares (\$125.0 million at mandatory redemption value) in exchange for Jefferies outstanding 3.25% Series A-1 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock. In addition, each restricted share of Jefferies common stock and each RSU of Jefferies common stock was converted at the Exchange Ratio into an award of restricted shares or RSUs of Leucadia, with all such awards subject to the same terms and conditions, including, without limitation, vesting and, in the case of performance-based RSUs, performance being measured at existing targets. The Jefferies acquisition was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting; the aggregate purchase price was \$4,770.6 million.

17

The following table reflects the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date (in thousands):

Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,017,958
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations	3,728,742
Trading assets	16,413,535
Loans to and investments in associated companies	766,893
Securities borrowed	5,315,488
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	3,578,366
Intangible assets, net	282,852
Goodwill	1,722,591
Deferred tax asset, net	539,384
Other assets	4,386,419
Total assets	39,752,228
Liabilities	
Short-term borrowings	100,000
Trading liabilities	9,766,876
Securities loaned	1,902,687
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	7,976,492
Payables to customers of securities operations	5,450,781
Trade payables, expense accruals and other liabilities	2,724,136
Mandatorily redeemable preferred interest in JHYH held by Leucadia	358,951
Long-term debt	6,345,536
Total liabilities	34,625,459
Noncontrolling interests	356,180
Net assets acquired	\$4,770,589

The fair value of Jefferies customer relationships and tradename were estimated using an income approach which calculates the present value of the estimated future net economic benefits of the assets over their estimated remaining life. Replacement cost was used to estimate the fair value of internally developed software and exchange and clearing organization memberships based on the premise that a prudent investor would not pay more for an asset than its replacement cost. The fair values of trading assets and trading liabilities were determined based upon the methodologies disclosed in Note 5 below. The fair value of long-term debt was principally based on prices observed for recently executed market transactions or based on valuations received from third-party brokers. The fair value of noncontrolling interests, which principally represented third-party investors in JHYH, and the fair value of mandatorily redeemable preferred interests in JHYH held by us, was the estimated redemption value of those interests, which was based on their share of the underlying net assets in JHYH. JHYH net assets were valued using the methodologies disclosed in Note 5 below. The third-party interests in JHYH have been redeemed and our interest contributed to Jefferies capital. Approximately \$111.5 million of the goodwill recorded at acquisition is deductible for income tax purposes.

Amounts allocated to intangible assets, the amortization period and goodwill were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Amount	Amortization Years
Customer relationships	\$136,002	9 to 18 years
Tradenames and related trademarks	131,299	35 years
Exchange and clearing organization membership interests and registrations	15,551	Indefinite
Subtotal, intangible assets	282,852	
Goodwill	1,722,591	
Total	\$2,005,443	

Presented below for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, are unaudited pro forma operating results assuming the acquisition of Jefferies had occurred on January 1, 2012 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2013	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2013
Net revenues	\$2,675,725	\$5,631,515
Net income attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders	\$57,314	\$253,403
Basic income per common share attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders	\$0.15	\$0.66
Diluted income per common share attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders	\$0.15	\$0.66

Pro forma adjustments for Jefferies principally reflect an increase to amortization expenses related to the fair value of amortizable intangible assets, a reduction to interest expense for the amortization of the premium recorded to reflect long-term debt at fair value and to reflect the costs related to the acquisition as if they had occurred in the period beginning January 1, 2012. In addition, the pro forma adjustments reflect the elimination from Net revenues amounts recognized from the application of the fair value option to our investment in Jefferies for periods prior to March 1, 2013, as more fully described in Note 5. Pro forma adjustments also include the removal of the deferred tax liability reversal related to our investment in Jefferies for periods prior to March 1, 2013 (\$34.0 million). The unaudited pro forma data is not indicative of future results of operations or what would have resulted if the acquisition had actually occurred as of January 1, 2012.

Note 5. Fair Value Disclosures

The following is a summary of our financial instruments, trading liabilities and investments in managed funds that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

June 30, 2014

	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (1)	Level 3	Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting (2)	Total
Assets:					
Trading assets, at fair value:					
Corporate equity securities	\$2,774,650	\$345,139	\$16,402	\$-	\$3,136,191
Corporate debt securities	-	3,171,520	31,648	-	3,203,168
Collateralized debt obligations	-	290,292	42,313	-	332,605
U.S. government and federal agency securities	2,176,254	74,996	-	-	2,251,250
Municipal securities	-	690,649	-	-	690,649
Sovereign obligations	1,540,017	1,018,348	-	-	2,558,365
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	3,024,940	71,962	-	3,096,902
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	579,591	24,246	-	603,837
Other asset-backed securities	-	13,603	45,444	-	59,047
Loans and other receivables	-	1,426,045	138,643	-	1,564,688
Derivatives	87,612	2,813,166	913	(2,648,507)	253,184
Investments at fair value	-	5,361	140,452	-	145,813
Physical commodities	-	47,166	-	-	47,166
Total trading assets	\$6,578,533	\$13,500,816	\$512,023	\$(2,648,507)	\$17,942,865
Available for sale securities:					
Corporate equity securities	\$103,283	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$103,283
Corporate debt securities	-	38,368	-	-	38,368
U.S. government securities	945,872	-	-	-	945,872
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	584,621	-	-	584,621
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	49,127	-	-	49,127
Other asset-backed securities	-	223,209	-	-	223,209
Total available for sale securities	\$1,049,155	\$895,325	\$-	\$-	\$1,944,480
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,186,725	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$4,186,725
Investments in managed funds	\$-	\$195,069	\$56,119	\$-	\$251,188
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations (3)	\$3,288,517	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$3,288,517
Securities received as collateral	\$126,106	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$126,106
Liabilities:					
Trading liabilities:					
Corporate equity securities	\$1,632,106	\$52,160	\$38	\$-	\$1,684,304
Corporate debt securities	-	1,510,841	2,780	-	1,513,621
U.S. government and federal agency securities	1,554,835	-	-	-	1,554,835
Sovereign obligations	1,517,111	716,275	-	-	2,233,386
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	16,837	-	-	16,837
Loans	-	872,322	31,534	-	903,856

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Derivatives	75,585	2,881,585	16,195	(2,747,077)	226,288
Physical commodities	–	39,173	–	–	39,173
Total trading liabilities	\$4,779,637	\$6,089,193	\$50,547	\$(2,747,077)	\$8,172,300
Other secured financings	\$–	\$–	\$20,288	\$–	\$20,288
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	\$126,106	\$–	\$–	\$–	\$126,106

20

	December 31, 2013			Counterparty and Cash Collateral Netting (2)	Total
	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (1)	Level 3		
Assets:					
Trading assets, at fair value:					
Corporate equity securities	\$1,957,963	\$175,493	\$9,884	\$-	\$2,143,340
Corporate debt securities	-	2,961,857	25,666	-	2,987,523
Collateralized debt obligations	-	182,095	37,216	-	219,311
U.S. government and federal agency securities	2,293,221	40,389	-	-	2,333,610
Municipal securities	-	664,054	-	-	664,054
Sovereign obligations	1,458,803	889,685	-	-	2,348,488
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	2,932,268	105,492	-	3,037,760
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	1,130,410	17,568	-	1,147,978
Other asset-backed securities	-	55,475	12,611	-	68,086
Loans and other receivables	-	1,203,238	145,890	-	1,349,128
Derivatives	40,952	2,472,238	1,493	(2,253,589)	261,094
Investments at fair value	-	40	101,242	-	101,282
Physical commodities	-	37,888	-	-	37,888
Total trading assets	\$5,750,939	\$12,745,130	\$457,062	\$(2,253,589)	\$16,699,542
Available for sale securities:					
Corporate equity securities	\$252,531	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$252,531
Corporate debt securities	-	51,163	-	-	51,163
U.S. government securities	1,781,266	-	-	-	1,781,266
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	579,162	-	-	579,162
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	-	17,985	-	-	17,985
Other asset-backed securities	-	184,036	-	-	184,036
Total available for sale securities	\$2,033,797	\$832,346	\$-	\$-	\$2,866,143
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,907,595	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$3,907,595
Investments in managed funds	\$-	\$-	\$57,285	\$-	\$57,285
Cash and securities segregated and on deposit for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing and depository organizations (3)	\$3,616,602	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$3,616,602
Securities received as collateral	\$11,063	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$11,063
Liabilities:					
Trading liabilities:					
Corporate equity securities	\$1,804,392	\$40,358	\$38	\$-	\$1,844,788
Corporate debt securities	-	1,346,078	-	-	1,346,078
U.S. government and federal agency securities	1,324,326	-	-	-	1,324,326
Sovereign obligations	1,360,269	471,088	-	-	1,831,357
Residential mortgage-backed securities	-	34,691	-	-	34,691
Loans	-	672,838	22,462	-	695,300

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Derivatives	43,829	2,480,463	8,398	(2,352,611)	180,079
Physical commodities	–	36,483	–	–	36,483
Total trading liabilities	\$4,532,816	\$5,081,999	\$30,898	\$(2,352,611)	\$7,293,102
Other secured financings	\$–	\$31,000	\$8,711	\$–	\$39,711
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	\$11,063	\$–	\$–	\$–	\$11,063

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2013, listed equity options with a fair value of \$403.0 million within Trading assets and (1) \$423.0 million within Trading liabilities were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2 as adjustments to the exchange closing price are necessary to best reflect the fair value of the population at its exit price.

(2) Represents counterparty and cash collateral netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy for positions with the same counterparty.

(3) Securities comprise U.S. government securities segregated for regulatory purposes with a fair value of \$564.7 million and \$304.2 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The following is a description of the valuation basis, including valuation techniques and inputs, used in measuring our financial assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis:

21

Corporate Equity Securities

Exchange Traded Equity Securities: Exchange traded equity securities are measured based on quoted closing exchange prices, which are generally obtained from external pricing services, and are categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, otherwise they are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Non-exchange Traded Equity Securities: Non-exchange traded equity securities are measured primarily using broker quotations, pricing data from external pricing services and prices observed for recently executed market transactions and are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Where such information is not available, non-exchange traded equity securities are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and measured using valuation techniques involving quoted prices of or market data for comparable companies, similar company ratios and multiples (e.g., price/EBITDA, price/book value), discounted cash flow analyses and transaction prices observed for subsequent financing or capital issuance by the company. When using pricing data of comparable companies, judgment must be applied to adjust the pricing data to account for differences between the measured security and the comparable security (e.g., issuer market capitalization, yield, dividend rate, geographical concentration).

Equity warrants: Non-exchange traded equity warrants are generally categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and are measured using the Black-Scholes model with key inputs impacting the valuation including the underlying security price, implied volatility, dividend yield, interest rate curve, strike price and maturity date.

Corporate Debt Securities

Corporate Bonds: Corporate bonds are measured primarily using pricing data from external pricing services and broker quotations, where available, prices observed for recently executed market transactions of comparable size, and bond spreads or credit default swap spreads of the issuer adjusted for basis differences between the swap curve and the bond curve. Corporate bonds measured using these valuation methods are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. If broker quotes, pricing data or spread data is not available, alternative valuation techniques are used including cash flow models incorporating interest rate curves, single name or index credit default swap curves for comparable issuers and recovery rate assumptions. Corporate bonds measured using alternative valuation techniques are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and comprise a limited portion of our corporate bonds.

High Yield Corporate and Convertible Bonds: A significant portion of our high yield corporate and convertible bonds are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and are measured primarily using broker quotations and pricing data from external pricing services, where available, and prices observed for recently executed market transactions of comparable size. Where pricing data is less observable, valuations are categorized within Level 3 and are based on pending transactions involving the issuer or comparable issuers, prices implied from an issuer's subsequent financings or recapitalizations, models incorporating financial ratios and projected cash flows of the issuer and market prices for comparable issuers.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Collateralized debt obligations are measured based on prices observed for recently executed market transactions or based on valuations received from third party brokers and are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy depending on the observability and significance of the pricing inputs.

U.S. Government and Federal Agency Securities

U.S. Treasury Securities: U.S. Treasury securities are measured based on quoted market prices and categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

U.S. Agency Issued Debt Securities: Callable and non-callable U.S. agency issued debt securities are measured primarily based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services. Non-callable U.S. agency securities are generally categorized within Level 1 and callable U.S. agency securities are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Municipal Securities

Municipal securities are measured based on quoted prices obtained from external pricing services and are generally categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Sovereign Obligations

Foreign sovereign government obligations are measured based on quoted market prices obtained from external pricing services, where available, or recently executed independent transactions of comparable size. To the extent external price quotations are not available or recent transactions have not been observed, valuation techniques incorporating interest rate yield curves and country spreads for bonds of similar issuers, seniority and maturity are used to determine fair value of sovereign bonds or obligations. Foreign sovereign government obligations are classified in Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the country of issuance.

22

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities

Agency Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities: Agency residential mortgage-backed securities include mortgage pass-through securities (fixed and adjustable rate), collateralized mortgage obligations and interest-only and principal-only securities and are generally measured using market price quotations from external pricing services and categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Agency Residential Inverse Interest-Only Securities ("Agency Inverse IOs"): The fair value of Agency Inverse IOs are estimated using expected future cash flow techniques that incorporate prepayment models and other prepayment assumptions to amortize the underlying mortgage loan collateral. We use prices observed for recently executed transactions to develop market-clearing spread and yield curve assumptions. Valuation inputs with regard to the underlying collateral incorporate weighted average coupon, loan-to-value, credit scores, geographic location, maximum and average loan size, originator, servicer, and weighted average loan age. Agency Inverse IOs are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. We also use vendor data in developing our assumptions, as appropriate.

Non-Agency Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities: Fair values are determined primarily using discounted cash flow methodologies and securities are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy based on the observability and significance of the pricing inputs used. Performance attributes of the underlying mortgage loans are evaluated to estimate pricing inputs, such as prepayment rates, default rates and the severity of credit losses. Attributes of the underlying mortgage loans that affect the pricing inputs include, but are not limited to, weighted average coupon; average and maximum loan size; loan-to-value; credit scores; documentation type; geographic location; weighted average loan age; originator; servicer; historical prepayment, default and loss severity experience of the mortgage loan pool; and delinquency rate. Yield curves used in the discounted cash flow models are based on observed market prices for comparable securities and published interest rate data to estimate market yields.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

Agency Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities: GNMA project loan bonds and FNMA Delegated Underwriting and Servicing ("DUS") mortgage-backed securities are generally measured by using prices observed for recently executed market transactions to estimate market-clearing spread levels for purposes of estimating fair value. GNMA project loan bonds and FNMA DUS mortgage-backed securities are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Non-Agency Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities: Non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities are measured using pricing data obtained from external pricing services and prices observed for recently executed market transactions and are categorized within Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other Asset-Backed Securities

Other asset-backed securities include, but are not limited to, securities backed by auto loans, credit card receivables and student loans and are categorized within Level 2 and Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Valuations are determined using pricing data obtained from external pricing services and prices observed for recently executed market transactions.

Loans and Other Receivables

Corporate Loans: Corporate loans categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are measured based on market price quotations where market price quotations from external pricing services are supported by market transaction data. Corporate loans categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are measured based on market price quotations that are considered to be less transparent, market prices for debt securities of the same

creditor, and estimates of future cash flow incorporating assumptions regarding creditor default and recovery rates and consideration of the issuer's capital structure.

Participation Certificates in GNMA Project and Construction Loans: Valuations of participation certificates in GNMA project and construction loans are based on observed market prices of recently executed purchases of similar loans which are then used to derive a market implied spread, which in turn is used as the primary input in estimating the fair value of loans at the measurement date. The loan participation certificates are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy given the observability and volume of recently executed transactions.

Project Loans: Valuation of project loans are based on benchmarks of prices for recently executed transactions of related realized collateralized securities and are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Escrow and Trade Claim Receivables: Escrow and trade claim receivables are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy where fair value is estimated based on reference to market prices and implied yields of debt securities of the same or similar issuers. Escrow and trade claim receivables are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy where fair value is based on recent trade activity in the same security.

Derivatives

Listed Derivative Contracts: Listed derivative contracts that are actively traded are measured based on quoted exchange prices, which are generally obtained from external pricing services, and are categorized within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Listed derivatives for which there is limited trading activity are measured based on incorporating the closing auction price of the underlying equity security, use similar valuation approaches as those applied to over-the-counter derivative contracts and are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

OTC Derivative Contracts: Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative contracts are generally valued using models, whose inputs reflect assumptions that we believe market participants would use in valuing the derivative in a current period transaction. Inputs to valuation models are appropriately calibrated to market data. For many OTC derivative contracts, the valuation models do not involve material subjectivity as the methodologies do not entail significant judgment and the inputs to valuation models do not involve a high degree of subjectivity as the valuation model inputs are readily observable or can be derived from actively quoted markets. OTC derivative contracts are primarily categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy given the observability and significance of the inputs to the valuation models. Where significant inputs to the valuation are unobservable, derivative instruments are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

OTC options include OTC equity, foreign exchange and commodity options measured using various valuation models, such as the Black-Scholes, with key inputs impacting the valuation including the underlying security, foreign exchange spot rate or commodity price, implied volatility, dividend yield, interest rate curve, strike price and maturity date. Discounted cash flow models are utilized to measure certain OTC derivative contracts including the valuations of our interest rate swaps, which incorporate observable inputs related to interest rate curves, valuations of our foreign exchange forwards and swaps, which incorporate observable inputs related to foreign currency spot rates and forward curves and valuations of our commodity swaps, which incorporate observable inputs related to commodity spot prices and forward curves. Credit default swaps include both index and single-name credit default swaps. External prices are available as inputs in measuring index credit default swaps and single-name credit default swaps. For commodity and equity total return swaps, market prices are observable for the underlying asset and used as the basis for measuring the fair value of the derivative contracts. Total return swaps executed on other underlyings are measured based on valuations received from external pricing services.

Physical Commodities

Physical commodities include base and precious metals and are measured using observable inputs including spot prices and published indices. Physical commodities are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. To facilitate the trading in precious metals we undertake leasing of such precious metals. The fees earned or paid for such leases are recorded as revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Investments at Fair Value and Investments in Managed Funds

Investments at fair value and Investments in managed funds include investments in hedge funds, fund of funds, private equity funds, convertible bond funds and other funds, which are measured at fair value based on the net asset value of the funds provided by the fund managers and are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Investments at fair value also include direct equity investments in private companies, which are measured at fair value using valuation techniques involving quoted prices of or market data for comparable companies, similar company ratios and multiples (e.g., price/EBITDA, price/book value), discounted cash flow analyses and transaction prices observed for subsequent financing or capital issuance by the company. Direct equity investments in private companies are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Additionally, investments at fair value include investments in insurance contracts relating to our defined benefit plan in Germany. Fair value for the

insurance contracts is determined using a third party and is categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Fair value for the shares in non-U.S. exchanges and clearing houses is determined based on recent transactions or third party model valuations and is categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The following tables present information about our investments in entities that have the characteristics of an investment company (in thousands).

24

June 30, 2014			
	Fair Value (7)	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency (if currently eligible)
Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds (1)	\$121,997	\$ –	Monthly/Quarterly
High Yield Hedge Funds (2)	232	–	–
Fund of Funds (3)	388	94	–
Equity Funds (4)	69,047	27,409	–
Convertible Bond Funds (5)	3,647	–	At Will
Multi-strategy Fund (6)	92,137	–	–
Total (8)	\$287,448	\$ 27,503	

December 31, 2013			
	Fair Value (7)	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency (if currently eligible)
Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds (1)	\$20,927	\$ –	Monthly/Quarterly
High Yield Hedge Funds (2)	244	–	–
Fund of Funds (3)	494	94	–
Equity Funds (4)	66,495	40,816	–
Convertible Bond Funds (5)	3,473	–	At Will
Total (8)	\$91,633	\$ 40,910	

This category includes investments in hedge funds that invest, long and short, in equity securities in domestic and international markets in both the public and private sectors. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, investments representing approximately 99% and 98%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category are (1) redeemable with 30 to 65 days prior written notice, and includes an investment in a private asset management fund managed by us with a fair value of \$99.3 million at June 30, 2014. The remaining investments in this category cannot be redeemed as they are in liquidation and distributions will be received through the liquidation of the underlying assets of the funds. We are unable to estimate when the underlying assets will be liquidated.

(2) Includes investments in funds that invest in domestic and international public high yield debt, private high yield investments, senior bank loans, public leveraged equities, distressed debt, and private equity investments. There are no redemption provisions. The underlying assets of the funds are being liquidated and we are unable to estimate when the underlying assets will be fully liquidated.

(3) Includes investments in fund of funds that invest in various private equity funds. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, approximately 96% and 98%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category is managed by us and has no redemption provisions, instead distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying assets of the fund of funds, which are estimated to be liquidated in one to two years. For the remaining investments, we have requested redemption; however, we are unable to estimate when these funds will be received.

(4) At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, investments representing approximately 99% and 99%, respectively, of the fair value of investments in this category include investments in equity funds that invest in the equity of various U.S. and foreign private companies in the energy, technology, internet service and telecommunication service industries. These investments cannot be redeemed, instead distributions are received through the liquidation of the

underlying assets of the funds which are expected to liquidate in one to eight years. The remaining investments are in liquidation and we are unable to estimate when the underlying assets will be fully liquidated. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, this category includes investments in equity funds managed by us with a fair value of \$56.6 million and \$54.4 million, respectively, and unfunded commitments of \$25.8 million and \$39.2 million, respectively.

- (5) Investment in the Jefferies Umbrella Fund, an open-ended investment company managed by us that invests primarily in convertible bonds. The investment is redeemable with 5 days prior written notice.
- (6) Investment in private asset management fund managed by us that employs a variety of investment strategies and can invest in U.S. and non-U.S. equity and equity related securities, futures, exchange traded funds, fixed income securities, preferred securities, options, forward contracts and swaps. Withdrawals from the fund prior to the first year anniversary of the investment are subject to a 5% withdrawal fee and withdrawals during any calendar quarter are limited to 25% of the fund's net asset value. Both of these restrictions can be waived by us, in our sole discretion.
- (7) Fair value has been estimated using the net asset value derived from each of the funds' capital statements. Investments at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, include \$87.2 million and \$66.9 million, respectively, of direct investments which do not have the
- (8) characteristics of investment companies and therefore not included within this table. We have unfunded commitments to such investments of \$0 million and \$3.3 million in aggregate at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Other Secured Financings

Other secured financings includes the notes issued by consolidated VIEs, which are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. Fair value is based on recent transaction prices. In addition, at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Other secured financings includes \$20.3 million and \$8.7 million, respectively, related to transfers of loans accounted for as secured financings rather than as sales and classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

Pricing Information

Our trading assets and trading liabilities are measured using different valuation bases as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Trading Assets	Trading Liabilities	Trading Assets	Trading Liabilities
Exchange closing prices	12 %	20 %	12 %	25 %
Recently observed transaction prices	4 %	5 %	5 %	4 %
External pricing services	72 %	70 %	68 %	66 %
Broker quotes	3 %	2 %	3 %	3 %
Valuation techniques	9 %	3 %	12 %	2 %
	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that have been categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the three months ended June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

	Balance, March 31, 2014	Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized)	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Issuances	Net transfers into (out of) Level 3	Balance, June 30, 2014	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to instruments still held at June 30, 2014 (1)
Assets:									
Trading assets:									
Corporate equity securities	\$12,341	\$(178)	\$90	\$(84)	\$-	\$-	\$4,233	\$16,402	\$(178)
Corporate debt securities	29,315	5,659	1,937	(5,831)	-	-	568	31,648	7,999
	66,028	4,706	19,146	(49,636)	(331)	-	2,400	42,313	238

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Collateralized debt obligations										
Residential mortgage-backed securities	116,992	(791)	10,955	(24,618)	(459)	–	(30,117)	71,962	(422)	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	17,486	(903)	18,026	(21,038)	(1,189)	–	11,864	24,246	(1,933)	
Other asset-backed securities	2,375	(314)	15,686	–	(438)	–	28,135	45,444	(314)	
Loans and other receivables	128,832	11,933	42,278	(48,064)	(21,482)	–	25,146	138,643	11,922	
Investments at fair value	138,843	4,678	7,660	(4,101)	–	–	(6,628)	140,452	2,030	
Investments in managed funds	59,528	(8,151)	11,305	–	–	–	(6,563)	56,119	(8,151)	
Liabilities:										
Trading liabilities:										
Corporate equity securities	\$1,015	\$ (977)	\$–	\$–	\$–	\$ –	\$–	\$38	\$ 558	
Corporate debt securities	–	(84)	(4,082)	3,012	–	–	3,934	2,780	84	
Net derivatives (2)	5,773	9,485	(2,150)	2,149	25	–	–	15,282	(9,485)	
Loans	10,260	62	(9,629)	18,995	139	–	11,707	31,534	(57)	
Other secured financings	30,394	–	(992)	–	(9,114)	–	–	20,288	–	

(1) Realized and unrealized gains (losses) are reported in Principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2) Net derivatives represent Trading assets - Derivatives and Trading liabilities - Derivatives.

Analysis of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2014

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, transfers of assets of \$95.4 million from Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$5.5 million, commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$14.2 million and other asset-backed securities of \$29.9 million for which no recent trade activity was observed for purposes of determining observable inputs;
- Loans and other receivables of \$26.3 million due to a lower number of contributors comprising vendor quotes to support classification within Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$11.6 million and corporate debt securities of \$.6 million due to lack of observable market transactions;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$7.1 million which have little to no transparency in trade activity.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, transfers of assets of \$66.4 million from Level 3 to Level 2 are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$35.6 million, commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$2.3 million and other asset-backed securities of \$1.8 million for which market trades were observed in the period for either identical or similar securities;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$4.7 million, loans and other receivables of \$1.1 million and investments at fair value of \$6.6 million due to a greater number of contributors for certain vendor quotes supporting classification into Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$7.4 million and investments in managed funds of \$6.7 million due to an increase in observable market transactions.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, there were transfers of loan liabilities of \$11.7 million from Level 2 to Level 3 due to a decrease in observable inputs in the valuation. There were \$3.9 million transfers of corporate debt liabilities from Level 2 to Level 3 due to a lower number of observable market transactions.

Net gains on Level 3 assets were \$16.6 million and net losses on Level 3 liabilities were \$8.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Net gains on Level 3 assets were primarily due to increased valuations of certain loans and other receivables, collateralized debt obligations, corporate debt securities and investments at fair value, partially offset by a decrease in valuation of certain corporate equity securities, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and investments in managed funds. Net losses on Level 3 liabilities were primarily due to increased valuations of certain derivative instruments, partially offset by decrease in valuation of certain corporate equity and debt securities.

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that have been categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the six months ended June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Balance, December	Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized)	Net transfers into (out of)	Balance, June 30,	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to instruments
----------------------	---	--------------------------------------	----------------------	---

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	31, 2013	(1)	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Issuances	Level 3	2014	still held at June 30, 2014 (1)
Assets:									
Trading assets:									
Corporate equity securities	\$9,884	\$(1,583)	\$608	\$(370)	\$-	\$-	\$7,863	\$16,402	\$(494)
Corporate debt securities	25,666	5,116	3,835	(3,224)	-	-	255	31,648	7,420
Collateralized debt obligations	37,216	14,169	36,200	(55,963)	-	-	10,691	42,313	5,656
Residential mortgage-backed securities	105,492	(4,114)	21,893	(37,356)	(529)	-	(13,424)	71,962	(1,324)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	17,568	(2,191)	32,449	(29,864)	(1,710)	-	7,994	24,246	(3,236)
Other asset-backed securities	12,611	(537)	17,361	(5,496)	(438)	-	21,943	45,444	(569)
Loans and other receivables	145,890	3,946	92,579	(88,674)	(26,685)	-	11,587	138,643	3,382
Investments at fair value	101,242	30,176	30,160	(13,722)	-	-	(7,404)	140,452	27,529
Investments in managed funds	57,285	(11,284)	13,407	-	-	-	(3,289)	56,119	(11,284)
Liabilities:									
Trading liabilities:									
Corporate equity securities	\$38	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$38	\$-
Corporate debt securities	-	(203)	(28,319)	31,431	-	-	(129)	2,780	203
Net derivatives (2)	6,905	11,591	(179)	(21)	318	-	(3,332)	15,282	(11,591)
Loans	22,462	754	(22,491)	27,908	139	-	2,762	31,534	(57)
Other secured financings	8,711	-	-	-	(9,114)	20,691	-	20,288	-

(1) Realized and unrealized gains (losses) are reported in Principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2) Net derivatives represent Trading assets - Derivatives and Trading liabilities - Derivatives.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, transfers of assets of \$95.1 million from Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$20.9 million, commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$8.4 million and other asset-backed securities of \$25.5 million for which no recent trade activity was observed for purposes of determining observable inputs;
- Loans and other receivables of \$14.2 million due to a lower number of contributors comprising vendor quotes to support classification within Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$11.5 million and corporate debt securities of \$.3 million due to lack of observable market transactions;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$14.3 million which have little to no transparency in trade activity.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, transfers of assets of \$58.9 million from Level 3 to Level 2 are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$34.3 million, commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$4 million and other asset-backed securities of \$3.5 million for which market trades were observed in the period for either identical or similar securities;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$3.6 million, loans and other receivables of \$2.6 million and investments at fair value of \$7.4 million due to a greater number of contributors for certain vendor quotes supporting classification into Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$3.6 million and investments in managed funds of \$3.5 million due to an increase in observable market transactions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were transfers of loan liabilities of \$2.8 million from Level 2 to Level 3 due to a decrease in observable inputs in the valuation. There were \$3.3 million transfers of net derivative liabilities from Level 3 to Level 2 and \$.1 million transfers of corporate debt securities from Level 2 to Level 3 due to an increase in observable inputs used in the valuing of derivative contracts and an increase in observable market transactions, respectively.

Net gains on Level 3 assets were \$33.7 million and net losses on Level 3 liabilities were \$12.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Net gains on Level 3 assets were primarily due to increased valuations of certain corporate debt securities, collateralized debt obligations, loans and other receivables and investments at fair value, partially offset by a decrease in valuation of certain corporate equity securities, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, other asset-backed securities and investments in managed funds. Net losses on Level 3 liabilities were primarily due to increased valuations of certain derivative instruments and loan positions, partially offset by decrease in valuation of certain corporate debt securities.

The following is a summary of changes in fair value of our financial assets and liabilities that have been categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (3)

Total gains (losses)	Net transfers	Balance, June 30,	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to instruments
----------------------------	------------------	----------------------	--

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	Beginning Balance	(realized and unrealized) (1)	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	into (out of) Level 3	2014	still held at June 30, 2013 (1)
Assets:								
Trading assets:								
Corporate equity securities	\$ 13,234	\$ 2,906	\$ 5,023	\$(2,984)	\$-	\$ 1,398	\$ 19,577	\$ 2,058
Corporate debt securities	31,820	(2,867)	918	(11,989)	-	733	18,615	(2,242)
Collateralized debt obligations	29,776	6,698	17,864	(6,270)	-	(2,944)	45,124	6,148
Residential mortgage-backed securities	169,426	(86)	57,750	(71,534)	(5,436)	(6,354)	143,766	(367)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	17,794	(2,905)	1,403	(2,744)	(1,578)	4,098	16,068	(3,835)
Other asset-backed securities	1,252	(4)	-	-	-	196	1,444	(4)
Loans and other receivables	170,986	(5,049)	160,409	(24,741)	(188,268)	4,159	117,496	(6,925)
Investments at fair value	70,067	(1,197)	5,000	-	(2,493)	4,987	76,364	(1,349)
Investments in managed funds	59,976	(927)	2,532	-	(6,562)	122	55,141	(926)
Liabilities:								
Trading liabilities:								
Corporate equity securities	\$ 38	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 38	\$-
Residential mortgage-backed securities	1,542	-	(1,542)	-	-	-	-	-
Net derivatives (2)	11,185	(386)	-	-	-	-	10,799	386
Loans	7,398	-	(7,398)	15,212	-	-	15,212	-
Other secured financings	-	-	-	-	-	2,294	2,294	-

(1) Realized and unrealized gains (losses) are reported in Principal transactions in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2) Net derivatives represent Trading assets - Derivatives and Trading liabilities - Derivatives.

(3) There were no issuances.

Analysis of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, transfers of assets of \$54.9 million from Level 2 to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$29.7 million and commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$5.6 million for which no recent trade activity was observed for purposes of determining observable inputs;
- Loans and other receivables of \$6.9 million due to a lower number of contributors comprising vendor quotes to support classification within Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$2.7 million and corporate debt securities of \$2.0 million due to lack of observable market transactions;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$2.8 million which have little to no transparency in trade activity.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, transfers of assets of \$48.5 million from Level 3 to Level 2 are attributed to:

- Non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities of \$36.0 million and commercial mortgage-backed securities of \$1.5 million for which market trades were observed in the period for either identical or similar securities;
- Collateralized debt obligations of \$5.7 million and loans and other receivables of \$2.7 million due to a greater number of contributors for certain vendor quotes supporting classification into Level 2;
- Corporate equity securities of \$1.3 million and corporate debt securities of \$1.2 million due to an increase in observable market transactions.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were \$2.3 million of transfers of liabilities from Level 2 to Level 3 and no transfers of liabilities from Level 3 to Level 2.

Net losses on Level 3 assets were \$3.4 million and net gains on Level 3 liabilities were \$0.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Net losses on Level 3 assets were primarily due to decreased valuations of certain loans and other receivables, commercial mortgage-backed securities, corporate debt securities, investments at fair value, investments in managed funds and residential mortgage-backed securities, partially offset by an increase in valuation of certain collateralized debt obligations and corporate equity securities. Net gains on Level 3 liabilities were primarily due to increased valuations of certain derivative instruments.

Quantitative Information about Significant Unobservable Inputs used in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The tables below present information on the valuation techniques, significant unobservable inputs and their ranges for our financial assets and liabilities, subject to threshold levels related to the market value of the positions held, measured at fair value on a recurring basis with a significant Level 3 balance. The range of unobservable inputs could differ significantly across different firms given the range of products across different firms in the financial services sector. The inputs are not representative of the inputs that could have been used in the valuation of any one financial instrument; i.e., the input used for valuing one financial instrument within a particular class of financial instruments may not be appropriate for valuing other financial instruments within that given class. Additionally, the ranges of inputs presented below should not be construed to represent uncertainty regarding the fair values of our financial instruments; rather the ranges of inputs are reflective of the differences in the underlying characteristics of the financial instruments in each category.

For certain categories, we have provided a weighted average of the inputs allocated based on the fair values of the financial instruments comprising the category. We do not believe that the range or weighted average of the inputs is indicative of the reasonableness of uncertainty of our Level 3 fair values. The range and weighted average are driven by the individual financial instruments within each category and their relative distribution in the population. The

disclosed inputs when compared with the inputs as disclosed in other quarters should not be expected to necessarily be indicative of changes in our estimates of unobservable inputs for a particular financial instrument as the population of financial instruments comprising the category will vary from period to period based on purchases and sales of financial instruments during the period as well as transfers into and out of Level 3 each period.

29

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2014

Financial Instruments Owned	Fair Value (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input(s)	Input/Range	Weighted Average
Corporate equity securities	\$ 16,402				
Non-exchange traded securities		Market approach	EBITDA (a) multiple	3.3 to 3.50	3.5
Corporate debt securities	\$ 29,739				
		Scenario analysis	Estimated recovery percentage	25% to 50%	42 %
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 40,213				
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	10% to 20%	13 %
			Constant default rate	0% to 3%	2 %
			Loss severity	30% to 85%	57 %
			Yield	5% to 43%	20 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 71,962				
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	1% to 50%	13 %
			Constant default rate	1% to 100%	20 %
			Loss severity	0% to 90%	50 %
			Yield	3% to 14%	9 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	\$ 24,246				
		Discounted cash flows	Yield	9% to 18%	12 %
			Cumulative loss rate	0% to 9%	4 %
Other asset-backed securities	\$ 45,444				
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond price	\$100	—
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	0	% —
			Constant default rate	0	% —
			Loss severity	0	% —
			Yield	4	% —
Loans and other receivables	\$ 130,068				
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond or loan price	93.50 to \$100.375	\$ 98
		Market approach	Yield	3% to 4%	4 %
			EBITDA (a) multiple	3 to 8	6
		Scenario analysis	Estimated recovery percentage	10% to 100%	88 %

Derivatives	\$ 913				
Forward contract		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$ 100.38	–
Investments at fair value	\$ 48,844				
Private equity securities		Comparable pricing	Comparable share price	\$ 27	–
		Market approach	Discount rate	15% to 30%	23 %
	Fair Value				
	(in	Valuation	Significant		Weighted
Trading Liabilities	thousands)	Technique	Unobservable Input(s)	Input/Range	Average
Corporate debt securities	\$ 2,780				
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond price	\$ 30	–
Derivatives	\$ 15,033				
Unfunded commitments		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	92.25 to \$102.20	\$ 94
Loans	\$ 31,534				
		Comparable pricing	Comparable loan price	\$ 100.38	–

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2013

Financial Instruments Owned	Fair Value (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input(s)	Input/Range	Weighted Average
Corporate equity securities	\$ 8,034				
Non-exchange traded securities		Market approach	EBITDA (a) multiple	4.0 to 5.5	4.53
Warrants		Option model	Volatility	36	% -
Corporate debt securities	\$ 17,699				
		Scenario analysis	Estimated recovery percentage	24	% -
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond or loan price	69.10 to \$70.50	\$ 69.91
		Market approach	Yield	13	% -
Collateralized debt obligations	\$ 34,316				
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	0% to 20%	13 %
			Constant default rate	2% to 3%	2 %
			Loss severity	30% to 85%	38 %
			Yield	3% to 91%	28 %
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 105,492				
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	2% to 50%	11 %
			Constant default rate	1% to 100%	17 %
			Loss severity	30% to 90%	48 %
			Yield	0% to 20%	7 %
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	\$ 17,568				
		Discounted cash flows	Yield	12% to 20%	14 %
			Cumulative loss rate	5% to 28.2%	11 %
Other asset-backed securities	\$ 12,611				
		Discounted cash flows	Constant prepayment rate	4% to 30%	17 %
			Constant default rate	2% to 11%	7 %
			Loss severity	40% to 92%	64 %
			Yield	3% to 29%	18 %
Loans and other receivables	\$ 101,931				
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond or loan price	\$91 to \$101 8.75% to	\$ 98.90
		Market approach	Yield	13.5%	10 %
			EBITDA (a) multiple	6.9	-
		Scenario analysis		16.9% to 92%	74 %

			Estimated recovery percentage			
Derivatives	\$ 1,493					
Loan commitments		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond or loan price	\$ 100.875		–
Investments at fair value	\$ 30,203					
Private equity securities		Comparable pricing Market approach	Comparable share price Discount rate	\$ 414 15% to 30%		– 23 %
Trading Liabilities	Fair Value (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Significant Unobservable Input(s)	Input/Range		Weighted Average
Derivatives	\$ 8,398					
Equity options		Option model	Volatility	36.25% to 41%		39 %
Loans	\$ 8,106					
		Comparable pricing	Comparable bond or loan price	\$ 101.88		–

(a) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”).

The fair values of certain Level 3 assets and liabilities that were determined based on third-party pricing information, unadjusted past transaction prices, reported net asset value or a percentage of the reported enterprise fair value are excluded from the above table. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, asset exclusions consisted of \$104.2 million and \$127.7 million, respectively, primarily comprised of investments in private equity securities, investments in reinsurance contracts and certain corporate loans. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, liability exclusions consisted of \$1.2 million and \$14.4 million, respectively, of corporate loan commitments.

31

Sensitivity of Fair Values to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the sensitivity of the fair value measurement to changes in significant unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those unobservable inputs (if any) are described below:

Private equity securities, corporate debt securities, loans and other receivables and loan commitments using comparable pricing valuation techniques. A significant increase (decrease) in the comparable share, bond or loan price in isolation would result in a significant higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Non-exchange traded securities, corporate debt securities, and loans and other receivables using a market approach valuation technique. A significant increase (decrease) in the EBITDA or other multiples in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the yield of a corporate debt security, loan and other receivable would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. A significant increase (decrease) in the discount rate of a private equity security would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Corporate debt securities and loans and other receivables using scenario analysis. A significant increase (decrease) in the possible recovery rates of the cash flow outcomes underlying the investment would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement for the financial instrument.

Collateralized debt obligations, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities using a discounted cash flow valuation technique. A significant increase (decrease) in isolation in the constant default rate, loss severities or cumulative loss rate and discount rate would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. The impact of changes in the constant prepayment rate would have differing impacts depending on the capital structure of the security. A significant increase (decrease) in the loan or bond yield would result in a significant lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Derivative equity options and equity warrants using an option model. A significant increase (decrease) in volatility would result in a significant higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Private equity securities using a net asset value technique. A significant increase (decrease) in the discount applied to net asset value would result in a significant (lower) higher fair value measurement.

Fair Value Option Election

We have elected the fair value option for all loans and loan commitments made by Jefferies capital markets businesses. These loans and loan commitments include loans entered into by Jefferies investment banking division in connection with client bridge financing and loan syndications, loans purchased by Jefferies leveraged credit trading desk as part of its bank loan trading activities and mortgage loan commitments and fundings in connection with mortgage-backed securitization activities. Loans and loan commitments originated or purchased by Jefferies leveraged credit and mortgage-backed businesses are managed on a fair value basis. Loans are included in Trading assets and loan commitments are included in Trading assets - Derivatives and Trading liabilities – Derivatives. The fair value option election is not applied to loans made to affiliate entities as such loans are entered into as part of ongoing, strategic business ventures. Loans to affiliate entities are included within Loans to and investments in associated companies and are accounted for on an amortized cost basis. We have also elected the fair value option for certain financial instruments held by Jefferies subsidiaries as the investments are risk managed on a fair value basis. The fair value option has also been elected for certain secured financings that arise in connection with Jefferies securitization activities and other structured financings.

The following is a summary of gains (losses) due to changes in instrument specific credit risk on loans and other receivables and loan commitments measured at fair value under the fair value option for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2013
Financial Instruments Owned:			
Loans and other receivables	\$2,038	\$1,430	\$13,474
Financial Instruments Sold:			
Loans	\$(1,555)	\$(2,591)	\$-
Loan commitments	\$(9,024)	\$(11,090)	\$(5,421)

32

The following is a summary of the amount by which contractual principal exceeds fair value for loans and other receivables measured at fair value under the fair value option (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Loans and other receivables (2)	\$276,420	\$264,896
Loans greater than 90 days past due (1) (2)	\$-	\$-

(1) The aggregate fair value of loans that were 90 or more days past due was \$0.0 million and \$0.0 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

(2) Interest income is recognized separately from other changes in fair value and is included within Interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

There were no loan receivables on nonaccrual status at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Prior to the completion of the Jefferies acquisition, we elected the fair value option for our investment in Jefferies, commencing on the date Jefferies became subject to the equity method of accounting. The increase in the fair value of our investment in Jefferies prior to the acquisition was \$182.7 million during the six month 2013 period.

We have elected the fair value option for Jefferies investment in Knight Capital Group, Inc., acquired by Jefferies during 2012. On July 1, 2013, Knight Capital completed its previously announced merger with GETCO Holding Company, LLC; as a result KCG Holdings, Inc. became the new public parent of both entities. Although no longer subject to the equity method of accounting, KCG Holdings continues to be accounted for at fair value consistent with the election made for all of Jefferies trading assets. The change in the fair value of this investment was \$7.6 million and \$6.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014, we own approximately 41.6 million common shares of Harbinger Group Inc. ("Harbinger"), representing approximately 20% of Harbinger's outstanding common shares, which are accounted for under the fair value option. The shares were acquired at an aggregate cost of \$411.1 million. In addition, we currently have the right to appoint two directors to Harbinger's board; such directors were appointed on July 1, 2014. We have agreed not to increase our interest in Harbinger above 27.5% for a period of two years. The shares have the benefit of a registration rights agreement, and may be otherwise sold pursuant to securities laws; however, we have agreed not to sell the shares to a party if after such sale the party would own in excess of 4.9% of Harbinger common stock.

We believe accounting for these investments at fair value better reflected the economics of these investments, and quoted market prices for these investments provided an objectively determined fair value at each balance sheet date. Our investment in HomeFed is the only other investment accounted for under the equity method of accounting that is also a publicly traded company for which we did not elect the fair value option. HomeFed's common stock is not listed on any stock exchange, and price information for the common stock is not regularly quoted on any automated quotation system. It is traded in the over-the-counter market with high and low bid prices published by the National Association of Securities Dealers OTC Bulletin Board Service; however, trading volume is minimal. For these reasons we did not elect the fair value option for HomeFed.

Note 6. Derivative Financial Instruments

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Jefferies has contractual commitments arising in the ordinary course of business for securities loaned or purchased under agreements to resell, repurchase agreements, future purchases and sales of foreign currencies, securities transactions on a when-issued basis and underwriting. Each of these financial instruments and activities contains varying degrees of off-balance sheet risk whereby the fair values of the securities underlying the financial instruments may be in excess of, or less than, the contract amount. The settlement of these transactions is not expected to have a significant effect upon our consolidated financial statements.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative activities are recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition in Trading assets – Derivatives and Trading liabilities – Derivatives, net of cash paid or received under credit support agreements and on a net counterparty basis when a legal right to offset exists under a master netting agreement. Net realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations on a trade date basis and as a component of cash flows from operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Acting in a trading capacity, Jefferies may enter into derivative transactions to satisfy the needs of its clients and to manage its own exposure to market and credit risks resulting from trading activities. (See Notes 5 and 23 for additional disclosures about derivative instruments.)

33

Derivatives are subject to various risks similar to other financial instruments, including market, credit and operational risk. The risks of derivatives should not be viewed in isolation, but rather should be considered on an aggregate basis along with our other trading-related activities. Jefferies manages the risks associated with derivatives on an aggregate basis along with the risks associated with proprietary trading as part of its firm wide risk management policies. In connection with Jefferies derivative activities, Jefferies may enter into International Swaps and Derivative Association, Inc. (“ISDA”) master netting agreements or similar agreements with counterparties. These agreements provide Jefferies with the ability to offset a counterparty’s rights and obligations, request additional collateral when necessary or liquidate the collateral in the event of counterparty default. See Note 12 for additional information with respect to financial statement offsetting.

The following tables present the fair value and related number of derivative contracts categorized by type of derivative contract as reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The fair value of assets/liabilities related to derivative contracts represents our receivable/payable for derivative financial instruments, gross of counterparty netting and cash collateral received and pledged (in thousands, except contract amounts):

	June 30, 2014			
	Assets		Liabilities	
	Fair Value	Number of Contracts	Fair Value	Number of Contracts
Interest rate contracts	\$1,672,195	48,853	\$1,678,742	67,733
Foreign exchange contracts	474,633	64,945	488,763	62,943
Equity contracts	553,622	1,820,612	555,213	1,751,789
Commodity contracts	196,560	848,890	221,075	841,714
Credit contracts: centrally cleared swaps	3,386	4	6,550	9
Credit contracts: other credit derivatives	1,295	15	23,022	31
Total	2,901,691		2,973,365	
Counterparty/cash-collateral netting	(2,648,507)		(2,747,077)	
Total per Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition	\$253,184		\$226,288	

	December 31, 2013			
	Assets		Liabilities	
	Fair Value	Number of Contracts	Fair Value	Number of Contracts
Interest rate contracts	\$1,165,977	63,967	\$1,131,166	77,338
Foreign exchange contracts	653,772	118,707	693,658	112,417
Equity contracts	501,784	1,742,343	474,985	1,800,603
Commodity contracts	141,280	797,529	173,119	788,717
Credit contracts: centrally cleared swaps	49,531	49	51,632	46
Credit contracts: other credit derivatives	2,339	16	8,130	19
Total	2,514,683		2,532,690	
Counterparty/cash-collateral netting	(2,253,589)		(2,352,611)	
Total per Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition	\$261,094		\$180,079	

The following table presents unrealized and realized gains (losses) on derivative contracts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2013
Interest rate contracts	\$(49,738)	\$(49,946)	\$29,381
Foreign exchange contracts	(4,281)	1,156	4,135
Equity contracts	(73,529)	(164,630)	33,892
Commodity contracts	21,794	37,980	21,513
Credit contracts	(11,441)	(15,330)	(11,010)
Total	\$(117,195)	\$(190,770)	\$77,911

34

OTC Derivatives. The following tables set forth by remaining contract maturity the fair value of OTC derivative assets and liabilities as reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	OTC Derivative Assets (1) (2) (4)				Total
	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Cross-Maturity Netting (3)	
Commodity swaps, options and forwards	\$52,226	\$1,435	\$-	\$-	\$53,661
Equity swaps and options	1,297	-	-	-	1,297
Total return swaps	6,559	-	-	-	6,559
Foreign currency forwards, swaps and options	85,715	15,283	51	(14,167)	86,882
Interest rate swaps, options and forwards	80,802	114,082	129,218	(70,493)	253,609
Total	\$226,599	\$130,800	\$129,269	\$(84,660)	402,008
Cross product counterparty netting					(17,810)
Total OTC derivative assets included in Trading assets					\$384,198

(1) At June 30, 2014, we held exchange traded derivative assets and other credit agreements with a fair value of \$11.6 million, which are not included in this table.

(2) OTC derivative assets in the table above are gross of collateral received. OTC derivative assets are recorded net of collateral received in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At June 30, 2014, cash collateral received was \$143.0 million.

(3) Amounts represent the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty within product category across maturity categories.

(4) Derivative fair values include counterparty netting within product category.

	OTC Derivative Liabilities (1) (2) (4)				Total
	0-12 Months	1-5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Cross-Maturity Netting (3)	
Commodity swaps, options and forwards	\$78,158	\$9,117	\$-	\$ -	\$87,275
Credit default swaps	51	8,744	898	-	9,693
Equity swaps and options	-	-	9,300	-	9,300
Total return swaps	2,200	-	-	-	2,200
Foreign currency forwards, swaps and options	88,516	16,380	-	(14,167)	90,729
Interest rate swaps, options and forwards	56,392	111,734	166,652	(70,493)	264,285
Total	\$225,317	\$145,975	\$176,850	\$(84,660)	463,482
Cross product counterparty netting					(17,810)
Total OTC derivative liabilities included in Trading liabilities					\$445,672

(1) At June 30, 2014, we held exchange traded derivative liabilities and other credit agreements with a fair value of \$22.2 million, which are not included in this table.

(2) OTC derivative liabilities in the table above are gross of collateral pledged. OTC derivative liabilities are recorded net of collateral pledged in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At June 30, 2014, cash collateral

pledged was \$241.6 million.

(3) Amounts represent the netting of receivable balances with payable balances for the same counterparty within product category across maturity categories.

(4) Derivative fair values include counterparty netting within product category.

35

At June 30, 2014, the counterparty credit quality with respect to the fair value of our OTC derivative assets was as follows (in thousands):

Counterparty credit quality (1):	
A- or higher	\$ 179,090
BBB- to BBB+	52,347
BB+ or lower	82,789
Unrated	69,972
Total	\$384,198

We utilize internal credit ratings determined by Jefferies Risk Management. Credit ratings determined by Risk (1) Management use methodologies that produce ratings generally consistent with those produced by external rating agencies.

Contingent Features

Certain of Jefferies derivative instruments contain provisions that require their debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If Jefferies debt were to fall below investment grade, it would be in violation of these provisions and the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment or demand immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on Jefferies derivative instruments in liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is \$47.3 million and \$170.2 million, respectively, for which Jefferies has posted collateral of \$42.4 million and \$127.7 million, respectively, in the normal course of business. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Jefferies would have been required to post an additional \$5.6 million and \$49.4 million, respectively, of collateral to its counterparties.

Note 7. Collateralized Transactions

Jefferies enters into secured borrowing and lending arrangements to obtain collateral necessary to effect settlement, finance trading asset inventory positions, meet customer needs or re-lend as part of dealer operations. Jefferies monitors the fair value of the securities loaned and borrowed on a daily basis as compared with the related payable or receivable, and requests additional collateral or returns excess collateral, as appropriate. Jefferies pledges financial instruments as collateral under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements and other secured arrangements, including clearing arrangements. Jefferies agreements with counterparties generally contain contractual provisions allowing the counterparty the right to sell or repledge the collateral. Pledged securities owned that can be sold or repledged by the counterparty are included within Financial instruments owned and noted parenthetically as Securities pledged on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Jefferies receives securities as collateral under resale agreements, securities borrowing transactions and customer margin loans. Jefferies also receives securities as collateral in connection with securities-for-securities transactions in which it is the lender of securities. In many instances, Jefferies is permitted by contract or custom to rehypothecate the securities received as collateral. These securities may be used to secure repurchase agreements, enter into securities lending transactions, satisfy margin requirements on derivative transactions or cover short positions. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the approximate fair value of securities received as collateral by Jefferies that may be sold or repledged was \$22.5 billion and \$21.9 billion, respectively. A substantial portion of these securities have been sold or repledged.

In instances where Jefferies receives securities as collateral in connection with securities-for-securities transactions in which Jefferies is the lender of securities and is permitted to sell or repledge the securities received as collateral, it reports the fair value of the collateral received and the related obligation to return the collateral in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$126.1 million and \$11.1 million, respectively, were reported as Securities received as collateral and as Obligation to return securities received as collateral.

Note 8. Securitization Activities

Jefferies engages in securitization activities related to corporate loans, commercial mortgage loans and mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities. In securitization transactions, Jefferies transfers assets to special purpose entities ("SPEs") and acts as the placement or structuring agent for the beneficial interests sold to investors by the SPE. A significant portion of the securitization transactions are securitization of assets issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies. These SPEs generally meet the criteria of variable interest entities; however the SPEs are generally not consolidated as Jefferies is not considered the primary beneficiary for these SPEs. See Note 10 for further information on variable interest entities.

36

Jefferies accounts for securitization transactions as sales provided it has relinquished control over the transferred assets. Transferred assets are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations prior to the identification and isolation for securitization. Revenues subsequent to such identification and isolation, including revenues recognized from the sales of the beneficial interests to investors, are reflected as net underwriting revenues. If Jefferies has not relinquished control over the transferred assets, the assets continue to be recognized in Trading assets and a corresponding secured borrowing is recognized in Other secured financings. The related liabilities do not have recourse to Jefferies general credit.

Jefferies generally receives cash proceeds in connection with the transfer of assets to an SPE. Jefferies may, however, have continuing involvement with the transferred assets, which is limited to retaining one or more tranches of the securitization (primarily senior and subordinated debt securities), which are included within Trading assets. We apply fair value accounting to the securities.

The following table presents activity related to our securitizations that were accounted for as sales in which we had continuing involvement during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in millions):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2013
Transferred assets	\$1,599.7	\$3,226.6	\$2,184.0
Proceeds on new securitizations	1,600.1	3,228.2	2,190.9
Net revenues	0.9	1.6	4.8
Cash flows received on retained interests	20.4	28.9	11.1

Assets received as proceeds in the form of mortgage-backed securities or collateralized loan obligations issued by the SPEs have been initially categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. For further information on fair value measurements and the fair value hierarchy, see Notes 2 and 5. Jefferies has no explicit or implicit arrangements to provide additional financial support to these SPEs and has no liabilities related to these SPEs at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Although not obligated, in connection with secondary market-making activities Jefferies may make a market in the securities issued by these SPEs. In these market-making transactions, Jefferies buys these securities from and sells these securities to investors. Securities purchased through these market-making activities are not considered to be continuing involvement in these SPEs, although the securities are included in Trading assets. To the extent the securities purchased through these market-making activities meet specific thresholds and Jefferies is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, these securities are included in agency and non-agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations in the nonconsolidated variable interest entities table presented in Note 10.

The following table summarizes our retained interests in SPEs where Jefferies transferred assets and has continuing involvement and received sale accounting treatment (in millions):

Securitization Type	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Total	Retained	Total	Retained

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	Assets	Interests	Assets	Interests
U.S. government agency residential mortgage-backed securities	\$11,466.7	\$ 254.3	\$11,518.4	\$ 281.3
U.S. government agency commercial mortgage-backed securities	3,655.2	100.2	5,385.6	96.8
Collateralized loan obligations	728.5	8.6	728.5	9.0

Jefferies does not have any outstanding derivative contracts executed in connection with these securitization activities. Total assets represent the unpaid principal amount of assets in the SPEs in which Jefferies has continuing involvement and are presented solely to provide information regarding the size of the transaction and the size of the underlying assets supporting its retained interests, and are not considered representative of the risk of potential loss. Assets retained in connection with a securitization transaction represent the fair value of the securities of one or more tranches issued by an SPE, including senior and subordinated tranches. Jefferies risk of loss is limited to this fair value amount which is included within total Trading assets in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

37

Note 9. Available for Sale Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investments classified as available for sale at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
<u>June 30, 2014</u>				
Bonds and notes:				
U.S. government securities	\$945,739	\$ 136	\$ 3	\$945,872
Residential mortgage-backed securities	574,369	11,095	843	584,621
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	48,260	896	29	49,127
Other asset-backed securities	222,678	926	395	223,209
All other corporates	38,041	335	8	38,368
Total fixed maturities	1,829,087	13,388	1,278	1,841,197
Equity securities:				
Common stocks:				
Banks, trusts and insurance companies	22,842	29,222	–	52,064
Industrial, miscellaneous and all other	22,264	28,955	–	51,219
Total equity securities	45,106	58,177	–	103,283
	\$1,874,193	\$ 71,565	\$ 1,278	\$1,944,480
<u>December 31, 2013</u>				
Bonds and notes:				
U.S. government securities	\$1,781,052	\$ 226	\$ 12	\$1,781,266
Residential mortgage-backed securities	570,642	9,946	1,426	579,162
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	18,271	13	299	17,985
Other asset-backed securities	183,593	627	184	184,036
All other corporates	50,933	267	37	51,163
Total fixed maturities	2,604,491	11,079	1,958	2,613,612
Equity securities:				
Common stocks:				
First Quantum Minerals Ltd.	154,281	–	5,616	148,665
Banks, trusts and insurance companies	22,980	27,562	–	50,542
Industrial, miscellaneous and all other	21,012	32,312	–	53,324
Total equity securities	198,273	59,874	5,616	252,531
	\$2,802,764	\$ 70,953	\$ 7,574	\$2,866,143

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments classified as available for sale at June 30, 2014, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities are likely to differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost (In thousands)	Estimated Fair Value
Due within one year	\$962,776	\$962,973
Due after one year through five years	21,004	21,267
Due after five years through ten years	–	–
Due after ten years	–	–
	983,780	984,240
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	845,307	856,957
	\$1,829,087	\$1,841,197

38

At June 30, 2014, the unrealized losses on investments which have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and 12 months or longer were not significant.

As more fully discussed in the 2013 10-K, during the first quarter of 2013 we exchanged our investment in Inmet Mining Corporation for 18,202,313 shares of First Quantum, valued at \$340.4 million on the date received, and \$391.2 million in cash. We recorded a gain on the transaction of \$227.6 million. During 2013 and 2014, we sold our interest in First Quantum.

Note 10. Variable Interest Entities

Variable interest entities ("VIEs") are entities in which equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. VIEs are consolidated by the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary is the party who has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has an obligation to absorb losses of the entity or a right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity.

We determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE upon our initial involvement with the VIE and we reassess whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis. Our determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE is based upon the facts and circumstances for each VIE and requires significant judgment. In determining whether we are the party with the power to direct the VIE's most significant activities, we first identify the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact its economic performance. Our considerations in determining the VIE's most significant activities primarily include, but are not limited to, the VIE's purpose and design and the risks passed through to investors. We then assess whether we have the power to direct those significant activities. Our considerations in determining whether we have the power to direct the VIE's most significant activities include, but are not limited to, voting interests of the VIE, management, service and/or other agreements of the VIE, involvement in the VIE's initial design and the existence of explicit or implicit financial guarantees. In situations where we have determined that the power over the VIE's most significant activities is shared, we assess whether we are the party with the power over the majority of the significant activities. If we are the party with the power over the majority of the significant activities, we meet the "power" criteria of the primary beneficiary. If we do not have the power over a majority of the significant activities or we determine that decisions require consent of each sharing party, we do not meet the "power" criteria of the primary beneficiary.

We assess our variable interests in a VIE both individually and in aggregate to determine whether we have an obligation to absorb losses of or a right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The determination of whether our variable interest is significant to the VIE requires significant judgment. In determining the significance of our variable interest, we consider the terms, characteristics and size of the variable interests, the design and characteristics of the VIE, our involvement in the VIE and our market-making activities related to the variable interests. Our variable interests in VIEs include debt and equity interests, commitments and certain fees. Our involvement with VIEs arises primarily from the following activities of Jefferies:

- Purchases of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized debt and loan obligations in connection with our trading and secondary market-making activities,
- Retained interests held as a result of securitization activities as part of primary market-making activities, including the resecuritizations of mortgage-backed securities and the securitization of corporate loans,
- Financing of agency and non-agency mortgage-securities through financing vehicles utilizing master repurchase agreements,
- Management and performance fees in the Jefferies Umbrella Fund, and

·Loans to and investments in investment fund vehicles.

Consolidated VIEs

The following tables present information about the assets and liabilities of our consolidated VIEs, which are presented within our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition in the respective asset and liability categories, as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

39

	Securitization Vehicles	
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(In millions)	
Cash	\$—	\$—
Financial instruments owned	—	97.5
Securities purchased under agreement to resell (1)	220.0	195.1
Other	—	2.3
Total assets	\$220.0	\$ 294.9
Other secured financings (2)	\$220.0	\$ 292.5
Other	—	2.1
Total liabilities	\$220.0	\$ 294.6

- (1) Securities purchased under agreement to resell represent an amount due under a collateralized transaction on a related consolidated entity, which is eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Approximately \$66.5 million of the secured financing represents an amount held by Jefferies in inventory and eliminated in consolidation at December 31, 2013.

Securitization vehicles. Jefferies is the primary beneficiary of mortgage-backed financing vehicles to which Jefferies sells agency and non-agency residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities pursuant to the terms of a master repurchase agreement. Jefferies manages the assets within these vehicles. Jefferies variable interests in these vehicles consist of its collateral margin maintenance obligations under the master repurchase agreement. The assets of these VIEs consist of reverse repurchase agreements, which are available for the benefit of the vehicle's debt holders. The creditors of these VIEs do not have recourse to Jefferies general credit.

At December 31, 2013, Jefferies was the primary beneficiary of a securitization vehicle to which it transferred a corporate loan and retained a portion of the securities issued by the securitization vehicle. Its variable interests in this vehicle consisted of the securities retained. The assets of the VIE consisted of a corporate loan, which is available for the benefit of the vehicle's beneficial interest holders. During the second quarter of 2014, the loan was repaid, the securities issued by the securitization vehicle were redeemed and the securitization vehicle was terminated. As a result, the securitization vehicle is no longer consolidated by us at June 30, 2014 and no gain or loss was recognized upon deconsolidation.

Nonconsolidated VIEs

Jefferies also holds variable interests in VIEs in which it is not the primary beneficiary and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact their economic performance and, accordingly, do not consolidate. Jefferies has not provided financial or other support to these VIEs and has no explicit or implicit arrangements to provide additional financial support to these VIEs at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The following tables present information about nonconsolidated VIEs in which Jefferies has variable interests aggregated by principal business activity. The tables include VIEs where Jefferies has determined that the maximum exposure to loss is greater than specific thresholds or meets certain other criteria.

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2014

Variable Interests

	Financial Statement Carrying Amount		Maximum Exposure to Loss	VIE Assets
	Assets	Liabilities		
	(In millions)			

Collateralized loan obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$174.1	\$ 0.3	\$678.2	\$3,564.6
Agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations ^{(2) (3)}	859.4	–	859.4 ⁽⁵⁾	13,570.6
Non-agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations ^{(2) (3)}	956.3	–	956.3 ⁽⁵⁾	217,889.8
Asset management vehicle ⁽⁴⁾	3.6	–	3.6 ⁽⁵⁾	394.6
Private equity vehicles ⁽⁴⁾	45.2	–	61.4	94.8
Total	\$2,038.6	\$ 0.3	\$2,558.9	\$235,514.4

40

	December 31, 2013			
	Variable Interests			
	Financial Statement		Maximum	VIE
	Carrying Amount		Exposure	Assets
Assets	Liabilities	to Loss		
(In millions)				
Collateralized loan obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$11.9	\$ 0.2	\$88.8	\$1,122.3
Agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations ^{(2) (3)}	1,226.0	–	1,226.0 ⁽⁵⁾	5,857.3
Non-agency mortgage- and asset-backed securitizations ^{(2) (3)}	840.1	–	840.1 ⁽⁵⁾	78,070.8
Asset management vehicle ⁽⁴⁾	3.5	–	3.5 ⁽⁵⁾	454.2
Private equity vehicles ⁽⁴⁾	40.8	–	68.8	89.4
Total	\$2,122.3	\$ 0.2	\$2,227.2	\$85,594.0

Assets consist of debt securities and participation interests in corporate loans accounted for at fair value, which are (1) included within Trading assets. Liabilities consist of forward sale agreements and a guarantee provided to a CLO managed by Jefferies Finance, which are accounted for at fair value and included within Trading liabilities.

(2) VIE assets represent the unpaid principal balance of the assets in these vehicles at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and represent the underlying assets that provide the cash flows supporting our variable interests.

(3) Assets consist of debt securities accounted for at fair value, which are included within Trading assets.

(4) Assets consist of equity interests, which are included within Investments in managed funds.

(5) Our maximum exposure to loss in these non-consolidated VIEs is limited to our investment, which is represented by the financial statement carrying amount of our purchased or retained interests.

Collateralized Loan Obligations. Jefferies acts as transferor and underwriter in collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") transactions and retains securities representing variable interests in the CLOs. Assets collateralizing the CLOs include bank loans, participation interests and sub-investment grade and senior secured U.S. loans. Jefferies also enters into forward sale agreements and master participation agreements with CLOs. Under forward sale agreements, Jefferies commits to sell, at a fixed price, corporate loans and ownership interests in an entity holding such corporate loans to a CLO. Under master participation agreements, Jefferies purchases participation interests in corporate loans held by a CLO. In addition, Jefferies owns variable interests in CLOs previously managed by Jefferies. These variable interests consist of debt securities and a right to a portion of the CLOs' management and incentive fees. Jefferies exposure to loss from these CLOs is limited to its investments in the debt securities held. Management and incentives fees are accrued as the amounts become realizable. These CLOs represent interests in assets consisting primarily of senior secured loans, unsecured loans and high yield bonds. Jefferies has also provided a guarantee to a CLO managed by Jefferies Finance, whereby it guarantees certain of the obligations of Jefferies Finance to the CLO.

Mortgage- and Asset-Backed Vehicles. In connection with Jefferies trading and market-making activities, Jefferies buys and sells mortgage- and asset-backed securities. Mortgage- and asset-backed securities issued by securitization entities are generally considered variable interests in VIEs. A substantial portion of Jefferies variable interests in mortgage- and asset-backed VIEs are sponsored by unrelated third parties. The variable interests consist entirely of mortgage- and asset-backed securities and are accounted for at fair value and included in Trading assets in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. In addition to the agency mortgage- and asset-backed securities, non-agency mortgage- and asset-backed securities and collateralized loan obligations at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 presented in the above table, Jefferies owned additional securities issued by securitization SPEs for which

the maximum exposure to loss is less than specific thresholds. These additional securities were acquired in connection with Jefferies secondary market-making activities and securitization activities.

Asset Management Vehicle. Jefferies manages the Jefferies Umbrella Fund, an "umbrella structure" company that invests primarily in convertible bonds and enables investors to choose between one or more investment objectives by investing in one or more sub-funds within the same structure. Accounting changes to consolidation standards under GAAP have been deferred for entities that are considered to be investment companies; accordingly, consolidation continues to be determined under a risk and reward model. The Jefferies Umbrella Fund is subject to the deferral guidance and Jefferies is not the primary beneficiary under the risk and reward model. Jefferies variable interests in the Jefferies Umbrella Fund consist of equity interests, management fees and performance fees.

Private Equity Vehicles. On July 26, 2010, Jefferies committed to invest equity of up to \$75.0 million in Jefferies SBI USA Fund L.P. (the "SBI USA Fund"). As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Jefferies funded approximately \$58.8 million and \$47.0 million, respectively, of its commitment. The carrying amount of Jefferies equity investment was \$43.9 million and \$39.2 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Jefferies exposure to loss is limited to its equity commitment. The SBI USA Fund has assets consisting primarily of private equity and equity related investments.

41

Jefferies has a variable interest in Jefferies Employees Partners IV, LLC ("JEP IV") consisting of an equity investment. The carrying amount of Jefferies equity investment was \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Jefferies exposure to loss is limited to its equity investment. JEP IV has assets consisting primarily of private equity and equity related investments.

Note 11. Loans to and Investments in Associated Companies

A summary of loans to and investments in associated companies at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 accounted for under the equity method of accounting is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Jefferies Finance, LLC	\$343,464	\$470,537
Jefferies LoanCore LLC	158,071	224,037
Berkadia	203,133	182,573
Garcadia companies	126,378	120,017
HomeFed	254,035	52,923
Linkem S.p.A.	162,131	173,577
Other	48,654	34,677
Total	\$1,295,866	\$1,258,341

Income (losses) related to associated companies includes the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Berkadia	\$24,388	\$30,844	\$38,361	\$49,431
Garcadia companies	14,043	11,244	25,302	20,115
Linkem	(4,723)	(6,920)	(10,559)	(13,522)
HomeFed	795	(355)	177	(596)
Jefferies High Yield Holdings, LLC ("JHYH")	-	-	-	7,178
Other	842	50	2,100	3,065
Total	\$35,345	\$34,863	\$55,381	\$65,671

For the three month period ended June 30, 2013, our share of Berkadia's income includes an out of period adjustment of \$14.8 million to record income related to prior periods.

Income (losses) related to associated companies classified as Other revenues includes the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2013
Jefferies Finance	\$14,673	\$32,274	\$11,969
Jefferies LoanCore	3,400	5,882	16,723
Other	(808)	(264)	(152)
Total	\$17,265	\$37,892	\$28,540

42

Jefferies Finance

In October 2004, Jefferies entered into an agreement with Babson Capital Management LLC ("Babson Capital") and Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company ("MassMutual") to form Jefferies Finance, a joint venture entity. Jefferies Finance is a commercial finance company whose primary focus is the origination and syndication of senior secured debt to middle market and growth companies in the form of term and revolving loans. Loans are originated primarily through the investment banking efforts of Jefferies, with Babson Capital providing primary credit analytics and portfolio management services. Jefferies Finance also originates other debt products such as second lien term, bridge and mezzanine loans, as well as related equity co-investments. Jefferies Finance also purchases syndicated loans in the secondary market, including loans that are performing, stressed and distressed loan obligations.

Jefferies and MassMutual each have equity commitments to Jefferies Finance of \$600.0 million. At June 30, 2014, approximately \$331.1 million of Jefferies commitment was funded. The investment commitment is scheduled to mature on March 1, 2016 with automatic one year extensions subject to a 60 day termination notice by either party.

In addition, Jefferies and MassMutual have entered into a Secured Revolving Credit Facility, to be funded equally, to support loan underwritings by Jefferies Finance. The Secured Revolving Credit Facility bears interest based on the interest rates of the related Jefferies Finance underwritten loans and is secured by the underlying loans funded by the proceeds of the facility. The total committed Secured Revolving Credit Facility is \$700.0 million and is scheduled to mature on March 1, 2016 with automatic one year extensions subject to a 60 day termination notice by either party. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, \$0.0 million and \$123.8 million, respectively, of Jefferies \$350.0 million commitment was funded.

Jefferies engages in debt capital markets transactions with Jefferies Finance related to the originations of loans by Jefferies Finance. In connection with such transactions, Jefferies earned net underwriting fees of \$38.8 million and \$86.4 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$35.2 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, recognized in Investment banking revenues in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, Jefferies paid fees to Jefferies Finance regarding certain loans originated by Jefferies Finance of \$3.4 million and \$7.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$6.2 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, which are recognized within Selling, general and other expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Under a service agreement, Jefferies charged Jefferies Finance \$7.0 million and \$28.7 million for services provided during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$5.4 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Receivables from Jefferies Finance, included within Other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, were \$14.2 million and \$31.1 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Jefferies LoanCore

In February 2011, Jefferies entered into a joint venture agreement with the Government of Singapore Investment Corporation and LoanCore, LLC and formed Jefferies LoanCore, a commercial real estate finance company. Jefferies LoanCore originates and purchases commercial real estate loans throughout the United States with the support of the investment banking and securitization capabilities of Jefferies and the real estate and mortgage investment expertise of the Government of Singapore Investment Corporation and LoanCore, LLC. Jefferies LoanCore has aggregate equity commitments of \$600.0 million. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Jefferies has funded \$108.1 million and \$175.5 million, respectively, of its \$291.0 million equity commitment and has a 48.5% voting interest in Jefferies LoanCore.

Berkadia

Berkadia Commercial Mortgage LLC is a commercial mortgage banking and servicing joint venture formed in 2009 with Berkshire Hathaway. We and Berkshire Hathaway each contributed \$217.2 million of equity capital to the joint venture and each have a 50% equity interest in Berkadia. Through June 30, 2014, cumulative cash distributions received from this investment aggregated \$249.2 million. Berkadia originates commercial real estate loans that are sold to U.S. government agencies, and originates and brokers commercial mortgage loans which are not part of government agency programs. Berkadia is a servicer of commercial real estate loans in the U.S., performing primary, master and special servicing functions for U.S. government agency programs, commercial mortgage-backed securities transactions, banks, insurance companies and other financial institutions.

Berkadia uses all of the proceeds from the commercial paper sales of an affiliate of Berkadia to fund new mortgage loans, servicer advances, investments and other working capital requirements. Repayment of the commercial paper is supported by a \$2.5 billion surety policy issued by a Berkshire Hathaway insurance subsidiary and corporate guaranty, and we have agreed to reimburse Berkshire Hathaway for one-half of any losses incurred thereunder. As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$2.47 billion.

43

Linkem

We have acquired 40.2% of the common shares of Linkem, a fixed wireless broadband services provider in Italy, for aggregate cash consideration of \$138.4 million. In addition, we have purchased 5% convertible notes issued by Linkem for \$81.2 million (€58.9 million principal amount); if converted, we would own approximately 53% of Linkem's common equity. The excess of our investment in Linkem's common shares over our share of underlying book value is being amortized to expense over 12 years.

HomeFed

At June 30, 2014, we owned 9,460,563 shares of HomeFed's common stock, representing approximately 64% of HomeFed's outstanding common shares; however, we have agreed to limit our voting rights such that we will not be able to vote more than 45% of HomeFed's total voting securities voting on any matter, assuming all HomeFed shares not owned by us are voted. HomeFed is engaged, directly and through subsidiaries, in the investment in and development of real estate projects. HomeFed is a public company traded on the NASD OTC Bulletin Board (Symbol: HOFD). As a result of a 1998 distribution to all of our shareholders, approximately 5% of HomeFed is beneficially owned by our Chairman at June 30, 2014. Our Chairman also serves as HomeFed's Chairman, and our President is a Director of HomeFed.

In March 2014, we sold to HomeFed substantially all of our real estate properties and operations, most of our interest in Brooklyn Renaissance Plaza ("BRP") and cash of \$12.5 million (subject to adjustment), in exchange for 6,986,337 newly issued unregistered HomeFed common shares. The remainder of our interest in BRP will be sold to HomeFed for 513,663 additional HomeFed common shares upon receipt of the approval of a lender to BRP; closing of this portion of the transaction is expected during the third quarter of 2014.

Since we do not control HomeFed, our investment in HomeFed is accounted for as an investment in an associated company. We have also entered into a stockholders agreement that will limit our ability to increase our interest in HomeFed or dispose of our interest in HomeFed. We have a registration rights agreement with HomeFed that covers all of our HomeFed shares. See Note 27 for more information about the assets sold to HomeFed.

Under GAAP, we are not permitted to immediately recognize any gain on real estate sale transactions in which the seller does not receive cash; accordingly the gain on sale of approximately \$27.1 million was deferred and will be recognized into income over time. The new HomeFed shares received were recorded at fair value, which we estimated to be approximately \$28.76 per share, based on projections of future cash flows for HomeFed's underlying projects, discounted at a risk adjusted rate.

JHYH

Under GAAP, JHYH was considered a variable interest entity that was consolidated by Jefferies, since Jefferies was the primary beneficiary. In connection with the Jefferies acquisition, we contributed our investment in JHYH to Jefferies, other third-party investors were redeemed and JHYH was effectively dissolved.

Note 12. Financial Statement Offsetting

In connection with Jefferies derivative activities and securities financing activities, Jefferies may enter into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with counterparties. Generally, transactions are executed under standard industry agreements, including, but not limited to: derivative transactions – ISDA master netting agreements; securities lending transactions – master securities lending agreements; and repurchase transactions – master repurchase

agreements. A master agreement creates a single contract under which all transactions between two counterparties are executed allowing for trade aggregation and a single net payment obligation. Master agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be settled or otherwise eliminated by applying amounts due to a counterparty against all or a portion of an amount due from the counterparty or a third party. In addition, Jefferies may enter into customized bilateral trading agreements and other customer agreements that provide for the netting of receivables and payables with a given counterparty as a single net obligation.

Under Jefferies derivative ISDA master netting agreements, Jefferies typically will also execute credit support annexes, which provide for collateral, either in the form of cash or securities, to be posted by or paid to a counterparty based on the fair value of the derivative receivable or payable based on the rates and parameters established in the credit support annex. In the event of the counterparty's default, provisions of the master agreement permit acceleration and termination of all outstanding transactions covered by the agreement such that a single amount is owed by, or to, the non-defaulting party. In addition, any collateral posted can be applied to the net obligations, with any excess returned; and the collateralized party has a right to liquidate the collateral. Any residual claim after netting is treated along with other unsecured claims in bankruptcy court.

44

The conditions supporting the legal right of offset may vary from one legal jurisdiction to another and the enforceability of master netting agreements and bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt. The right of offset is dependent both on contract law under the governing arrangement and consistency with the bankruptcy laws of the jurisdiction where the counterparty is located. Industry legal opinions with respect to the enforceability of certain standard provisions in respective jurisdictions are relied upon as a part of managing credit risk. Master netting agreements are a critical component of Jefferies risk management processes as part of reducing counterparty credit risk and managing liquidity risk.

Jefferies is also a party to clearing agreements with various central clearing parties. Under these arrangements, the central clearing counterparty facilitates settlement between counterparties based on the net payable owed or receivable due and, with respect to daily settlement, cash is generally only required to be deposited to the extent of the net amount. In the event of default, a net termination amount is determined based on the market values of all outstanding positions and the clearing organization or clearing member provides for the liquidation and settlement of the net termination amount among all counterparties to the open repurchase and/or securities lending transactions.

The following table provides information regarding derivative contracts, repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending arrangements that are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and 1) the extent to which, under enforceable master netting arrangements, such balances are presented net in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as appropriate under GAAP and 2) the extent to which other rights of setoff associated with these arrangements exist and could have an effect on our consolidated financial position.

(In thousands)	Gross Amounts	Netting in Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition	Net Amounts in Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition		Additional Amounts Available for Setoff (1)	Available Collateral (2)	Net Amount (3)
Assets at June 30, 2014							
Derivative contracts	\$2,901,691	\$(2,648,507)	\$253,184	\$-	\$-		\$253,184
Securities borrowing arrangements	\$6,097,098	\$-	\$6,097,098	\$(807,137)	\$(877,639)		\$4,412,322
Reverse repurchase agreements	\$11,402,865	\$(6,793,443)	\$4,609,422	\$(230,295)	\$(4,355,647)		\$23,480
Liabilities at June 30, 2014							
Derivative contracts	\$2,973,365	\$(2,747,077)	\$226,288	\$-	\$-		\$226,288
Securities lending arrangements	\$2,901,159	\$-	\$2,901,159	\$(807,137)	\$(2,063,606)		\$30,416
Repurchase agreements	\$18,461,573	\$(6,793,443)	\$11,668,130	\$(230,295)	\$(10,996,643)		\$441,192
Assets at December 31, 2013							
Derivative contracts	\$2,514,683	\$(2,253,589)	\$261,094	\$-	\$-		\$261,094
Securities borrowing arrangements	\$5,359,846	\$-	\$5,359,846	\$(530,293)	\$(957,140)		\$3,872,413
Reverse repurchase agreements	\$12,715,449	\$(8,968,529)	\$3,746,920	\$(590,754)	\$(3,074,540)		\$81,626

Liabilities at December 31,
2013

Derivative contracts	\$2,532,690	\$(2,352,611)	\$180,079	\$-	\$-	\$180,079
Securities lending arrangements	\$2,506,122	\$-	\$2,506,122	\$(530,293)	\$(1,942,271)	\$33,558
Repurchase agreements	\$19,748,374	\$(8,968,529)	\$10,779,845	\$(590,754)	\$(8,748,641)	\$1,440,450

Under master netting agreements with our counterparties, we have the legal right of offset with a counterparty, which incorporates all of the counterparty's outstanding rights and obligations under the arrangement. These (1) balances reflect additional credit risk mitigation that is available by counterparty in the event of a counterparty's default, but which are not netted in the balance sheet because other netting provisions of U.S. GAAP are not met. Further, for derivative assets and liabilities, amounts netted include cash collateral paid or received.

Includes securities received or paid under collateral arrangements with counterparties that could be liquidated in (2) the event of a counterparty default and thus offset against a counterparty's rights and obligations under the respective repurchase agreements or securities borrowing or lending arrangements.

At June 30, 2014, amounts include \$4,358.7 million of securities borrowing arrangements, for which we have received securities collateral of \$4,242.4 million, and \$431.0 million of repurchase agreements, for which we have pledged securities collateral of \$448.4 million, which are subject to master netting agreements but we have not yet (3) determined the agreements to be legally enforceable. At December 31, 2013, amounts include \$3,818.4 million of securities borrowing arrangements, for which we have received securities collateral of \$3,721.8 million, and \$1,410.0 million of repurchase agreements, for which we have pledged securities collateral of \$1,438.9 million, which are subject to master netting agreements but we have not yet determined the agreements to be legally enforceable.

Note 13. Intangible Assets, Net and Goodwill

A summary of intangible assets, net at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Indefinite lived intangibles:		
Exchange and clearing organization membership interests and registrations	\$ 14,979	\$ 14,916
Amortizable intangibles:		
Customer and other relationships, net of accumulated amortization of \$136,746 and \$117,139	494,339	502,409
Trademarks and tradename, net of accumulated amortization of \$38,750 and \$30,213	356,739	364,779
Supply contracts, net of accumulated amortization of \$25,301 and \$20,162	124,694	129,833
Licenses, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,288 and \$4,100	7,634	7,928
Other, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,548 and \$4,500	855	664
Total intangibles	\$999,240	\$ 1,020,529

In connection with Conwed's acquisition of a majority interest in a business during the first quarter of 2014, \$11.1 million was allocated to customer relationships, which will be amortized over 10 years.

Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$16.7 million and \$19.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$33.3 million and \$33.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The estimated aggregate future amortization expense for the intangible assets for each of the next five years is as follows: 2014 (for the remaining six months) - \$33.4 million; 2015 - \$63.9 million; 2016 - \$61.9 million; 2017 - \$61.7 million; and 2018 - \$61.5 million.

A summary of goodwill at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
National Beef	\$ 14,991	\$ 14,991
Jefferies	1,727,328	1,724,557
Other operations	8,551	8,551
	\$1,750,870	\$ 1,748,099

Note 14. Inventory

A summary of inventory at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 which is classified as Other assets is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
--	----------	-----------------

	2014	2013
Finished goods	\$314,490	\$273,291
Work in process	37,079	34,701
Raw materials, supplies and other	34,856	56,334
	\$386,425	\$364,326

Note 15. Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings represent Jefferies bank loans that are payable on demand and generally bear interest at a spread over the federal funds rate. Unsecured bank loans are typically overnight loans used to finance trading assets or clearing related balances, but are not part of Jefferies systemic funding model. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, short-term borrowings were \$12.0 million and \$12.0 million, respectively.

46

Note 16. Long-Term Debt

The principal amount (net of unamortized discounts and premiums), stated interest rate and maturity date of outstanding debt at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Parent Company Debt:		
Senior Notes:		
8.125% Senior Notes due September 15, 2015, \$458,641 principal	\$457,106	\$456,515
5.50% Senior Notes due October 18, 2023, \$750,000 principal	740,349	739,960
6.625% Senior Notes due October 23, 2043, \$250,000 principal	246,975	246,958
Subordinated Notes:		
3.75% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due April 15, 2014, \$0 and \$97,581 principal	–	97,581
Total long-term debt – parent company	1,444,430	1,541,014
Subsidiary Debt (non-recourse to Parent Company):		
Jefferies:		
5.875% Senior Notes, due June 8, 2014, \$250,000 principal	250,243	255,676
3.875% Senior Notes, due November 9, 2015, \$500,000 principal	512,096	516,204
5.5% Senior Notes, due March 15, 2016, \$350,000 principal	368,235	373,178
5.125% Senior Notes, due April 13, 2018, \$800,000 principal	848,235	854,011
8.5% Senior Notes, due July 15, 2019, \$700,000 principal	845,739	858,425
2.375% Euro Senior Notes, due May 20, 2020, \$681,675 principal	679,951	–
6.875% Senior Notes, due April 15, 2021, \$750,000 principal	860,021	866,801
2.25% Euro Medium Term Notes, due July 13, 2022, \$5,283 principal	4,762	4,792
5.125% Senior Notes, due January 20, 2023, \$600,000 principal	624,482	625,626
6.45% Senior Debentures, due June 8, 2027, \$350,000 principal	382,381	383,224
3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures, due November 1, 2029, \$345,000 principal	349,142	349,707
6.25% Senior Debentures, due January 15, 2036, \$500,000 principal	513,197	513,343
6.50% Senior Notes, due January 20, 2043, \$400,000 principal	422,105	422,245
Secured credit facility, due August 26, 2014	65,000	200,000
National Beef Term Loans	362,500	375,000
National Beef Revolving Credit Facility	106,330	–
Other	159,207	41,619
Total long-term debt – subsidiaries	7,353,626	6,639,851
Long-term debt	\$8,798,056	\$8,180,865

Parent Company Debt:

The 3.75% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 were converted primarily in April 2014 into 4,606,109 common shares prior to maturity and are no longer outstanding.

Subsidiary Debt:

Jefferies 3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures due 2029 are convertible into our common shares; each \$1,000 are convertible into 22.0775 common shares (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$45.29). The debentures are convertible at the holders' option any time beginning on August 1, 2029 and convertible at any time if: 1) our common stock price is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days; 2) if the trading price per debenture is less than 95% of the price of our common stock times the conversion ratio for any 10 consecutive trading days; 3) if the debentures are called for redemption; or 4) upon the occurrence of specific corporate actions. The debentures may be redeemed for par, plus accrued interest, on or after November 1, 2012 if the price of our common stock is greater than 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days and we may redeem the debentures for par, plus accrued interest, at our election any time on or after November 1, 2017. Holders may require us to repurchase the debentures for par, plus accrued interest, on November 1, 2017, 2019 and 2024. In addition to ordinary interest, commencing November 1, 2017, contingent interest will accrue at 0.375% if the average trading price of a debenture for 5 trading days ending on and including the third trading day immediately preceding a six-month interest period equals or exceeds \$1,200 per \$1,000 debenture.

47

On August 26, 2011, Jefferies entered into a committed senior secured revolving credit facility ("Jefferies Credit Facility") with a group of commercial banks in U.S. dollars, Euros and Sterling, in an aggregate committed amount of \$950.0 million with availability subject to one or more borrowing bases and of which \$250.0 million can be borrowed without a borrowing base requirement. On June 26, 2014, Jefferies amended and restated the Credit Facility for three years and reduced the committed amount to \$750.0 million. The borrowers under the Jefferies Credit Facility are Jefferies Bache Financial Services, Inc., Jefferies Bache, LLC and Jefferies Bache Limited, with a guarantee from Jefferies Group LLC. The Jefferies Credit Facility contains certain financial covenants, including, but not limited to, restrictions on future indebtedness of Jefferies subsidiaries, minimum tangible net worth and liquidity requirements and minimum capital requirements. Interest is based on, in the case of U.S. dollar borrowings, the Federal funds rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate or, in the case of Euro and Sterling borrowings, the Euro Interbank Offered Rate and the London Interbank Offered Rate, respectively. The obligations of each borrower under the Credit Facility are secured by substantially all the assets of such borrower, but none of the borrowers is responsible for any obligations of any other borrower. At June 30, 2014, borrowings under the Jefferies Credit Facility were denominated in U.S. dollars and Jefferies is in compliance with debt covenants under the Jefferies Credit Facility.

At June 30, 2014, National Beef's credit facility consisted of a \$375.0 million term loan and a revolving credit facility of \$300.0 million. The term loan and the revolving credit facility bear interest at the Base Rate or the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the credit facility), plus a margin ranging from .75% to 2.50% depending upon certain financial ratios and the rate selected. At June 30, 2014, the interest rate on the outstanding term loan was 2.9% and the interest rate on the outstanding revolving credit facility was 3.6%. The amended credit facility contains a minimum tangible net worth covenant, but does not contain the numerical covenants requiring certain leverage and fixed charge ratios that were in the previous agreement. At June 30, 2014, National Beef met the tangible net worth covenant. The credit facility is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of the assets of National Beef and its subsidiaries.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility are available for National Beef's working capital requirements, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes. Unused capacity under the facility can also be used to issue letters of credit; letters of credit aggregating \$25.3 million were outstanding at June 30, 2014. Amounts available under the revolver are subject to a borrowing base calculation primarily comprised of receivable and inventory balances. At June 30, 2014, after deducting outstanding amounts and issued letters of credit, \$168.4 million of the unused revolver was available to National Beef.

Note 17. Mezzanine Equity

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests

Redeemable noncontrolling interests primarily relate to National Beef and are held by its minority owners, principally USPB, NBPCo Holdings and the chief executive officer of National Beef. The holders of these interests share in the profits and losses of National Beef on a pro rata basis with us. However, the minority owners have the right to require us to purchase their interests under certain specified circumstances at fair value (put rights), and we also have the right to purchase their interests under certain specified circumstances at fair value (call rights). Each of the holders of the put rights has the right to make an election that requires us to purchase up to one-third of their interests on December 30, 2016, one-third on December 30, 2018, and the remainder on December 30, 2021. In addition, USPB may elect to exercise their put rights following the termination of the cattle supply agreement, and the chief executive officer following the termination of his employment.

Our call rights with respect to USPB may be exercised following the termination of the cattle supply agreement or after USPB's ownership interest is less than 20% of their interest held at the time we acquired National Beef. Our call rights with respect to other members may be exercised after the ten year anniversary of our acquisition of National

Beef if such member's ownership interest is less than 50% of the interest held at the time we acquired National Beef. Additionally, we may acquire the chief executive officer's interest following the termination of his employment.

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in National Beef are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at fair value. The following table reconciles National Beef's redeemable noncontrolling interests activity during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	2014	2013
As of January 1,	\$241,075	\$241,649
Income (loss) allocated to redeemable noncontrolling interests	(4,649)	1,107
Net distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests	–	(6,682)
Increase (decrease) in fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interests charged to additional paid-in capital	1,013	(22,434)
Balance, June 30,	\$237,439	\$213,640

48

At acquisition, we prepared a projection of future cash flows of National Beef, which was used along with other information to allocate the purchase price to National Beef's individual assets and liabilities. At June 30, 2014, we calculated the fair value of the redeemable noncontrolling interests by updating our estimate of future cash flows, as well as considering other market comparable information deemed appropriate. The projected future cash flows consider estimated revenue growth, cost of sales changes, capital expenditures and other unobservable inputs. However, the most significant unobservable inputs affecting the estimate of fair value are the discount rate (11.69%) and the terminal growth rate (2%) used to calculate the capitalization rate of the terminal value.

The table below is a sensitivity analysis which shows the fair value of the redeemable noncontrolling interests using the assumed discount and the terminal growth rates and fair values under different rate assumptions as of June 30, 2014 (dollars in millions):

Terminal Growth Rates	Discount Rates		
	11.44%	11.69%	11.94%
1.75 %	\$242.5	\$234.0	\$225.8
2.00 %	\$246.2	\$237.4	\$229.1
2.25 %	\$250.2	\$241.1	\$232.5

The projection of future cash flows is updated with input from National Beef personnel. The estimate is reviewed by personnel at our corporate office as part of the normal process for the preparation of our quarterly and annual financial statements.

At June 30, 2014, redeemable noncontrolling interests also include the noncontrolling interest in a business acquired by Conwed during the first quarter of 2014 of \$2.4 million.

Mandatorily Redeemable Convertible Preferred Shares

As mentioned above, in connection with the Jefferies acquisition we issued a new series of 3.25% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares ("Preferred Shares") (\$125.0 million at mandatory redemption value) in exchange for Jefferies outstanding 3.25% Series A-1 Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock. The Preferred Shares have a 3.25% annual, cumulative cash dividend and are currently convertible into 4,162,200 common shares an effective conversion price of \$30.03 per share. The Preferred Shares are callable beginning in 2023 at a price of \$1,000 per share plus accrued interest and are mandatorily redeemable in 2038.

Note 18. Common Shares, Compensation Plans and Preferred Shares

Prior to the acquisition of Jefferies, we had two share-based compensation plans: a fixed stock option plan and a senior executive warrant plan. The fixed stock option plan provides for the issuance of stock options and stock appreciation rights to non-employee directors and certain employees at not less than the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant. Options granted to employees under this plan are intended to qualify as incentive stock options to the extent permitted under the Internal Revenue Code and become exercisable in five equal annual instalments starting one year from date of grant. Options granted to non-employee directors become exercisable in four equal annual instalments starting one year from date of grant. No stock appreciation rights have been granted. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, 7,117,322 and 7,124,429, respectively, of our common

shares were reserved for stock options and warrants.

Compensation and benefits expense included \$24.6 million and \$30.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$62.8 million and \$32.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for share-based compensation expense relating to grants made under our share-based compensation plans. Total compensation cost includes the amortization of sign-on, retention and senior executive awards, less forfeitures and clawbacks. The total tax benefit recognized in results of operations related to share-based compensation expenses was \$8.9 million and \$22.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and \$11.1 million and \$11.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. As of June 30, 2014, total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation plans was \$141.7 million; this cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

The net tax benefit related to share-based compensation plans recognized in additional paid-in capital was not significant during the 2014 and 2013 periods. Cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the grant date fair value of share-based awards are included in cash flows from financing activities; accordingly, we reflected the excess tax benefit related to share-based compensation in cash flows from financing activities. Such amounts for the 2014 and 2013 periods were not significant.

At June 30, 2014, there were 4,626,736 shares of restricted stock outstanding with future service required, 4,402,551 RSUs outstanding with future service required, 8,428,574 RSUs outstanding with no future service required and 910,635 shares issuable under other plans. Excluding shares issuable pursuant to outstanding stock options and warrants, the maximum potential increase to common shares outstanding resulting from these outstanding awards is 13,741,760.

49

Note 19. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Activity in accumulated other comprehensive income is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity but not in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. A summary of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities	\$594,926	\$589,393
Net unrealized foreign exchange gains	33,343	16,803
Net unrealized losses on derivative instruments	(507)	(169)
Net minimum pension liability	(66,457)	(67,977)
	\$561,305	\$538,050

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statement of Operations
	2014	2013
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities, net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(1,438) and \$117,170	\$(2,590)	\$211,037
Amortization of defined benefit pension plan actuarial gains (losses), net of income tax provision (benefit) of \$(844) and \$(1,332)	(1,520)	(2,400)
		Compensation and benefits, which includes pension expense. See the pension footnote for information on this component.
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	\$(4,110)	\$208,637

Note 20. Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits

The following table summarizes the components of pension expense charged to operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,
--	--

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	2014	2013	2014	2013
Service cost	\$11	\$72	\$22	\$72
Interest cost	3,774	3,382	7,548	5,993
Expected return on plan assets	(2,537)	(2,606)	(5,073)	(4,532)
Actuarial losses	1,209	1,909	2,417	3,775
Net pension expense	\$2,457	\$2,757	\$4,914	\$5,308

No employer contributions were paid during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Other

We have defined contribution pension plans covering certain employees. Contributions and costs are a percent of each covered employee's salary. Amounts charged to expense were not significant for the 2014 and 2013 periods.

We provide certain health care and other benefits to certain retired employees under plans which are currently unfunded. We pay the cost of postretirement benefits as they are incurred. Accumulated postretirement benefit obligations and amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were not significant.

50

Note 21. Income Taxes

The aggregate amount of unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions at June 30, 2014 was \$187.6 million (including \$32.0 million for interest), of which \$162.5 million was related to Jefferies. If recognized, such amounts would lower our effective tax rate.

The statute of limitations with respect to our federal income tax returns has expired for all years through 2009. Our New York State and New York City income tax returns are currently being audited for the 2009 to 2011 period. Prior to becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary, Jefferies filed a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return with its qualifying subsidiaries and was subject to income tax in various states, municipalities and foreign jurisdictions. Jefferies is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service and other major tax jurisdictions. The statute of limitations with respect to Jefferies federal income tax returns has expired for all years through 2005.

We do not expect that resolution of these examinations will have a significant effect on our consolidated financial position, but could have a significant impact on the consolidated results of operations for the period in which resolution occurs. Over the next twelve months, we believe it is reasonably possible that various tax examinations will be concluded and statutes of limitation will expire which would have the effect of reducing the balance of unrecognized tax benefits by \$3.6 million. If recognized, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits would lower our effective income tax rate.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the provision for income taxes includes \$24.7 million and \$39.8 million, respectively, for state income taxes and \$4.7 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, for foreign income taxes. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes includes \$31.5 million and \$42.0 million, respectively, for state and foreign income taxes. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes also includes a charge of \$12.3 million to write-off a portion of our net deferred tax asset for state income taxes, resulting from a change in our expected state filing positions as a result of the Jefferies acquisition, and reflects certain non-deductible expenses.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013, the income tax provision also includes the reversal of the December 31, 2012 deferred tax liability of \$34.0 million related to our investment in Jefferies that we elected to account for under the fair value option prior to the acquisition. Due to the acquisition, there was no net income tax provision recorded for income related to the fair value option for Jefferies for the six month period ended June 30, 2013; as a result the impact on the tax provision was a benefit of \$65.2 million.

Note 22. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share amounts were calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The numerators and denominators used to calculate basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Numerator for earnings (loss) per share:				
Net income attributable to Leucadia				
National Corporation common shareholders	\$65,074	\$52,612	\$159,390	\$357,715
Less: Allocation of earnings to participating securities (1)	(1,557)	(1,597)	(3,927)	(4,200)
Net income attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders for basic earnings (loss) per share	63,517	51,015	155,463	353,515
Adjustment to allocation of earnings to participating securities related to diluted shares (1)	(12)	(29)	(19)	(2)
Interest on 3.75% Convertible Notes	99	–	739	1,316
Mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred share dividends	–	–	–	1,354
Net income attributable to Leucadia National Corporation common shareholders for diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$63,604	\$50,986	\$156,183	\$356,183
Denominator for earnings (loss) per share:				
Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share – weighted average shares	371,979	367,752	370,506	315,375
Stock options	48	85	68	44
Warrants	–	–	–	–
3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures	–	–	–	–
3.75% Convertible Notes	1,152	–	2,627	4,503
Mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred shares	–	–	–	2,775
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share	373,179	367,837	373,201	322,697

Represents dividends declared during the period on participating securities plus an allocation of undistributed earnings to participating securities. Net losses are not allocated to participating securities. Participating securities represent restricted stock and RSUs for which requisite service has not yet been rendered and amounted to weighted average shares of 9,164,900 and 11,375,200 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, (1) respectively, 9,433,100 and 7,763,100 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Dividends declared on participating securities were \$.6 million and \$.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$1.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Undistributed earnings are allocated to participating securities based upon their right to share in earnings if all earnings for the period had been distributed.

Options to purchase 1,581,700 and 825,400 weighted-average shares of common stock were outstanding during the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and 773,000 and 1,748,600 weighted-average shares of common stock were outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted per share amounts as the effect was antidilutive.

For each period in the table above, the denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share does not include weighted-average common shares of 4,000,000 related to outstanding warrants to purchase common shares at \$33.33 per share, as the effect was antidilutive.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, shares related to the 3.875% Convertible Senior Debentures were not included in the computation of diluted per share amounts as the conversion price exceeded the average market price.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, 4,557,339 shares related to the 3¾% Convertible Notes were not included in the computation of diluted per share amounts as the effect was antidilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and for the three months ended June 30, 2013, 4,162,200 shares related to the mandatorily redeemable convertible preferred shares were not included in the computation of diluted per share amounts as the effect was antidilutive.

52

Note 23. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Commitments

The following table summarizes Jefferies commitments associated with certain business activities at June 30, 2014 (in millions):

	Expected Maturity Date					Maximum Payout
	2014	2015	2016 and 2017	2018 and 2019	2020 and Later	
Equity commitments (1)	\$1.6	\$7.5	\$0.9	\$-	\$475.4	\$485.4
Loan commitments (1)	10.8	26.3	515.1	175.9	-	728.1
Mortgage-related commitments	862.0	387.9	496.5	-	-	1,746.4
Underwriting commitments	85.9	-	-	-	-	85.9
Forward starting reverse repos and repos	206.2	-	-	-	-	206.2
	\$1,166.5	\$421.7	\$1,012.5	\$175.9	\$475.4	\$3,252.0

(1) Equity and loan commitments are presented by contractual maturity date. The amounts are however available on demand.

The table below presents Jefferies credit exposure from loan commitments, including funded amounts, summarized by period of expiration as of June 30, 2014. Credit exposure is based on the external credit ratings of the underlying or referenced assets of the loan commitments. Since commitments associated with these business activities may expire unused, they do not necessarily reflect the actual future cash funding requirements (in millions):

Credit Ratings	0 - 12 Months	1 - 5 Years	Greater Than 5 Years	Total Corporate Lending Exposure (1)	Corporate Lending Exposure at Fair Value (2)	Corporate Lending Commitments (3)
Investment grade	\$ -	\$69.4	\$ -	\$ 69.4	\$ -	\$ 69.4
Non-investment grade	-	78.9	-	78.9	17.9	61.0
Unrated	14.8	641.0	-	655.8	58.1	597.7
Total	\$ 14.8	\$789.3	\$ -	\$ 804.1	\$ 76.0	\$ 728.1

(1) Total corporate lending exposure represents the potential loss assuming the fair value of funded loans and lending commitments were zero.

(2) The corporate lending exposure at fair value includes \$91.9 million of funded loans included in Trading assets and a \$15.9 million net liability related to lending commitments recorded in Trading liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014.

(3) Amounts represent the notional amount of unfunded lending commitments.

Equity Commitments. Equity commitments include commitments to invest in Jefferies joint ventures, Jefferies Finance and Jefferies LoanCore and commitments to invest in private equity funds and in Jefferies Capital Partners, LLC, the manager of the private equity funds, which are managed by a team led by Brian Friedman, our President and a Director. As of June 30, 2014, Jefferies outstanding commitments relating to Jefferies Capital Partners, LLC and its private equity funds was \$31.8 million.

See Note 11 for additional information regarding Jefferies investments in Jefferies Finance and Jefferies LoanCore.

Additionally, as of June 30, 2014, Jefferies had other outstanding equity commitments to invest up to \$1.8 million in various other investments.

Loan Commitments. From time to time Jefferies makes commitments to extend credit to investment banking and other clients in loan syndication, acquisition finance and securities transactions and to SPE sponsors in connection with the funding of CLO and other asset-backed transactions. These commitments and any related drawdowns of these facilities typically have fixed maturity dates and are contingent on certain representations, warranties and contractual conditions applicable to the borrower. As of June 30, 2014, Jefferies has \$378.1 million of outstanding loan commitments to clients.

Loan commitments outstanding as of June 30, 2014, also include Jefferies portion of the outstanding secured revolving credit facility provided to Jefferies Finance, to support loan underwritings by Jefferies Finance.

53

Mortgage-Related Commitments. Jefferies enters into forward contracts to purchase mortgage participation certificates and mortgage-backed securities. The mortgage participation certificates evidence interests in mortgage loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration and the mortgage-backed securities are insured or guaranteed by the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae). Jefferies frequently securitizes the mortgage participation certificates and mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of mortgage-related commitments recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 was \$67.2 million.

Underwriting Commitments. In connection with investment banking activities, Jefferies may from time to time provide underwriting commitments to its clients in connection with capital raising transactions.

Forward Starting Reverse Repos and Repos. Jefferies enters into commitments to take possession of securities with agreements to resell on a forward starting basis and to sell securities with agreements to repurchase on a forward starting basis that are primarily secured by U.S. government and agency securities.

Contingencies

Seven putative class action lawsuits have been filed on behalf of a putative class consisting of Jefferies stockholders in New York and Delaware concerning the merger transaction whereby Jefferies became our wholly-owned subsidiary. Three were filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York: (1) Howard Lasker IRA v. Jefferies Group, Inc. et al. (Index No. 653924/2012), filed on November 14, 2012 in New York County; (2) Lowinger v. Leucadia National Corp. et al. (Index No. 653958/2012), filed on November 15, 2012 in New York County; and (3) Jiannaras v. Jefferies Group, Inc., et al. (Index No. 702866/2012), filed on November 16, 2012 in Queens County. Four were filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware: (1) Oklahoma Firefighters Pension & Retirement System v. Handler et al. (C.A. No. 8054-CS), filed on November 21, 2012; (2) Laborers' District Council Pension and Disability Trust Fund No. 2 et al. v. Campbell et al. (C.A. No. 8059-CS), filed on November 26, 2012; (3) Genesee County Employees' Retirement System v. Handler et al. (C.A. No. 8096-CS), filed on December 11, 2012; and (4) Gelfand v. Handler et al. (C.A. No. 8228-CS), filed on January 17, 2013 (collectively, the "Actions"). The class actions, filed on behalf of Jefferies shareholders prior to the merger, name as defendants Jefferies, the members of the board of directors of Jefferies, the members of our board of directors and, in certain of the actions, certain merger-related subsidiaries. The Actions seek, among other things, equitable relief and unspecified monetary damages.

The New York actions were consolidated and have been stayed through pretrial discovery in deference to the Delaware actions, which also have been consolidated. The consolidated Delaware action alleges that the members of Jefferies board of directors breached their fiduciary duties in connection with the merger transactions by engaging in a flawed process, agreeing to sell Jefferies for inadequate consideration pursuant to an agreement that contains improper deal protection terms, and failing to disclose material information concerning the merger transactions, and further that we aided and abetted the directors' breaches of fiduciary duties (the Court has since dismissed the former Jefferies independent directors from the action). The action also alleges breaches of fiduciary duty against Messrs. Handler and Friedman in their capacities as officers of Jefferies, and against Messrs. Handler, Friedman, Cumming and Steinberg, collectively, as purported controlling shareholders of Jefferies. On May 30, 2014, the court so-ordered the parties' stipulation to certify the class. On July 22, 2014, the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. The plaintiffs' opposition brief is due on August 21, 2014. We are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation or to estimate the amount of or range of any reasonably possible loss.

We and certain of our subsidiaries and officers are named as defendants in a consumer class action captioned Sykes v. Mel Harris & Associates, LLC, et al., 09 Civ. 8486 (DC), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The named defendants also include the Mel Harris law firm, certain individuals and members

associated with the law firm, and a process server, Samserv, Inc. and certain of its employees. The action arises out of the law firm's obtaining default judgments against approximately 124,000 individuals in New York City Civil Court with respect to consumer debt purchased by our subsidiaries. We asserted that we were an investor with respect to the subject purchased consumer debt and were regularly informed of the amounts received from debt collections, but otherwise had no involvement in any alleged illegal debt collection activities.

The complaint alleges that the defendants fraudulently obtained the default judgments in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, the New York General Business Law and the New York Judiciary Law (alleged only as to the law firm) and seeks injunctive relief, declaratory relief and damages on behalf of the named plaintiffs and others similarly situated. Defendants' motions to dismiss were denied in part (including as to the claims made against us and our subsidiaries) and granted in part (including as to certain of the claims made against our officers) (the "Dismissal Decision"). In September 2012, the Court issued a decision granting plaintiffs' motion to certify a Rule 23(b)(2) class and a Rule 23(b)(3) class (the "Certification Decision"). Neither the Dismissal Decision nor the Certification Decision addresses the ultimate merits of the case.

54

At a November 2012 status conference, the parties advised the Court of their intention to attempt to resolve the dispute through mediation. Those efforts were not successful and the parties advised the Court. On March 28, 2013, the Court entered its certification order, certifying a Rule 23(b)(2) class of “all persons who have been or will be sued by the Mel Harris defendants as counsel for the Leucadia defendants in actions commenced in New York City Civil Court and where a default judgment has or will be sought” and a Rule 23(b)(3) class of “all persons who have been sued by the Mel Harris defendants as counsel for the Leucadia defendants in actions commenced in New York City Civil Court and where a default judgment has been obtained.” (the “Certification Order”).

On July 19, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted our leave to appeal the District Court’s March Certification Order. In connection with the appeal, the District Court has granted a stay of the proceedings pending the Court of Appeals’ decision. The appeal was heard on February 7, 2014.

Determinations of both the probability and the estimated amount of loss or potential loss are judgments made in the context of developments in the litigation. We review these developments regularly with our outside counsel. Because we determined that we would be willing to resolve this matter with plaintiffs for \$20.0 million, we accrued a litigation reserve for this contingency in that amount. In arriving at this reserve amount, we considered a number of factors, including that (i) while the damages sought are indeterminate, payment of this reserved amount would not resolve the case at this time, (ii) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending proceedings (including motions and appeals respecting class certification), (iii) there are significant factual issues to be determined or resolved, (iv) relevant law is unsettled and untested legal theories are presented, (v) we have numerous defenses to the plaintiffs’ claims, (vi) there are no adverse rulings by the Court on the merits of plaintiffs’ claims and (vii) several important litigation milestones, such as the completion of discovery and the filing of summary judgment motions, have not yet occurred.

We also note that the plaintiffs in the action – the class members certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) – have alleged certain categories of damages under each of the statutes underlying their claims. These damages include (i) statutory damages, which are capped under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act at \$0.5 million for the class, and (ii) actual damages. While not fully described in the complaint, it appears that plaintiffs’ claim for actual damages includes not only incidental costs incurred in connection with the default judgments (including, for example, subway fares to the courthouse and bank fees), costs relating to emotional distress and costs related to reputational damage allegedly arising as a result of the long-term effects of the default judgments, but also the full amount of the debt that class members paid (whether owed or not) following entry of the default judgments. The amount of debt collected to date totals approximately \$90.0 million. If the plaintiffs are successful in proving their claims and in proving actual damages, plaintiffs’ damages may be subject to prejudgment interest and trebling under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.

On May 2, 2014, plaintiff Haverhill Retirement System (“Haverhill”) filed an amended putative class action and derivative lawsuit (the “Complaint”) entitled Haverhill Retirement System v. Asali, et al. in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (the “Court of Chancery”) against Harbinger Capital Partners LLC, Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. (collectively, the “Harbinger Funds”), the members of the board of directors of Harbinger Group, Inc. (“Harbinger”), nominal defendant Harbinger, as well as Leucadia. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the directors of Harbinger breached their fiduciary duties in connection with Leucadia’s March 2014 purchase of preferred securities of subsidiaries of the Harbinger Funds that are exchangeable into Harbinger common stock owned by the Harbinger Funds, certain flaws in the process employed by the special committee of directors appointed by the Harbinger board in connection therewith, and that Leucadia aided and abetted the Harbinger board’s breaches of fiduciary, as well as a claim of unjust enrichment against Leucadia. On April 1, 2014, the Chancery Court denied Haverhill’s motion for expedited proceedings associated with the complaint originally filed by Haverhill on March 26, 2014. Haverhill filed an amended complaint on May 2, 2014. On May 16, 2014, the defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint,

and on July 2, 2014, defendants filed briefs in support of those motions. Haverhill's brief in opposition to the motions to dismiss is due on September 5, 2014.

Jefferies has reached a non-prosecution agreement with the United States Attorney for the District of Connecticut and a settlement agreement with the SEC, relating to an investigation of the purchases and sales of mortgage-backed securities. That investigation arose from a matter that came to light in late 2011, at which time Jefferies terminated a mortgage-backed-securities trader who was then indicted by the United States Attorney for the District of Connecticut in January 2013 and separately charged in a civil complaint by the SEC. Those agreements include an aggregate \$25.0 million in payments, of which approximately \$11.0 million are payments to trading counterparties impacted by those activities, approximately \$10.0 million of which is a fine payable to the U.S. Attorney's Office, and approximately \$4.0 million of which is a fine payable to the SEC. Jefferies outstanding reserve with respect to remaining payments to be made under the agreements is approximately \$5.1 million.

We and our subsidiaries are parties to other legal and regulatory proceedings that are considered to be either ordinary, routine litigation incidental to their business or not significant to our consolidated financial position. We and our subsidiaries are also involved, from time to time, in other exams, investigations and similar reviews (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding our businesses, certain of which may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties or other injunctions. We do not believe that any of these actions will have a significant adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or liquidity, but any amounts paid could be significant to results of operations for the period.

55

Guarantees

Derivative Contracts. Jefferies dealer activities cause it to make markets and trade in a variety of derivative instruments. Certain derivative contracts that Jefferies has entered into meet the accounting definition of a guarantee under GAAP, including credit default swaps, written foreign currency options and written equity put options. On certain of these contracts, such as written interest rate caps and foreign currency options, the maximum payout cannot be quantified since the increase in interest or foreign exchange rates are not contractually limited by the terms of the contract. As such, we have disclosed notional values as a measure of Jefferies maximum potential payout under these contracts.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts associated with our derivative contracts meeting the definition of a guarantee under GAAP (in millions):

Guarantee Type	Expected Maturity Date					Notional/ Maximum Payout
	2014	2015	2016 and 2017	2018 and 2019	2020 and Later	
Derivative contracts – non-credit related	\$37,589.9	\$1,141.8	\$43.7	\$1.2	\$534.5	\$39,311.1
Written derivative contracts – credit related	-	-	-	420.8	-	420.8
Total derivative contracts	\$37,589.9	\$1,141.8	\$43.7	\$422.0	\$534.5	\$39,731.9

The external credit ratings of the underlying or referenced assets for our credit related derivatives contracts (in millions):

Credit related derivative contracts:	External Credit Rating					Below Investment Grade	Unrated	Notional/ Maximum Payout
	AAA/ Aaa	AA/ Aa	A	BBB/Baa				
Index credit default swaps	\$344.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 344.8
Single name credit default swaps	-	-	-	61.0	15.0	-	-	76.0

The derivative contracts deemed to meet the definition of a guarantee under GAAP are before consideration of hedging transactions and only reflect a partial or "one-sided" component of any risk exposure. Written equity options and written credit default swaps are often executed in a strategy that is in tandem with long cash instruments (e.g., equity and debt securities). Jefferies substantially mitigates its exposure to market risk on these contracts through hedges, such as other derivative contracts and/or cash instruments and Jefferies manages the risk associated with these contracts in the context of its overall risk management framework. Jefferies believes notional amounts overstate its expected payout and that fair value of these contracts is a more relevant measure of its obligations. The fair value of derivative contracts meeting the definition of a guarantee is approximately \$110.7 million.

Berkadia. We have agreed to reimburse Berkshire Hathaway for up to one-half of any losses incurred under a \$2.5 billion surety policy securing outstanding commercial paper issued by an affiliate of Berkadia. As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate amount of commercial paper outstanding was \$2.47 billion.

Loan Guarantee. Jefferies has provided a guarantee to Jefferies Finance, whereby it is required to make certain payments to a SPE sponsored by Jefferies Finance in the event that Jefferies Finance is unable to meet its obligations

to the SPE. As of June 30, 2014, the maximum amount payable under the guarantee is \$21.0 million and matures in January 2021.

Other Guarantees. Jefferies is a member of various exchanges and clearing houses. In the normal course of business Jefferies provides guarantees to securities clearinghouses and exchanges. These guarantees generally are required under the standard membership agreements, such that members are required to guarantee the performance of other members. Additionally, if a member becomes unable to satisfy its obligations to the clearinghouse, other members would be required to meet these shortfalls. To mitigate these performance risks, the exchanges and clearinghouses often require members to post collateral. Jefferies obligations under such guarantees could exceed the collateral amounts posted. Jefferies maximum potential liability under these arrangements cannot be quantified; however, the potential for Jefferies to be required to make payments under such guarantees is deemed remote. Accordingly no liability has been recognized for these arrangements.

Standby Letters of Credit. At June 30, 2014, Jefferies provided guarantees to certain counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit in the amount of \$31.6 million, which expire within one year. Standby letters of credit commit Jefferies to make payment to the beneficiary if the guaranteed party fails to fulfill its obligation under a contractual arrangement with that beneficiary. Since commitments associated with these collateral instruments may expire unused, the amount shown does not necessarily reflect the actual future cash funding requirement.

Other subsidiaries of ours have outstanding letters of credit aggregating \$27.5 million at June 30, 2014.

Note 24. Net Capital Requirements

As broker-dealers registered with the SEC and member firms of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), Jefferies LLC and Jefferies Execution Services, Inc. ("Jefferies Execution"), are subject to the SEC Net Capital Rule ("Rule 15c3-1"), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and which may limit distributions from the broker-dealers. Jefferies LLC and Jefferies Execution have elected to calculate minimum capital requirements under the alternative method as permitted by Rule 15c3-1. Jefferies Bache, LLC is also registered as a Futures Commission Merchant and is subject to Rule 1.17 of the Commodities Futures Trading Commission. Jefferies designated self-regulatory organization is FINRA for our U.S. broker-dealers and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange for Jefferies Bache, LLC.

Jefferies LLC, Jefferies Execution and Jefferies Bache, LLC's net capital, adjusted net capital, and excess net capital are as follows (in thousands):

	Net Capital	Excess Net Capital
Jefferies LLC	\$1,090,453	\$1,016,424
Jefferies Execution	5,307	5,057
	Adjusted Net Capital	Excess Net Capital
Jefferies Bache, LLC	\$196,264	\$80,938

Certain other U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries of Jefferies are subject to capital adequacy requirements as prescribed by the regulatory authorities in their respective jurisdictions, including Jefferies International Limited and Jefferies Bache Limited which are subject to the regulatory supervision and requirements of the Financial Conduct Authority in the United Kingdom.

The regulatory capital requirements referred to above may restrict our ability to withdraw capital from our subsidiaries.

Note 25. Other Fair Value Information

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our principal financial instruments that are not recognized at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Other Assets:				
Notes and loans receivable (a)	\$150,390	\$153,551	\$95,042	\$95,606

Financial Liabilities:

Short-term borrowings (b)	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Long-term debt (b)	8,798,056	9,103,165	8,180,865	8,230,191

(a) Notes and loans receivable: The fair values are primarily measured using Level 2 and 3 inputs principally based on discounted future cash flows using market interest rates for similar instruments.

(b) Short-term borrowings and long-term debt: The fair values of short-term borrowings are estimated to be the carrying amount. The fair values of non-variable rate debt are estimated using quoted prices and estimated rates that would be available for debt with similar terms. The fair value of variable rate debt is estimated to be the carrying amount.

Note 26. Related Party Transactions

Jefferies Capital Partners and JEP IV Related Funds. Jefferies has loans to and/or equity investments in private equity funds and in Jefferies Capital Partners, LLC, the manager of the Jefferies Capital Partners funds, which are managed by a team led by Brian Friedman ("Private Equity Related Funds"). Reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are loans to and/or equity investments in Private Equity Related Funds of \$63.7 million and \$61.7 million, respectively. Net losses aggregating \$9.0 million and \$11.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and net losses of \$1.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 were recorded related to the Private Equity Related Funds. For further information regarding our commitments and funded amounts to Private Equity Related Funds, see Note 23.

57

Berkadia Commercial Mortgage, LLC. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, Jefferies has commitments to purchase \$433.1 million and \$300.0 million, respectively, in agency commercial mortgage-backed securities from Berkadia.

Officers, Directors and Employees. We have \$15.5 million and \$13.9 million of loans outstanding to certain employees (none of whom are an executive officer or director of the Company) that are included in Other assets in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

National Beef. National Beef enters into transactions with affiliates of NBPCo Holdings and USPB, owners of redeemable noncontrolling interests in National Beef. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, sales to and purchases from the affiliate of NBPCo Holdings were \$19.3 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, sales to and purchases from the affiliate of NBPCo Holdings were \$13.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. We believe these transactions are based upon prevailing market prices on terms that could be obtained from an unaffiliated party. National Beef has entered into a cattle supply agreement with USPB pursuant to which National Beef has agreed to purchase through USPB from the members of USPB 735,385 head of cattle per year (subject to adjustment), based on pricing grids furnished by National Beef to the members of USPB. National Beef believes the pricing grids are based on terms that could be obtained from an unaffiliated party. National Beef obtained approximately 23% and 22% of its cattle requirements through USPB during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, amounts due from and payable to these related parties were not significant.

HomeFed. As more fully described in Note 11, in March 2014 we sold to HomeFed substantially all of our real estate properties and operations, most of our interest in BRP and cash of \$12.5 million (subject to adjustment), in exchange for 6,986,337 newly issued unregistered HomeFed common shares. The remainder of our interest in BRP will be sold to HomeFed for 513,663 additional HomeFed common shares upon receipt of the approval of a lender to BRP; closing of this portion of the transaction is expected during the third quarter of 2014. As discussed in Note 11, as a result of a 1998 distribution to all of our shareholders, approximately 5% of HomeFed is beneficially owned by our Chairman at June 30, 2014. Our Chairman also serves as HomeFed's Chairman and our President is a Director of HomeFed.

Note 27. Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale

In October 2013, we concluded that we would no longer continue to fund Sangart's research and development operations, through which we had conducted our medical product development operations. We commenced and completed an orderly shut-down of Sangart's operations during 2013; as a result, our medical product development operations have been classified as a discontinued operation.

In July 2014, we sold Premier, through which we had conducted our gaming operations, for aggregate cash consideration of \$250.0 million, subject to working capital adjustment. We expect to record in the third quarter a pre-tax gain on sale of discontinued operations of approximately \$12.5 million.

During the third quarter of 2013, we sold a small power production business and recorded a pre-tax gain on sale of discontinued operations of \$6.4 million.

A summary of the results of discontinued operations for Sangart, Premier and the small power production business is as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2013	
Revenues and other income:				
Gaming entertainment	\$33,341	\$29,305	\$64,345	\$58,345
Investment and other income	11	120	31	224
	33,352	29,425	64,376	58,569
Expenses:				
Direct operating expenses -				
Gaming entertainment	23,922	21,149	47,351	42,706
Compensation and benefits	1,882	4,762	3,054	10,362
Depreciation and amortization	2,393	2,224	4,617	4,522
Selling, general and other expenses	383	4,930	972	9,986
	28,580	33,065	55,994	67,576
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	4,772	(3,640)	8,382	(9,007)
Income tax provision (benefit)	1,670	(1,217)	2,934	(3,042)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations after income taxes	\$3,102	\$(2,423)	\$5,448	\$(5,965)

In February 2014, we agreed to sell substantially all of our real estate properties and operations and BRP to HomeFed for HomeFed common shares. Results of operations for our real estate properties and operations are reflected in the other operations segment. Assets included in the transaction with HomeFed have been included with Other assets as Assets held for sale in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at December 31, 2013 and include the following components (in thousands):

	2013
Real estate	\$112,016
Investment in associated company	30,793
Other, net	17,310
	\$160,119

Note 28. Segment Information

Our reportable segments consist of our consolidated operating units, which offer different products and services and are managed separately. Jefferies is a global full-service, integrated securities and investment banking firm. National Beef processes, packages and delivers fresh and frozen beef and beef by-products for sale to customers in the U.S. and international markets. Other operations primarily consist of manufacturing, energy projects and asset management.

Corporate revenues primarily consist of principal transactions, interest and other income and net realized securities gains and losses. Corporate assets, revenues, overhead expenses and interest expense are not allocated to the operating units.

Certain information concerning our segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is presented in the following table. Consolidated subsidiaries are reflected as of the date a majority controlling interest was acquired. As discussed above, Jefferies became our wholly-owned subsidiary on March 1, 2013 and is reflected in our consolidated financial statements utilizing a one month lag.

59

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	
	2013	2013	2013	2013
	(In thousands)			
Net Revenues:				
Investment Banking & Capital Markets	\$689,303	\$651,509	\$1,619,815	\$651,509
Beef Processing Services	2,009,093	1,920,423	3,896,471	3,709,865
Other Operations	113,803	85,382	210,387	175,068
Corporate	39,855	18,411	68,695	436,896
Total consolidated net revenues	\$2,852,054	\$2,675,725	\$5,795,368	\$4,973,338
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and income related to associated companies:				
Investment Banking & Capital Markets	\$85,568	\$77,603	\$281,938	\$77,603
Beef Processing Services	6,518	27,286	(21,495)	6,772
Other Operations	(6,014)	(22,115)	(23,515)	(27,427)
Corporate	(14,794)	(33,828)	(45,905)	315,113
Total consolidated income from continuing operations before income taxes and income related to associated companies	\$71,278	\$48,946	\$191,023	\$372,061
Depreciation and amortization expenses:				
Investment Banking & Capital Markets	\$18,383	\$18,934	\$35,414	\$18,934
Beef Processing Services	21,195	21,855	41,955	43,588
Other Operations	6,115	4,393	10,617	9,961
Corporate	1,339	2,994	2,676	6,170
Total consolidated depreciation and amortization expenses	\$47,032	\$48,176	\$90,662	\$78,653

Other operations includes pre-tax losses of \$12.8 million and \$14.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$32.6 million and \$27.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, for the investigation and evaluation of various energy related projects. There were no significant operating revenues associated with these activities.

Net realized securities gains for corporate aggregated \$12.3 million and \$9.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$17.1 million and \$239.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In the six months ended June 30, 2013, realized security gains include \$227.6 million related to the sale of Inmet.

Depreciation and amortization expenses for other operations include amounts classified within Cost of sales and Selling, general and other expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Interest expense classified as a component of Net revenues relates to the investment banking & capital markets segment. For the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, interest expense classified as a component of Expenses was primarily comprised of beef processing services (\$3.8 million and \$3.2 million, respectively) and corporate (\$24.4 million and \$17.9 million, respectively). For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, interest expense classified as a component of Expenses was primarily comprised of beef processing services (\$6.9 million and \$6.5 million,

respectively) and corporate (\$49.5 million and \$36.0 million, respectively).

60

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Interim Operations.

Statements included in this Report may contain forward-looking statements. See "Cautionary Statement for Forward-Looking Information" below. The following should be read in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the description of our business included in the 2013 10-K.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Corporate Liquidity

Our holding company's assets principally consist of the stock or membership interests of direct subsidiaries, cash and cash equivalents and other non-controlling investments in equity and debt securities. In order to maximize shareholder value, we continuously review and consider possible acquisitions of new businesses, securities and assets, and evaluate the retention and disposition of our existing operations and holdings. Accordingly, further acquisitions, divestitures, investments and changes in capital structure are possible. Our principal sources of funds are available cash resources, liquid investments, public and private capital market transactions, repayment of subsidiary advances, funds distributed from subsidiaries as tax sharing payments, management and other fees, and borrowings and dividends from subsidiaries, as well as dispositions of existing businesses and investments.

In addition to cash and cash equivalents, we consider investments classified as available for sale securities and an investment in a managed fund as being generally available to meet our liquidity needs. Securities classified as available for sale securities are not as liquid as cash and cash equivalents, but they are generally easily convertible into cash within a relatively short period of time. As of June 30, 2014, the sum of these amounts aggregated \$6.2 billion. However, since \$4.0 billion of this amount is pledged as collateral pursuant to various agreements, is held by subsidiaries with outstanding debt (including Jefferies), or by subsidiaries that are party to agreements that restrict our ability to use the funds for other purposes, we do not consider those amounts to be available to meet corporate liquidity needs. The remaining \$2.2 billion that is available is comprised of cash and short-term bonds and notes of the U.S. Government and its agencies, other publicly traded debt and equity securities and an investment in a managed fund. This amount does not include the investment in Harbinger described below, which may be sold pursuant to certain conditions, but which we currently intend to hold.

Our available liquidity, and the investment income realized from cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities is used to meet our short-term recurring cash requirements, which are principally the payment of interest on our debt and corporate overhead expenses. In addition, maintaining significant structural liquidity and a stable source of reliable secured financing is a critical component of Jefferies operations. Jefferies maintains its own liquidity and access to funding in the capital markets, has its own credit rating and issues debt securities. See below for more information and analysis on Jefferies liquidity.

The holding company's only long-term cash requirement is to make principal payments on its long-term debt (\$1,458.6 million principal outstanding as of June 30, 2014), of which \$458.6 million is due in 2015, \$750.0 million in 2023 and \$250.0 million in 2043. The \$97.6 million of 3.75% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 were converted primarily in April 2014 into 4,606,109 common shares prior to maturity and are no longer outstanding. Historically, we have used our available liquidity to make acquisitions of new businesses and other investments, but, except as disclosed in this report, the timing of any future investments and the cost cannot be predicted.

As of June 30, 2014, we own approximately 41.6 million common shares of Harbinger Group Inc. ("Harbinger"), representing approximately 20% of Harbinger's outstanding common shares, which are accounted for under the fair

value option. The shares were acquired at an aggregate cost of \$411.1 million (\$253.0 million during 2014), are classified as Trading Assets and carried at fair value of \$528.3 million at June 30, 2014. In addition, we currently have the right to appoint two directors to Harbinger's board; such directors were appointed on July 1, 2014. We have agreed not to increase our interest in Harbinger above 27.5% for a period of two years.

In March 2014, we sold to HomeFed substantially all of our real estate properties and operations, most of our interest in Brooklyn Renaissance Plaza ("BRP") and cash of \$12.5 million (subject to adjustment), in exchange for 6,986,337 newly issued unregistered HomeFed common shares. The remainder of our interest in BRP will be sold to HomeFed for 513,663 additional HomeFed common shares pending receipt of the approval of a lender to BRP; closing of this portion of the transaction is expected during the third quarter of 2014.

During the first half of 2014, we invested \$307.5 million in the Leucadia asset management platform. Substantially all of the invested funds represent seed capital for new investment vehicles managed by various parties that employ separate and diverse investment strategies. Leucadia asset management has raised and intends to continue to raise additional capital from third party investors as it seeks to build its asset management business.

During the first half of 2014, we completed our initial funding of our two oil and gas platforms: Juneau Energy and Vitesse Energy. Juneau, funded with \$43.1 million, leases and develops oil and gas properties in Texas and Oklahoma. Vitesse, funded with \$47.0 million, selectively acquires non-operating oil and gas interests in the heart of the Bakken oil field.

61

In July 2014, we sold Premier, through which we had conducted our gaming operations, for aggregate cash consideration of \$250.0 million, subject to working capital adjustment. We expect to record a pre-tax gain on sale of discontinued operations of approximately \$12.5 million in the third quarter of 2014.

In June 2014, we entered into an agreement to form a joint venture with Golden Queen Mining Co. Ltd. and the Clay family, a shareholder group which owns 27% of Golden Queen, to jointly fund, develop and operate the Soledad Mountain project. The project is a fully-permitted, open pit, heap leach gold and silver project located just outside the town of Mojave in Kern County, California. Construction has started on site and commissioning is planned for late 2015. The joint venture is subject to approval by Golden Queen's shareholders, which is scheduled for September; if approved, we expect to invest approximately \$80.0 million for an approximate 33% interest in the project.

In February 2009, the Board of Directors authorized, from time to time, the purchase of our outstanding debt securities through cash purchases in open market transactions, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, depend upon prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements and other factors; such purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time without notice.

At June 30, 2014, we had outstanding 368,536,178 common shares and 13,741,760 share based awards that do not require the holder to pay any exercise price (potentially an aggregate of 382,277,938 outstanding common shares if all awards become outstanding common shares). In November 2012, the Board of Directors increased the number of our common shares that we are authorized to purchase. Such purchases may be made from time to time in the open market, through block trades or otherwise. Depending on market conditions and other factors, such purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time without notice. As of July 23, 2014, we are authorized to repurchase 25,000,000 common shares.

In connection with presentations made to credit rating agencies with respect to the Jefferies acquisition, we advised the agencies that we would target specific concentration, leverage and liquidity principles in the future, expressed in the form of certain ratios and percentages, although there is no legal requirement to do so. These thresholds and calculations of the actual ratios and percentages are detailed below at June 30, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Total equity	\$10,350,681	
Less, investment in Jefferies	(5,495,705)	
Equity excluding Jefferies	4,854,976	
Less, our two largest investments:		
National Beef	(775,198)	
Harbinger, at cost	(411,100)	
Equity in a stressed scenario	3,668,678	
Less, net deferred tax asset excluding Jefferies amount	(1,297,187)	
Equity in a stressed scenario less net deferred tax asset	\$2,371,491	
Balance sheet amounts:		
Available liquidity, per above	\$2,224,823	
Parent company debt (see Note 16 to our Consolidated financial statements)	\$1,444,430	
Ratio of parent company debt to stressed equity:		
Maximum	.50	x
Actual, equity in a stressed scenario	.39	x
Actual, equity in a stressed scenario excluding net deferred tax asset	.61	x
Ratio of available liquidity to parent company debt:		

Minimum	1.0	x
Actual	1.5	x

In addition, management has indicated that our largest single investment will be not more than 20% of equity excluding Jefferies (currently National Beef), and that the next largest investment will be no more than 10% of equity excluding Jefferies, in each case measured at the time such investment was made. The ratio of parent company debt to stressed equity excluding the net deferred tax asset exceeded the maximum due to the Senior Notes sold in October 2013. However, as these notes were issued, in part, to provide funds for maturing notes, it is considered to be a temporary situation that will not impact our credit ratings.

Jefferies Liquidity

General

The Chief Financial Officer and Global Treasurer of Jefferies are responsible for developing and implementing liquidity, funding and capital management strategies for the Jefferies businesses. These policies are determined by the nature and needs of day to day business operations, business opportunities, regulatory obligations, and liquidity requirements.

The actual levels of capital, total assets, and financial leverage are a function of a number of factors, including asset composition, business initiatives and opportunities, regulatory requirements and cost and availability of both long term and short term funding. Jefferies has historically maintained a balance sheet consisting of a large portion of total assets in cash and liquid marketable securities, arising principally from traditional securities brokerage and trading activity. The liquid nature of these assets provides flexibility in financing and managing Jefferies business.

A business unit level balance sheet and cash capital analysis is prepared and reviewed with senior management on a weekly basis. As a part of this balance sheet review process, capital is allocated to all assets and gross and adjusted balance sheet limits are established. This process ensures that the allocation of capital and costs of capital are incorporated into business decisions. The goals of this process are to protect the Jefferies platform, enable the businesses to remain competitive, maintain the ability to manage capital proactively and hold businesses accountable for both balance sheet and capital usage.

Jefferies Bache, LLC (Jefferies U.S. futures commission merchant) and Jefferies Bache Limited (Jefferies U.K. commodities and financial futures broker-dealer), receive cash or securities as margin to secure customer futures trades. Jefferies LLC (a U.S. broker-dealer), under SEC Rule 15c3-3, and Jefferies Bache, LLC, under CFTC Regulation 1.25, are required to maintain customer cash or qualified securities in a segregated reserve account for the exclusive benefit of our clients. Jefferies is required to conduct customer segregation calculations to ensure the appropriate amounts of funds are segregated and that no customer funds are used to finance firm activity. Similar requirements exist with respect to Jefferies U.K.-based activities conducted through Jefferies Bache Limited and Jefferies International Limited (a U.K. broker-dealer). Customer funds received are separately segregated and “locked-up” apart from Jefferies funds. If Jefferies rehypothecates customer securities, that activity is conducted only to finance customer activity. Additionally, Jefferies does not lend customer cash to counterparties to conduct securities financing activity (i.e., Jefferies does not lend customer cash to reverse in securities). Further, Jefferies has no customer loan activity in Jefferies International Limited and does not have any European prime brokerage operations. In Jefferies Bache Limited, any funds received from a customer are placed on deposit and not used as part of operations. Jefferies does not transfer U.S. customer assets to its U.K. entities.

Jefferies actively monitors and evaluates its financial condition and the composition of its assets and liabilities. Substantially all trading assets and trading liabilities are valued on a daily basis and balance sheet limits for the various businesses are monitored and employed. The overall securities inventory is continually monitored, including the inventory turnover rate, which confirms the liquidity of overall assets. As a Primary Dealer in the U.S. and with a similar role in several European jurisdictions, Jefferies carries inventory and makes an active market for its clients in securities issued by the various governments. These inventory positions are substantially comprised of the most liquid securities in the asset class, with a significant portion in holdings of securities of G-7 countries. For further detail on Jefferies outstanding sovereign exposure to Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain, refer to Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk below.

Of Jefferies total trading assets, approximately 74% are readily and consistently financeable at haircuts of 10% or less. In addition, as a matter of Jefferies policy, a portion of these assets have internal capital assessed, which is in

addition to the funding haircuts provided in the securities finance markets. Additionally, trading assets consisting of bank loans and investments are predominantly funded by Jefferies long term capital. Under Jefferies cash capital policy, capital allocation levels are modeled that are more stringent than the haircuts used in the market for secured funding; and surplus capital is maintained at these maximum levels. At June 30, 2014, our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition includes Jefferies Level 3 trading assets that are 3% of total trading assets.

Securities financing assets and liabilities include both financing for financial instruments trading activity and matched book transactions. Matched book transactions accommodate customers, as well as obtain securities for the settlement and financing of inventory positions. By executing repurchase agreements with central clearing corporations, Jefferies reduces the credit risk associated with these arrangements and decreases net outstanding balances.

The following table presents Jefferies period end balance, average balance and maximum balance at any month end within the periods presented for Securities purchased under agreements to resell and Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (in millions):

63

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	From Jefferies Acquisition Through December 31, 2013
Securities purchased under agreements to resell:		
Period end	\$4,609	\$ 3,747
Month end average	5,924	4,936
Maximum month end	8,081	6,007
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:		
Period end	\$11,668	\$ 10,780
Month end average	13,090	13,308
Maximum month end	14,643	16,502

Fluctuations in the balance of Jefferies repurchase agreements from period to period and intraperiod are dependent on business activity in those periods. Additionally, the fluctuations in the balances of Jefferies securities purchased under agreements to resell are influenced in any given period by its clients' balances and desires to execute collateralized financing arrangements via the repurchase market or via other financing products. Average balances and period end balances will fluctuate based on market and liquidity conditions and Jefferies considers the fluctuations intraperiod to be typical for the repurchase market.

Liquidity Management

The key objectives of Jefferies liquidity management framework are to support the successful execution of business strategies while ensuring sufficient liquidity through the business cycle and during periods of financial distress. The liquidity management policies are designed to mitigate the potential risk that adequate financing may not be accessible to service financial obligations without material franchise or business impact.

The principal elements of Jefferies liquidity management framework are the Contingency Funding Plan, the Cash Capital Policy and the assessment of Maximum Liquidity Outflow.

Contingency Funding Plan. The Jefferies Contingency Funding Plan is based on a model of a potential liquidity contraction over a one year time period. This incorporates potential cash outflows during a liquidity stress event, including, but not limited to, the following: (a) repayment of all unsecured debt maturing within one year and no incremental unsecured debt issuance; (b) maturity rolloff of outstanding letters of credit with no further issuance and replacement with cash collateral; (c) higher margin requirements than currently exist on assets on securities financing activity, including repurchase agreements; (d) liquidity outflows related to possible credit downgrade; (e) lower availability of secured funding; (f) client cash withdrawals; (g) the anticipated funding of outstanding investment and loan commitments; and (h) certain accrued expenses and other liabilities and fixed costs.

Cash Capital Policy. A cash capital model is maintained that measures long-term funding sources against requirements. Sources of cash capital include equity, preferred stock and the noncurrent portion of long-term borrowings. Uses of cash capital include the following: (a) illiquid assets such as equipment, goodwill, net intangible assets, exchange memberships, deferred tax assets and certain investments; (b) a portion of securities inventory that is not expected to be financed on a secured basis in a credit stressed environment (i.e., margin requirements); and (c)

drawdowns of unfunded commitments. To ensure that Jefferies does not need to liquidate inventory in the event of a funding crisis, Jefferies seeks to maintain surplus cash capital, which is reflected in the leverage ratios Jefferies maintains.

Maximum Liquidity Outflow. Jefferies businesses are diverse, and liquidity needs are determined by many factors, including market movements, collateral requirements and client commitments, all of which can change dramatically in a difficult funding environment. During a liquidity crisis, credit-sensitive funding, including unsecured debt and some types of secured financing agreements, may be unavailable, and the terms (e.g., interest rates, collateral provisions and tenor) or availability of other types of secured financing may change. As a result of Jefferies policy to ensure it has sufficient funds to cover estimates of what may be needed in a liquidity crisis, Jefferies holds more cash and unencumbered securities and has greater long-term debt balances than the businesses would otherwise require. As part of this estimation process, Jefferies calculates a Maximum Liquidity Outflow that could be experienced in a liquidity crisis. Maximum Liquidity Outflow is based on a scenario that includes both market-wide stress and firm-specific stress.

Based on the sources and uses of liquidity calculated under the Maximum Liquidity Outflow scenarios Jefferies determines, based on its calculated surplus or deficit, additional long-term funding that may be needed versus funding through the repurchase financing market and considers any adjustments that may be necessary to Jefferies inventory balances and cash holdings. Jefferies has sufficient excess liquidity to meet all contingent cash outflows detailed in the Maximum Liquidity Outflow.

64

Sources of Liquidity

Within Jefferies, the following are financial instruments that are cash and cash equivalents or are deemed by Jefferies management to be generally readily convertible into cash, marginable or accessible for liquidity purposes within a relatively short period of time, as reflected in our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Actual	Average Balance Second Quarter 2014 (1)
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash in banks	\$690,979	\$543,088
Certificate of deposit	50,003	50,005
Money market investments	3,217,306	1,900,604
Total cash and cash equivalents	3,958,288	2,493,697
Other sources of liquidity:		
Debt securities owned and securities purchased under agreements to resell (2)	1,201,761	1,090,394
Other (3)	663,711	782,793
Total other sources	1,865,472	1,873,187
Total cash and cash equivalents and other liquidity sources	\$5,823,760	\$4,366,884

(1) Average balances are calculated based on weekly balances.

Consists of high quality sovereign government securities and reverse repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. government securities and other high quality sovereign government securities; deposits with a central bank within (2) the European Economic Area, Canada, Australia, Japan, Switzerland or the USA; and securities issued by a designated multilateral development bank and reverse repurchase agreements with underlying collateral comprised of these securities.

Other includes unencumbered inventory representing an estimate of the amount of additional secured financing that (3) could be reasonably expected to be obtained from financial instruments owned that are currently not pledged after considering reasonable financing haircuts and additional funds available under the committed senior secured revolving credit facility available for working capital needs of Jefferies Bache.

In addition to the cash balances and liquidity pool presented above, the majority of trading assets (both long and short) in Jefferies trading accounts are actively traded and readily marketable. Repurchase financing can be readily obtained for 74% of inventory at haircuts of 10% or less, which reflects the liquidity of the inventory. Jefferies continually assesses the liquidity of its inventory based on the level at which Jefferies could obtain financing in the marketplace for a given asset. Assets are considered to be liquid if financing can be obtained in the repurchase market or the securities lending market at collateral haircut levels of 10% or less. The following summarizes Jefferies trading assets by asset class that are considered to be of a liquid nature and the amount of such assets that have not been pledged as collateral as reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

June 30, 2014

December 31, 2013

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	Liquid Financial Instruments	Unencumbered Liquid Financial Instruments (2)	Liquid Financial Instruments	Unencumbered Liquid Financial Instruments (2)
Corporate equity securities	\$2,055,459	\$ 345,514	\$1,982,877	\$ 137,721
Corporate debt securities	2,347,417	15,994	2,250,512	26,983
U.S. government, agency and municipal securities	2,555,155	250,025	2,513,388	400,821
Other sovereign obligations	2,412,164	1,028,114	2,346,485	991,774
Agency mortgage-backed securities (1)	3,342,120	–	2,976,133	–
Physical commodities	47,166	–	37,888	–
	\$12,759,481	\$ 1,639,647	\$12,107,283	\$ 1,557,299

Consists solely of agency mortgage-backed securities issued by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae. These (1) securities include pass-through securities, securities backed by adjustable rate mortgages (“ARMs”), collateralized mortgage obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities and interest- and principal-only securities.

(2) Unencumbered liquid balances represent assets that can be sold or used as collateral for a loan, but have not been.

In addition to being able to be readily financed at modest haircut levels, it is estimated that each of the individual securities within each asset class above could be sold into the market and converted into cash within three business days under normal market conditions, assuming that the entire portfolio of a given asset class was not simultaneously liquidated. There are no restrictions on the unencumbered liquid securities, nor have they been pledged as collateral.

Sources of Funding

Secured Financing

Readily available secured funding is used to finance Jefferies financial instruments inventory. The ability of Jefferies to support increases in total assets is largely a function of the ability to obtain short and intermediate term secured funding, primarily through securities financing transactions. Repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements (collectively "repos"), respectively, are used to finance a portion of long inventory and cover a portion of short inventory through pledging and borrowing securities. Approximately 81% of Jefferies repurchase financing activities use collateral that is considered eligible collateral by central clearing corporations. Central clearing corporations are situated between participating members who borrow cash and lend securities (or vice versa); accordingly repo participants contract with the central clearing corporation and not one another individually. Therefore, counterparty credit risk is borne by the central clearing corporation which mitigates the risk through initial margin demands and variation margin calls from repo participants. The comparatively large proportion of Jefferies total repo activity that is eligible for central clearing reflects the high quality and liquid composition of its trading inventory. The tenor of repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements generally exceeds the expected holding period of the financed assets.

A significant portion of the financing of European Sovereign inventory is executed using central clearinghouse financing arrangements rather than via bi-lateral arrangements repo agreements. For those asset classes not eligible for central clearinghouse financing, bi-lateral financings are sought on an extended term basis.

In addition to the above financing arrangements, Jefferies issues notes backed by eligible collateral under a master repurchase agreement. The outstanding amount of the notes issued under the program was \$220.0 million in aggregate, which is presented within Other secured financings in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014. Of the \$220.0 million aggregate notes, \$60.0 million matures in November 2014, a second \$60.0 million in February 2015 and \$100.0 million matures in March 2015, all bearing interest at a spread over one month LIBOR.

Weighted average maturity of repurchase agreements for non-clearing corporation eligible funded inventory is approximately three months. Jefferies ability to finance inventory via central clearinghouses and bi-lateral arrangements is augmented by Jefferies ability to draw bank loans on an uncommitted basis under various banking arrangements. As of June 30, 2014, short-term borrowings as bank loans totaled \$12.0 million. Interest under the bank lines is generally at a spread over the federal funds rate. Letters of credit are used in the normal course of business mostly to satisfy various collateral requirements in favor of exchanges in lieu of depositing cash or securities. Average daily bank loans for Jefferies during the three and six month periods of 2014 were \$181.3 million and \$97.6 million, respectively.

Long-Term Debt

In May 2014, Jefferies issued under its \$2.0 billion Euro Medium Term Note Program senior unsecured notes with a principal amount of €500.0 million, due 2020, which bear interest at 2.375% per annum. Proceeds amounted to €498.7 million.

The Jefferies Credit Facility is a committed senior secured revolving credit facility with a group of commercial banks in Dollars, Euros and Sterling, for an aggregate committed amount of \$950.0 million, with availability subject to one or more borrowing bases and of which \$250.0 million can be borrowed by Jefferies Bache Limited without a borrowing base requirement. In June 2014, Jefferies amended and restated the facility to extend the term for three years and reduced the committed amount to \$750.0 million. The borrowers under the facility are Jefferies Bache

Financial Services, Inc., Jefferies Bache, LLC and Jefferies Bache Limited. Interest is based on the Federal funds rate or, in the case of Euro and Sterling borrowings, the Euro Interbank Offered Rate and the London Interbank Offered Rate, respectively. The facility is guaranteed by Jefferies Group LLC and contains financial covenants that, among other things, imposes restrictions on future indebtedness of its subsidiaries, requires Jefferies Group LLC to maintain specified levels of tangible net worth and liquidity amounts, and requires certain subsidiaries to maintain specified levels of regulated capital. Jefferies is currently in compliance with the facility and expects to remain in compliance in both the near term and long term given current liquidity, anticipated additional funding requirements and profitability expectations.

Jefferies long-term debt ratings are as follows:

Rating Outlook

Moody's Investors Service Baa3 Stable

Standard and Poor's BBB Stable

Fitch Ratings BBB- Stable

66

Jefferies relies upon its cash holdings and external sources to finance a significant portion of its day to day operations. Jefferies access to these external sources, as well as the cost of that financing, is dependent upon various factors, including its credit ratings. Jefferies current ratings are dependent upon many factors, including industry dynamics, operating and economic environment, operating results, operating margins, earnings trend and volatility, balance sheet composition, liquidity and liquidity management, capital structure, overall risk management, business diversification and market share and competitive position in the markets in which it operates. Deteriorations in any of these factors could impact Jefferies credit ratings. While certain aspects of a credit rating downgrade are quantifiable pursuant to contractual provisions, the impact on its business and trading results in future periods is inherently uncertain and depends on a number of factors, including the magnitude of the downgrade, the behavior of individual clients and future mitigating action taken by Jefferies.

In connection with certain over-the-counter derivative contract arrangements and certain other trading arrangements, Jefferies may be required to provide additional collateral to counterparties, exchanges and clearing organizations in the event of a credit rating downgrade. The amount of additional collateral that could be called by counterparties, exchanges and clearing organizations under the terms of such agreements in the event of a downgrade of Jefferies long-term credit rating below investment grade was \$43.2 million. For certain foreign clearing organizations credit rating is only one of several factors employed in determining collateral that could be called. The above represents management's best estimate for additional collateral to be called in the event of credit rating downgrade. The impact of additional collateral requirements is considered in Jefferies Contingency Funding Plan and calculation of Maximum Liquidity Outflow, as described above.

Ratings issued by credit rating agencies are subject to change at any time.

Net Capital

Jefferies operates broker-dealers registered with the SEC and member firms of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"). Jefferies LLC and Jefferies Execution are subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission Uniform Net Capital Rule ("Rule 15c3-1"), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and which may limit distributions from the broker-dealers. Additionally, Jefferies Bache, LLC is registered as a Futures Commission Merchant and is subject to Rule 1.17 of the Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC"). FINRA is the designated self-regulatory organization for the U.S. broker-dealers and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange is the designee for Jefferies Bache, LLC.

Jefferies LLC, Jefferies Execution and Jefferies Bache, LLC's net capital, adjusted net capital, and excess net capital were as follows (in thousands):

	Net Capital	Excess Net Capital
Jefferies LLC	\$1,090,453	\$1,016,424
Jefferies Execution	5,307	5,057
	Adjusted Net Capital	Excess Net Capital
Jefferies Bache, LLC	\$196,264	\$80,938

Certain other U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries of Jefferies are subject to capital adequacy requirements as prescribed by the regulatory authorities in their respective jurisdictions, including Jefferies International Limited and Jefferies Bache Limited which are subject to the regulatory supervision and requirements of the Financial Conduct Authority in the United Kingdom. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act contains provisions that require the registration of all swap dealers, major swap participants, security-based swap dealers, and/or major security-based swap participants. While entities that register under these provisions will be subject to regulatory capital requirements, these regulatory capital requirements have not yet been finalized. Jefferies expects that these provisions will result in modifications to the regulatory capital requirements of some of its entities, and will result in some of its other entities becoming subject to regulatory capital requirements for the first time, including Jefferies Derivative Products, LLC and Jefferies Bache Financial Services, Inc., which registered as swap dealers with the CFTC during January 2013.

The regulatory capital requirements referred to above may restrict our ability to withdraw capital from our subsidiaries.

67

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Net cash of \$1,112.2 million was used for operating activities in the six month 2014 period and net cash of \$447.5 million was provided by operating activities in the six month 2013 period. Jefferies used funds of \$365.6 million during the 2014 period and generated funds of \$563.0 million during the 2013 period. National Beef used funds of \$72.5 million and \$16.0 million during 2014 and 2013, respectively; manufacturing generated funds of \$5.0 million and \$5.9 million during 2014 and 2013, respectively; and discontinued operations generated funds of \$11.2 million and used funds of \$10.0 million during 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash of \$253.0 million was used to acquire our investment in Harbinger Group, Inc. and make additional investments of \$307.5 million in the Leucadia asset management platform, both of which are classified as a use of cash for operating activities. The change in operating cash flows also reflects greater interest payments and greater income tax payments. During 2014, distributions from associated companies principally were received from Berkadia (\$19.4 million), Garcadia (\$20.3 million) and Jefferies related parties (\$41.3 million). During 2013, distributions from associated companies principally were received from Berkadia (\$26.5 million), Garcadia (\$11.4 million) and Jefferies related parties (\$10.7 million).

Net cash of \$726.5 million and \$3,757.4 million was provided by investing activities in the six month 2014 and 2013 periods, respectively. Cash acquired upon acquisition of Jefferies was \$3,018.0 million in 2013. Acquisitions of property, equipment and leasehold improvements, and other assets include amounts primarily related to Jefferies (\$61.1 million and \$15.5 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively), National Beef (\$28.5 million and \$21.3 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively), the Other operations segment (\$65.7 million and \$5.8 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively), and discontinued operations (\$10.2 million and \$11.2 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively). Loans to and investments in associated companies include Garcadia (\$4.7 million and \$38.4 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively), Linkem (\$26.2 million in 2013) and Jefferies related entities (\$941.7 million and \$345.3 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively). Capital distributions and loan repayment from associated companies include Jefferies related entities (\$1,131.7 million and \$656.6 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively) and Garcadia (\$3.3 million and \$7.4 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively).

Net cash of \$659.9 million was provided by financing activities in the six month 2014 period and net cash of \$792.8 million was used for financing activities in the six month 2013 period. During 2014, issuance of debt primarily reflects increases in Jefferies debt (\$681.2 million), borrowings by National Beef under its bank credit facility (\$106.3 million) and borrowings by the Other operations segment (\$122.2 million). Issuance of debt for 2013 primarily reflects borrowings by National Beef under its bank credit facility (\$78.9 million). Reduction of debt for 2014 includes \$13.6 million related to National Beef's debt, \$135.0 million related to Jefferies debt and \$19.2 million of debt related to the Other operations segment. Reduction of debt for 2013 includes \$28.5 million related to National Beef's debt, \$185.0 million related to Jefferies debt and the decrease in repurchase agreements of \$269.8 million.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the financial statements and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate all of these estimates and assumptions. The following areas have been identified as critical accounting estimates because they have the potential to have a significant impact on our financial statements, and because they are based on assumptions which are used in the accounting records to reflect, at a specific point in time, events whose ultimate outcome won't be known until a later date. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Income Taxes – We record a valuation allowance to reduce our net deferred tax asset to the net amount that is more likely than not to be realized. If in the future we determine that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize our net deferred tax asset in excess of our net recorded amount, an adjustment to increase the net deferred tax asset would increase income in such period. If in the future we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our recorded net deferred tax asset, an adjustment to decrease the net deferred tax asset would be charged to income in such period. We are required to consider all available evidence, both positive and negative, and to weight the evidence when determining whether a valuation allowance is required and the amount of such valuation allowance. Generally, greater weight is required to be placed on objectively verifiable evidence when making this assessment, in particular on recent historical operating results.

Our estimate of future taxable income considers all available evidence, both positive and negative, about our operating businesses and investments, includes an aggregation of individual projections for each significant operating business and investment, estimated apportionment factors for state and local taxing jurisdictions and includes all future years that we estimate we will have available NOLs (until 2029). We believe that our estimate of future taxable income is reasonable but inherently uncertain, and if our current or future operations and investments generate taxable income different than the projected amounts, further adjustments to the valuation allowance are possible. The current balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance principally reserves for NOLs of certain subsidiaries that are not available to offset income generated by other members of the consolidated tax return group.

68

We also record reserves for unrecognized tax benefits based on our assessment of the probability of successfully sustaining tax filing positions. Management exercises significant judgment when assessing the probability of successfully sustaining tax filing positions, and in determining whether a contingent tax liability should be recorded and if so estimating the amount. If our tax filing positions are successfully challenged, payments could be required that are in excess of reserved amounts or we may be required to reduce the carrying amount of our net deferred tax asset, either of which could be significant to our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition or results of operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments – Trading assets and trading liabilities are recorded at fair value, either as required by accounting pronouncements or through the fair value option election. Trading assets and trading liabilities include Jefferies trading activities, financial instruments of other consolidated entities that are accounted for through the fair value option election and, prior to the Jefferies acquisition, trading assets include our investment in Jefferies common shares. Gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Available for sale securities are reflected at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reflected as a separate component of equity, net of taxes. When sold, realized gains and losses on available for sale securities are reflected in the caption Net realized securities gains. Fair value is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the exit price).

In determining fair value, we maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources. Unobservable inputs reflect our assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. We apply a hierarchy to categorize our fair value measurements broken down into three levels based on the transparency of inputs as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level 2: Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these financial instruments include cash instruments for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently, derivative instruments whose fair value have been derived using a model where inputs to the model are directly observable in the market, or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data, and instruments that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level 3: Instruments that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These financial instruments are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

Fair value is a market based measure; therefore, when market observable inputs are not available, our judgment is applied to reflect those judgments that a market participant would use in valuing the same asset or liability. The availability of observable inputs can vary for different products. We use prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date even in periods of market disruption or illiquidity. The valuation of financial instruments classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy involves the greatest amount of management judgment.

Jefferies Independent Price Verification Group, independent of its trading function, plays an important role in determining that financial instruments are appropriately valued and that fair value measurements are reliable. This is particularly important where prices or valuations that require inputs are less observable. In the event that observable

inputs are not available, the control processes are designed to assure that the valuation approach utilized is appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable. Where a pricing model is used to determine fair value, these control processes include reviews of the pricing model's theoretical soundness and appropriateness by risk management personnel with relevant expertise who are independent from the trading desks. In addition, recently executed comparable transactions and other observable market data are considered for purposes of validating assumptions underlying the model.

For further information on the fair value definition, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3 and related valuation techniques, see Notes 2 and 5.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets – We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate, in management's judgment, that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. When testing for impairment, we group our long-lived assets with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities (or asset group). The determination of whether an asset group is recoverable is based on management's estimate of undiscounted future cash flows directly attributable to the asset group as compared to its carrying value. If the carrying amount of the asset group is greater than the undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss would be recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset group exceeds its estimated fair value.

69

Substantially all of our operating businesses sell products or services that are impacted by general economic conditions in the U.S. and to a lesser extent internationally. In recent years general economic conditions reduced the demand for products or services sold by our operating subsidiaries and/or resulted in reduced pricing for products or services. A worsening of current economic conditions could cause a decline in estimated future cash flows expected to be generated by our operations and investments. If future undiscounted cash flows are estimated to be less than the carrying amounts of the asset groups used to generate those cash flows in subsequent reporting periods, particularly for those with large investments in intangible assets and property and equipment (for example, investment banking & capital markets, beef processing, manufacturing, real estate and certain associated company investments), impairment charges would have to be recorded.

Goodwill – At acquisition, we allocate the cost of a business acquisition to the specific tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their fair values. Significant judgments and estimates are often made by management to determine these values, and may include the use of appraisals, consideration of market quotes for similar transactions, use of discounted cash flow techniques or consideration of other information we believe to be relevant. Any excess of the cost of a business acquisition over the fair values of the net assets and liabilities acquired is recorded as goodwill, which is not amortized to expense. Substantially all of our goodwill was recognized in connection with the Jefferies acquisition.

At least annually, and more frequently if warranted, we will assess whether goodwill has been impaired. If the estimated fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not impaired. If the estimated fair value is less than carrying value, further analysis is necessary to determine the amount of impairment, if any. The fair values will be based on widely accepted valuation techniques that we believe market participants would use, although the valuation process requires significant judgment and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. The methodologies we utilize in estimating fair value include market capitalization, price-to-book multiples of comparable exchange traded companies, multiples of merger and acquisitions of similar businesses and/or projected cash flows. The estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value could have a significant effect on whether or not an impairment charge is recorded and the magnitude of such a charge. Adverse market or economic events could result in impairment charges in future periods. Our annual goodwill impairment testing date related to Jefferies is as of August 1.

Compensation and Benefits – A portion of Jefferies compensation and benefits represents discretionary bonuses, which are finalized at year end. In addition to the level of net revenues, Jefferies overall compensation expense in any given year is influenced by prevailing labor markets, revenue mix, profitability, individual and business performance metrics, and use of share-based compensation programs. We believe the most appropriate way to allocate Jefferies estimated annual total compensation among interim periods is in proportion to projected net revenues earned. Consequently, during the year we accrue Jefferies compensation and benefits based on annual targeted compensation ratios, taking into account the mix of its revenues and the timing of expense recognition.

Contingencies – In the normal course of business, we have been named, from time to time, as a defendant in legal and regulatory proceedings. We are also involved, from time to time, in other exams, investigations and similar reviews (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding our businesses, certain of which may result in judgments, settlements, fines, penalties or other injunctions.

We recognize a liability for a contingency when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a probable loss is a range, we accrue the most likely amount of such loss, and if such amount is not determinable, then we accrue the minimum in the range as the loss accrual. The determination of the outcome and loss estimates requires significant judgment on the part of management, can be highly subjective and is subject to significant change with the passage of time as more

information becomes available. Estimating the ultimate impact of litigation matters is inherently uncertain, in particular because the ultimate outcome will rest on events and decisions of others that may not be within our power to control. We do not believe that any of our current litigation will have a significant adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity; however, if amounts paid at the resolution of litigation are in excess of recorded reserve amounts, the excess could be significant in relation to results of operations for that period. For further information, see Note 23.

Results of Operations

Substantially all of our operating businesses sell products or services that are impacted by general economic conditions in the U.S. and to a lesser extent internationally. In recent years general economic conditions reduced the demand for products or services sold by our operating subsidiaries and/or resulted in reduced pricing for products or services. The discussions below concerning revenue and profitability by segment consider current economic conditions and the impact such conditions have had and may continue to have on each segment; however, should general economic conditions worsen we believe that all of our businesses would be adversely impacted.

Historically, our pre-tax operating results have not been predictable from period to period, principally as a result of significant gains or losses from strategic transactions that will not recur in future periods, or from investments that are accounted for under the fair value option. The income or loss recorded on these transactions or investments are typically reflected as part of the corporate segment. In addition, the nature of Jefferies business does not produce predictable or necessarily recurring earnings. While our beef processing segment and manufacturing businesses (part of our other operations segment) tend to have more predictable or regular earnings, on a consolidated basis the results of these businesses are often less significant and apparent due to the impact of Jefferies business, such strategic transactions, and fair value accounting.

70

A summary of results of continuing operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and income related to associated companies:				
Investment Banking & Capital Markets	\$85,568	\$77,603	\$281,938	\$77,603
Beef Processing Services	6,518	27,286	(21,495)	6,772
Other Operations	(6,014)	(22,115)	(23,515)	(27,427)
Corporate	(14,794)	(33,828)	(45,905)	315,113
Total consolidated income from continuing operations before income taxes and income related to associated companies	\$71,278	\$48,946	\$191,023	\$372,061

Investment Banking & Capital Markets

The investment banking and capital markets segment is comprised of Jefferies, which was acquired on March 1, 2013 and is reflected in our consolidated financial statements utilizing a one month lag; Jefferies has a November 30th fiscal year and its fiscal quarters end one month prior to our reporting periods. A summary of results of operations for Jefferies for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and for the period from the Jefferies acquisition through June 30, 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Period From the Jefferies Acquisition Through June 30, 2013
Net revenues	\$689,303	\$1,619,815	\$651,509
Expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	386,001	912,775	373,880
Floor brokerage and clearing fees	54,020	103,533	32,991
Depreciation and amortization	18,383	35,414	18,934
Selling, general and other expenses	145,331	286,155	148,101
	603,735	1,337,877	573,906

Income before income taxes	\$85,568	\$281,938	\$77,603
----------------------------	----------	-----------	----------

71

The segment comprises many business units, with many interactions and much integration among them. Business activities include the sales, trading, origination and advisory effort for various equity, fixed income, commodities, foreign exchange and advisory products and services. Jefferies business, by its nature, does not produce predictable or necessarily recurring revenues or earnings. Jefferies results in any given period can be materially affected by conditions in global financial markets, economic conditions generally, and its own activities and positions.

The discussion below is presented on a detailed product and expense basis. Net revenues presented for equity and fixed income businesses include allocations of interest income and interest expense as Jefferies assesses the profitability of these businesses inclusive of the net interest revenue or expense associated with the respective sales and trading activities, which is a function of the mix of each business's associated assets and liabilities and the related funding costs.

The following provides a summary of net revenues by source for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and for the period from the Jefferies acquisition through June 30, 2013 (in thousands):

			For the Period
	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014	From the Jefferies Acquisition Through June 30, 2013
Sales and trading:			
Equities	\$142,435	\$361,941	\$149,472
Fixed income	218,820	505,549	214,376
Total sales and trading	361,255	867,490	363,848
Investment banking:			
Capital markets:			
Equities	83,726	178,464	53,564
Debt	147,000	320,038	133,714
Advisory	100,423	246,967	89,856
Total investment banking	331,149	745,469	277,134
Other	(3,101)	6,856	10,527
Total net revenues	\$689,303	\$1,619,815	\$651,509

Net Revenues

Net revenues for Jefferies for the second quarter of 2014 reflected solid revenues in investment banking, and a steady performance in Equities and Fixed Income despite lower client trading volume. Further, European and Asian revenues for the second quarter of 2014 were the third highest quarter on record. Net revenues for the second quarter of 2013 reflected improved performance in Jefferies core equity sales and trading business and continued strength in its investment banking platform. However, there was a significant slowdown in fixed income activity during the first two months of that quarter that offset better fixed income results in the final month.

Equities Revenue

Equities revenue is comprised of equity commissions, principal transactions and net interest revenue relating to cash equities, electronic trading, equity derivatives, convertible securities, prime brokerage, securities finance and alternative investment strategies. Equities revenue is heavily dependent on the overall level of trading activity of our clients. Equities revenue also includes our share of the net earnings from our joint venture investments in Jefferies Finance, LLC and Jefferies LoanCore, LLC, which are accounted for under the equity method, as well as any changes in the value of Jefferies investments in Knight Capital and Harbinger, which are accounted for at fair value.

Equities revenue for the second quarter of 2014 includes an unrealized gain of \$7.6 million from our investment in Knight Capital. Also included within interest expense allocated to Jefferies equities business is positive income of \$11.9 million for the amortization of premiums arising from the adjustment of Jefferies long-term debt to fair value as part of acquisition accounting.

The second quarter of 2014 was characterized by U.S. stock prices continuing their upward trend as company earnings and economic data largely met expectations and monetary policy looked to remain favorable. Towards the end of the fiscal quarter, our equity option trading desk benefited from a decrease in volatility, although offset by reduced trading revenues on our U.S. equity cash desk driven by decreased customer flows, and lower trading revenues from equity block trading. Our securities financing business contributed solidly to revenues during the quarter while reduced liquidity in the secondary market due to fewer new issuances led to lower revenues from our convertibles desk. In Europe, with the economy continuing to show signs of strengthening, increased commission and trading revenues resulted from improved customer flows. Asian equity commissions for the second quarter of 2014 also were up driven by an increase in client activity.

Net earnings from Jefferies Finance was consistently strong while those from Jefferies LoanCore were lower during the second quarter as compared to the same period in 2013 due to fewer securitizations.

Equities revenue for the six month 2014 period includes unrealized gains of \$26.5 million from Jefferies investments in Knight Capital and Harbinger Group Inc. Additionally, during the first quarter of 2014, Jefferies recognized a mark-to-market gain of \$12.2 million in connection with its investment in CoreCommodity Management LLC. Also included within interest expense allocated to Jefferies equities business is positive income of \$23.6 million for the amortization of premiums arising from the adjustment of Jefferies long-term debt to fair value as part of acquisition accounting.

U.S. equity market conditions during the period from the Jefferies acquisition through June 30, 2013 were characterized by increasing stock prices, although trading volume was low. In equity markets, the NASDAQ Composite Index, the S&P 500 Index and the Dow Jones Industrial Average increased by 9.4%, 7.7% and 7.6%, respectively, over the quarter ending May 31, 2013, with the S&P Index reaching a new high in May 2013. Although market volumes were subdued, Jefferies U.S. equity cash and electronic trading desks experienced greater customer

flow as compared to prior periods. In Europe, liquidity returned to the market as compared to 2012 aiding results, although Jefferies results were still impacted by relatively low trading volumes. Additionally, Asian equity commissions were stronger, particularly in Japan with new monetary policies increasing trading volumes on the Nikkei Exchange. Jefferies Securities Finance desk also contributed solidly to Equities revenue for the 2013 second quarter. The performance of certain strategic investment strategies was strong during the 2013 second quarter.

Net earnings from Jefferies Finance and Jefferies LoanCore joint ventures were impacted by additional interest expense on new long-term debt issued by both ventures during the second quarter of 2013, the proceeds of which had not yet been deployed in the business.

73

Fixed Income Revenue

Fixed income revenue includes commissions, principal transactions and net interest revenue from investment grade corporate bonds, mortgage- and asset-backed securities, government and agency securities, municipal bonds, emerging markets debt, high yield and distressed securities, bank loans, foreign exchange and commodities trading activities.

Included within Interest expense for the second quarter of 2014 and for the six month 2014 period is positive income of \$14.5 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, from the allocation to our fixed income business of a portion of the amortization of premiums arising from adjusting our long-term debt to fair value as part of acquisition accounting.

The second quarter of fiscal 2014 was characterized by mixed U.S. economic data combined with increased geopolitical risks. Credit spreads continued to tighten and volatility was extremely low. These conditions impacted trading revenues in our U.S. rates, corporates, emerging markets and mortgage business, though this effect was partially offset by investors migrating to certain parts of the latter asset classes in search of higher yields. While investor interest in high yield asset classes continues to be strong, distressed credit trading was more subdued in the second quarter than during the first quarter of 2014. Municipal securities as an asset class continue to outperform and experience increasing customer inflows benefiting our municipal securities business. Yields in Europe also tightened during the second quarter and, during the latter part of the quarter, economic data for certain European countries negatively impacted results for the period from our international rates and mortgage businesses. Futures sales and trading revenues for the three month 2014 period declined as compared to the same 2013 period primarily attributable to low volatility in the foreign currency markets as well as generally subdued client demand.

The first part of the six month 2014 period was characterized by weaker U.S. economic data, which continued to be mixed throughout the six month period. Additionally, geopolitical factors created market uncertainty. Credit spreads continued to tighten as the U.S. Federal Reserve continued to taper its bond buyback program at a measured pace. These factors continued to motivate investors to take on more risk in search of yield, which has reduced demand in U.S. rates while benefiting other of our fixed income businesses. Overall, volatility was low reducing client trading demand across most of the fixed income platform with the exception of increased customer flow in our municipal securities business. Futures sales and trading revenues for the six month 2014 period were negatively impacted by challenging market conditions for foreign currency trading given political and economic instability in various global environments.

The second quarter of fiscal 2013 was characterized by improving U.S. macroeconomic conditions, and, through the first half of May 2013, the U.S. Federal Reserve's policies resulted in historically low yields for fixed income securities motivating investors to take on more risk in search for yield. However, in May 2013, the fixed income markets became concerned about a possible tapering of the quantitative easing program leading ultimately to negative returns for the period across nearly all of the U.S. fixed income markets. Spreads tightened significantly in the U.S. rates market, with a significant sell-off in the market in May 2013 creating a challenging environment to monetize client flow. Corporate credit spreads also compressed during the second quarter of 2013 and a difficult trading environment prevailed. Conversely, spreads widened for mortgage products and market volatility was amplified during the period resulting in sizable write-downs in our inventory. Municipal securities underperformed as an asset class as the yields compared to other asset classes when considering the risk of the asset class did not appear attractive to investors. Our leverage credit business produced solid results as investors also sought investment yields in this fixed income class and issuers of bank debt were active with the supply level creating a positive effect on liquidity in the secondary market. Additionally, foreign exchange revenues were negatively impacted by volatility in the markets.

Europe experienced strong trading volume as U.S. and Japanese investors began to search for yield, despite continuing macroeconomic and political risks in the eurozone. International mortgage revenues benefited from the demand for European mortgage bonds in the second quarter of 2013. Revenues in our international credit business were affected by an overall slowdown in market activity, as renewed uncertainty over the debt crisis in certain peripheral European countries remained.

Investment Banking Revenue

Jefferies provides a full range of capital markets and financial advisory services to its clients across most industry sectors in the Americas, Europe and Asia. Capital markets revenue includes underwriting and placement revenue related to corporate debt, municipal bonds, mortgage- and asset-backed securities and equity and equity-linked securities. Advisory revenue consists primarily of advisory and transaction fees generated in connection with merger, acquisition and restructuring transactions.

During Jefferies second quarter of 2014, strong equity and debt markets, low borrowing costs and an improving U.S. and European economic environment, increased the volume of both capital market transactions and mergers and acquisition activity compared to the same period last year. From equity and debt capital raising activities, Jefferies generated \$83.7 million and \$147.0 million in revenues, respectively. During that three month period, Jefferies completed 171 public and private debt financings that raised \$42.5 billion and completed 42 public equity financings and one convertible offering that raised \$12.6 billion (35 of which Jefferies acted as sole or joint bookrunner). Financial advisory revenues totaled \$100.4 million in the second quarter of 2014, during which Jefferies acted as financial advisor on 31 merger and acquisition transactions with an aggregate transaction value of \$14.5 billion.

74

During the six month 2014 period, Jefferies generated from equity and debt capital raising activities \$178.5 million and \$320.0 million in revenues, respectively. During that period, Jefferies completed 300 public and private debt financings that raised \$95.6 billion and completed 79 public equity financings and 4 convertible offerings that raised \$24.0 billion (68 of which Jefferies acted as sole or joint bookrunner). Financial advisory revenues totaled \$247.0 million, including revenues from 59 merger and acquisition transactions with an aggregate transaction value of \$35.6 billion.

During Jefferies second quarter of 2013, capital markets revenue were driven by a higher number of debt capital market transactions as companies took advantage of record-low borrowing costs; however, the market weakened towards the end of May 2013 due to uncertainty regarding the timing of the Federal Reserve's tapering of its stimulus plan. Jefferies completed 138 public and private debt financings that raised a total of \$54.4 billion during its second quarter of 2013. Jefferies completed 38 public equity financings that raised \$8.4 billion in capital (33 of which Jefferies acted as sole or joint bookrunner).

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits expense consists of salaries, benefits, cash bonuses, commissions, annual cash compensation awards, historical annual share-based compensation awards and the amortization of certain non-annual share-based and cash compensation awards to employees. Cash and historical share-based awards granted to employees as part of year end compensation generally contain provisions such that employees who terminate their employment or are terminated without cause may continue to vest in their awards, so long as those awards are not forfeited as a result of other forfeiture provisions (primarily non-compete clauses) of those awards. Accordingly, the compensation expense for a substantial portion of awards granted at year end as part of annual compensation is fully recorded in the year of the award.

Included within Compensation and benefits expense are share-based amortization expenses for senior executive awards granted in January 2010 and September 2012, non-annual share-based and cash-based awards to other employees and certain year end awards that contain future service requirements for vesting. Such awards are being amortized over their respective future service periods and amounted to compensation expense of \$51.6 million and \$117.7 million for Jefferies for the three and six month periods of 2014, respectively, and \$67.1 million for the period from the Jefferies acquisition through June 30, 2013. In addition, compensation and benefits expense for Jefferies for the three and six month 2014 periods includes approximately \$3.9 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, of additional amortization expense related to the write-up of the cost of outstanding share-based awards which had future service requirements at the acquisition date.

Compensation and benefits as a percentage of Net revenues was 56.0% and 56.4% for the three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, and 57.4% for the period from the Jefferies acquisition through June 30, 2013.

Non-Compensation Expenses

Non-compensation expenses include floor brokerage and clearing fees, technology and communications expense, occupancy and equipment rental expense, business development, professional services, depreciation and amortization expense and other costs. All of these expenses, other than floor brokerage and clearing fees and depreciation and amortization expense, are included within Selling, general and other expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Floor brokerage and clearing expenses for the periods are reflective of the trading volumes in Jefferies fixed income and equities trading businesses. Technology and communications expense includes costs associated with development of the various trading systems and projects associated with corporate support infrastructure, including communication enhancements to our global headquarters and executive offices. Occupancy and equipment

rental expense for the second quarter of 2014 reflects office re-configuration expenditure. Seasonally higher Business development costs reflect continued efforts to build market share, including our loan origination business conducted through Jefferies Finance. Professional services expense includes legal and consulting fees that continue to be incurred as part of implementing various regulatory requirements.

Non-compensation expenses include approximately \$3.5 million and \$7.0 million for the three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, in incremental amortization expense associated with fair value adjustments to identifiable tangible and intangible assets recognized as part of acquisition accounting and \$2.1 million and \$4.2 million for the three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, in additional lease expense related to recognizing existing leases at their current market value.

Non-compensation expenses for Jefferies second quarter of 2013 include approximately \$8.2 million in amortization expense associated with fair value adjustments to identifiable tangible and intangible assets recognized as part of acquisition accounting and \$9.0 million of costs related to the acquisition of Jefferies. In addition, during the 2013 second quarter, a \$7.3 million charge was recognized due to vacating certain office space.

75

Beef Processing Services

A summary of results of operations for National Beef for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net revenues	\$2,009,093	\$1,920,423	\$3,896,471	\$3,709,865
Expenses:				
Cost of sales	1,958,686	1,853,724	3,833,649	3,624,568
Compensation and benefits	10,460	8,406	21,159	16,571
Interest	3,803	3,233	6,913	6,484
Depreciation and amortization	21,195	21,855	41,955	43,588
Selling, general and other expenses	8,431	5,919	14,290	11,882
	2,002,575	1,893,137	3,917,966	3,703,093
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$6,518	\$27,286	\$(21,495)	\$6,772

National Beef's profitability is dependent, in large part, on the spread between its cost for live cattle, the primary raw material for its business, and the value received from selling boxed beef and other products. Because National Beef operates in a large and liquid commodity market, it does not have much influence over the price it pays for cattle or the selling price it receives for the products it produces. National Beef's profitability typically fluctuates seasonally as well as cyclically, with relatively higher margins in the spring and summer months and during times of cattle herd expansion.

The USDA reports market values for cattle, beef, offal and other products produced by ranchers, farmers and beef processors. Generally, National Beef expects its profitability to improve as the ratio of the USDA comprehensive boxed beef cutout (a weekly reported measure of the total value of all USDA inspected beef primal cuts, grind and trim produced from fed cattle) to the USDA 5-area weekly average slaughter cattle price increases and for profitability to decline as the ratio decreases. The ratio during the three and six months of 2014 was largely unchanged from the comparable 2013 periods.

Revenues modestly increased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the same periods in 2013 due primarily to higher selling prices but fewer cattle processed. Cost of sales increased during 2014 periods as compared to the comparable 2013 periods, as fed cattle prices continued to rise and reached record high level, due in part to the declining supply of fed cattle available for slaughter. As a result, gross margins were compressed during these periods.

As more fully discussed in the 2013 10-K, during the fourth quarter of 2013 National Beef decided to close its beef processing facility located in Brawley, California; the facility was closed during the second quarter of 2014. In addition to the long-lived asset impairment charge that it recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, National Beef recognized \$4.0 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, of costs in connection with closing the Brawley facility. These costs include employee separation and retention, systems decommissioning and various other expenses. Of these amounts, during the three and six month 2014 periods, \$2.1 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, related to employee separation, which is included in compensation and benefits

in the table above, and the various other costs are included in selling, general and other expenses in the table above. National Beef does not expect to incur significant additional costs related to the closure of the Brawley facility in future periods.

During 2013, Walmart discontinued using National Beef as a provider of its consumer-ready products. In addition to its Kansas City Steak Company facility, National Beef has two other consumer-ready processing facilities, one of which was completely dedicated to Walmart's business and the other substantially so dedicated. National Beef continues to pursue replacement business for its consumer-ready facilities; however, it may not be able to fully replace the operating cash flow generated by these facilities in the near future, if at all. If National Beef concludes its best course of action is to close any of these consumer-ready facilities, impairment charges may be recorded if the fair value of those facilities on a held for sale basis is less than the book value.

76

Other Operations

A summary of results of operations for other operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30, 2014		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2013	
Net revenues	\$ 113,803	\$ 85,382	\$ 210,387	\$ 175,068
Expenses:				
Cost of sales	82,645	67,927	153,357	127,546
Compensation and benefits	7,238	4,908	14,859	11,123
Interest	975	–	1,264	–
Depreciation and amortization	3,726	2,194	6,085	4,783
Selling, general and other expenses	25,233	32,468	58,337	59,043
	119,817	107,497	233,902	202,495
Loss before income taxes	\$(6,014)		\$(22,115)	
			\$(23,515)	
			\$(27,427)	

Other operations primarily consist of manufacturing, energy projects and asset management. The Leucadia asset management platform was newly formed during the third quarter of 2013.

Other operations also include our real estate properties and operations. As discussed above, in March 2014, we sold to HomeFed substantially all of our real estate properties and operations in exchange for newly issued HomeFed common shares. Under GAAP, we are not permitted to immediately recognize any gain on real estate sale transactions in which the seller does not receive cash; accordingly the gain on sale of approximately \$27.1 million was deferred and will be recognized into income over time.

For the three and six month 2014 periods, revenues for manufacturing were \$97.3 million and \$182.6 million, respectively, and for the three and six month 2013 periods, were \$80.4 million and \$154.8 million, respectively. For the three and six month 2014 periods, revenues for asset management were \$9.5 million and \$11.0 million, respectively.

For the three and six month 2014 periods, depreciation and amortization expenses for manufacturing were \$3.5 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, and for the three and six month 2013 periods, were \$3.3 million and \$6.7 million, respectively. Certain of these amounts are classified within Cost of sales and Selling, general and other expenses.

Selling, general and other expenses include \$12.8 million and \$33.2 million for the three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, and \$14.7 million and \$28.0 million for the three and six month 2013 periods, respectively, related to the investigation and evaluation of our energy projects (principally professional fees and other costs).

Pre-tax income for manufacturing was \$6.9 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, for the three and six month 2014 periods and was \$5.9 million and \$14.2 million, respectively, for the three and six month 2013 periods. Pre-tax income for asset management was \$5.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, for the three and six month 2014

periods.

Corporate

A summary of results of operations for corporate for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows (in thousands):

77

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net revenues	\$39,855	\$18,411	\$68,695	\$436,896
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits	20,468	22,183	41,211	48,171
Interest	24,366	17,923	49,543	35,977
Depreciation and amortization	1,339	2,994	2,676	6,170
Selling, general and other expenses	8,476	9,139	21,170	31,465
	54,649	52,239	114,600	121,783
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$(14,794)	\$(33,828)	\$(45,905)	\$315,113

Net revenues for corporate include unrealized gains (losses) on corporate securities classified as trading assets for which the fair value option was elected. Unrealized gains (losses) were \$19.6 million and \$32.2 million for the three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, and \$182.7 million for the six month 2013 period. Net realized securities gains for corporate aggregated \$12.3 million and \$17.1 million for three and six month 2014 periods, respectively, and \$9.5 million and \$239.4 million for the three and six month 2013 periods, respectively. In the six month 2013 period, security gains include \$227.6 million related to the sale of Inmet common shares.

The change in interest expense primarily reflects the issuance of \$750.0 million principal amount of 5.50% Senior Notes due 2023 and \$250.0 million principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2043 in October 2013 and the maturity of certain of our debt securities during 2013 and 2014.

Compensation and benefits includes accrued incentive bonus expenses of \$6.3 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and \$7.1 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013. In addition, compensation and benefits for the six month 2013 period includes an accrual of \$8.0 million related to retention agreements with certain executive officers.

Share-based compensation expense relating to grants made under our senior executive warrant plan and the fixed stock option plan was \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, during the three and six month 2014 periods and \$2.9 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, during the three and six month 2013 periods. Share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards was \$4.5 million and \$9.0 million, respectively, during the three and six month 2014 periods and \$4.3 million for the three and six month 2013 periods.

Selling, general and other expenses for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 include costs related to the acquisition of Jefferies of \$0.8 million and \$6.6 million, respectively, and for the six month 2013 period, consent fees of \$2.3 million paid to amend a covenant in our senior note indenture.

Income Taxes

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the provision for income taxes includes \$24.7 million and \$39.8 million, respectively, for state income taxes and \$4.7 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, for foreign income taxes. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes includes \$31.5 million and

\$42.0 million, respectively, for state and foreign income taxes. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the provision for income taxes also includes a charge of \$12.3 million to write-off a portion of our net deferred tax asset for state income taxes, resulting from a change in our expected state filing positions as a result of the Jefferies acquisition and reflects certain non-deductible expenses.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013, the income tax provision also includes the reversal of the December 31, 2012 deferred tax liability of \$34.0 million related to our investment in Jefferies that we elected to account for under the fair value option prior to the acquisition. Due to the acquisition, there was no net income tax provision recorded for income related to the fair value option for Jefferies for the six month period ended June 30, 2013; as a result the impact on the tax provision was a benefit of \$65.2 million.

Associated Companies

Income (losses) related to associated companies includes the following for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

78

	For the Three Month Period Ended June 30,		For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Berkadia	\$24,388	\$30,844	\$38,361	\$49,431
Garcadia companies	14,043	11,244	25,302	20,115
Linkem	(4,723)	(6,920)	(10,559)	(13,522)
HomeFed	795	(355)	177	(596)
JHYH	—	—	—	7,178
Other	842	50	2,100	3,065
Total	\$35,345	\$34,863	\$55,381	\$65,671

As more fully discussed above, in March 2014 we increased our economic ownership interest in HomeFed to approximately 64%; however, we have agreed to limit our voting rights such that we will not be able to vote more than 45% of HomeFed's total voting securities voting on any matter, assuming all HomeFed shares not owned by us are voted. Since the transaction did not result in our obtaining control of HomeFed, our investment in HomeFed continues to be accounted for as an investment in an associated company.

For the three month period ended June 30, 2013, our share of Berkadia's income includes an out of period adjustment of \$14.8 million to record income related to prior periods.

Cautionary Statement for Forward-Looking Information

Statements included in this Report may contain forward-looking statements. Such statements may relate, but are not limited, to projections of revenues, income or loss, development expenditures, plans for growth and future operations, competition and regulation, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. Such forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which cannot be predicted or quantified. When used in this Report, the words "will," "could," "estimates," "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "plans," "intends," and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by or underlying the forward-looking statements.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any results projected, forecasted, estimated or budgeted or may materially and adversely affect our actual results include but are not limited to the following: potential acquisitions and dispositions of our operations and investments could change our risk profile; recent changes in our key executives could cause our investments to be less successful than in the past; economic downturns, including a downgrade of the U.S. credit rating and Europe's debt crisis, or a recession; risks associated with the increased volatility in raw material prices and the availability of key raw materials; outbreaks of disease affecting livestock; product liability due to contaminated beef; volatility in the volume and prices at which beef products are sold; political and economic risks in foreign countries as well as foreign currency fluctuations; costs to comply with environmental regulations; failure to replace Walmart's consumer-ready business; failure to comply with government laws and regulations and costs associated with compliance; unfavorable labor relations with its employees; declines in the U.S. housing and commercial real estate markets; increases in mortgage interest rate levels or the lack of available

consumer credit; lack of liquidity and turmoil in the capital markets; obtaining significant funding, regulatory approvals and purchase commitments from third parties to develop large scale energy projects; changes in existing government and government-sponsored mortgage programs and the loss of or changes in Berkadia's relationships with the related governmental bodies; the inability of Berkadia to repay its commercial paper borrowings; a decrease in consumer spending or general increases in the cost of living; intensified competition in the operation of our businesses or for skilled management and other employees; an inability to generate sufficient taxable income to fully realize our net deferred tax asset; an inability to successfully defend any challenges to our tax filing positions; weather related conditions and significant natural disasters, including hurricanes, tornadoes, windstorms, earthquakes and hailstorms; an inability to insure certain risks economically; dividend payments on our common shares; changes in government tax policies in foreign and domestic jurisdictions; new financial legislation that could affect the market value of certain of our investments, impose additional costs on operations or require changes in business practices; credit-rating agency downgrades; volatility in the value of our investment portfolio; the effect of recent legislation and new pending regulation under the Dodd-Frank Act on Jefferies; extensive international regulation of Jefferies business; international legal, regulatory, political and economic and other risks associated with Jefferies international operations; price volatility and price declines in Jefferies debt securities and loss of revenues, clients and employees as a result of unfounded allegations; risks of loss relating to Jefferies principal trading and investments; a disruption of Jefferies business due to operational failures; credit risk associated with Jefferies business; risk associated with Jefferies hedging and derivative transactions; and liability associated with legal proceedings.

Undue reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements, which are applicable only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this Report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The following includes “forward-looking statements” that involve risk and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The discussion of risk is presented separately for Jefferies and the balance of our company. Exclusive of Jefferies, our market risk arises principally from interest rate risk related to our financial instruments owned and equity price risk. Information related thereto required under this Item is contained in Item 7A in the 2013 10-K, and is incorporated by reference herein. As more fully discussed elsewhere in this Report, we own approximately 41.6 million common shares of Harbinger Group Inc. (“Harbinger”), representing approximately 20% of Harbinger’s outstanding common shares, which are accounted for under the fair value option and included within Trading Assets at fair value of \$528.3 million at June 30, 2014. Assuming a decline of 10% in market prices, the value of our investment in Harbinger could decrease by approximately \$53 million.

The potential for changes in the value of financial instruments is referred to as market risk. Jefferies market risk generally represents the risk of loss that may result from a change in the value of a financial instrument as a result of fluctuations in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, commodity prices and foreign exchange rates, along with the level of volatility. Interest rate risks result primarily from exposure to changes in the yield curve, the volatility of interest rates, and credit spreads. Equity price risks result from exposure to changes in prices and volatilities of individual equities, equity baskets and equity indices. Commodity price risks result from exposure to the changes in prices and volatilities of individual commodities, commodity baskets and commodity indices. Market risk arises from market making, proprietary trading, underwriting, specialist and investing activities. Jefferies seeks to manage its exposure to market risk by diversifying exposures, controlling position sizes, and establishing economic hedges in related securities or derivatives. Due to imperfections in correlations, gains and losses can occur even for positions that are hedged. Position limits in trading and inventory accounts are established and monitored on an ongoing basis. Each day, consolidated position and exposure reports are prepared and distributed to various levels of management, which enable management to monitor inventory levels and results of the trading groups.

Value-at-Risk

Within Jefferies, Value-at-Risk (VaR) is used as a measurement of market risk using a model that simulates revenue and loss distributions on substantially all financial instruments by applying historical market changes to the current portfolio. Using the results of this simulation, VaR measures the potential loss in value of our financial instruments over a specified time horizon at a given confidence level. Jefferies calculates a one-day VaR using a one year look-back period measured at a 95% confidence level. This implies that, on average, Jefferies expects to realize a loss of daily trading net revenue at least as large as the VaR amount on one out of every twenty trading days.

As with all measures of VaR, the estimate has inherent limitations due to the assumption that historical changes in market conditions are representative of the future. Furthermore, the VaR model measures the risk of a current static position over a one-day horizon and might not capture the market risk of positions that cannot be liquidated or offset with hedges in a one-day period. Published VaR results reflect past trading positions while future risk depends on future positions.

While Jefferies believes the assumptions and inputs in its risk model are reasonable, Jefferies could incur losses greater than the reported VaR because the historical market prices and rates changes may not be an accurate measure of future market events and conditions. Consequently, this VaR estimate is only one of a number of tools Jefferies uses in its daily risk management activities. When comparing the VaR numbers to those of other firms, it is important to remember that different methodologies and assumptions could produce significantly different results.

The VaR numbers below are shown separately for interest rate, equity, currency and commodity products, as well as for Jefferies overall trading positions using the past 365 days of historical data. The aggregated VaR presented here is less than the sum of the individual components (i.e., interest rate risk, foreign exchange rate risk, equity risk and commodity price risk) due to the benefit of diversification among the four risk categories. Diversification benefit equals the difference between aggregated VaR and the sum of VaRs for the four risk categories and arises because the market risk categories are not perfectly correlated. The following table illustrates the VaR for each component of market risk (in millions). Since we consolidate Jefferies on a one month lag, all amounts reported are for Jefferies quarterly and annual fiscal periods.

80

Daily VaR (1)
Value-at-Risk In Trading Portfolios

Risk Categories	VaR as of May 31, 2014				VaR as of February 28, 2014			
	Daily VaR for the Three Months Ended May 31, 2014		Daily VaR for the Three Months Ended February 28, 2014		Daily VaR for the Three Months Ended May 31, 2014		Daily VaR for the Three Months Ended February 28, 2014	
	Average	High	Low	Average	High	Low	Average	High
Interest Rates	\$5.58	\$6.82	\$8.62	\$5.58	\$5.78	\$7.09	\$8.69	\$5.78
Equity Prices	9.11	9.97	14.68	7.85	11.27	11.64	12.95	9.60
Currency Rates	1.14	0.67	1.95	0.26	0.75	1.14	4.05	0.15
Commodity Prices	0.48	1.11	2.14	0.25	0.83	0.92	1.57	0.35
Diversification Effect (2)	(0.11)	(3.63)	N/A	N/A	(3.03)	(4.52)	N/A	N/A
Firmwide	\$16.20	\$14.94	\$19.68	\$10.70	\$15.60	\$16.27	\$18.05	\$13.90

VaR is the potential loss in value of Jefferies trading positions due to adverse market movements over a defined (1) time horizon with a specific confidence level. For the VaR numbers reported above, a one-day time horizon, with a one year look-back period, and a 95% confidence level were used.

(2) The diversification effect is not applicable for the maximum and minimum VaR values as the Jefferies VaR and the VaR values for the four risk categories might have occurred on different days during the period.

Average daily VaR decreased to \$14.94 million for the three months ended May 31, 2014 from \$16.27 million for the three months ended February 28, 2014. The decrease was primarily driven by lower equity price risk as a result of the sale of Jefferies holding in Harbinger Group Inc. to us in March 2014. Jefferies also saw an increase in the diversification benefit across asset classes. Market risk from interest rates, currency rates and commodity prices risk did not change significantly from the prior fiscal quarter. Excluding the investment in Knight, the average VaR for the three months ended May 31, 2014 and February 28, 2014 was \$8.63 million and \$12.64 million, respectively. Excluding both the investment in Knight and Harbinger, average VaR for the three months ended May 31, 2014 was \$7.97 million.

The primary method used to test the efficacy of the VaR model is to compare actual daily net revenue for those positions included in the VaR calculation with the daily VaR estimate. This evaluation is performed at various levels of the trading portfolio, from the holding company level down to specific business lines. For the VaR model, trading related revenue is defined as principal transaction revenue, trading related commissions, revenue from securitization activities and net interest income. For a 95% confidence one day VaR model (i.e., no intra-day trading), assuming current changes in market value are consistent with the historical changes used in the calculation, net trading losses would not be expected to exceed the VaR estimates more than twelve times on an annual basis (i.e., once in every 20 days). During the three months ended May 31, 2014, results of the evaluation at the aggregate level demonstrated no days when the net trading loss exceeded the 95% one day VaR. Excluding trading losses associated with the daily marking to market of the position in Knight, there would have been no days when the net trading loss exceeded the 95% one day VaR.

Certain positions within financial instruments are not included in the VaR model because VaR is not the most appropriate measure of risk. Accordingly, Risk Management has additional procedures in place to assure that the level of potential loss that would arise from market movements are within acceptable levels. Such procedures include performing stress tests, monitoring concentration risk and tracking price target/stop loss levels. The table below presents the potential reduction in net income associated with a 10% stress of the fair value of the positions that are

not included in the VaR model at May 31, 2014 (in thousands):

10%
Sensitivity

Private investments	\$ 24,587
Corporate debt securities in default	4,386
Trade claims	4,673

There were 11 days with trading losses out of a total of 63 trading days in the three months ended May 31, 2014. Excluding trading losses associated with the daily marking to market of the position in Knight and Harbinger, there would have been six days with trading losses.

81

Scenario Analysis and Stress Tests

While VaR measures potential losses due to adverse changes in historical market prices and rates, Jefferies uses stress testing to analyze the potential impact of specific events or moderate or extreme market moves on its current portfolio both firm wide and within business segments. Stress scenarios comprise both historical market price and rate changes and hypothetical market environments, and generally involve simultaneous changes of many risk factors. Indicative market changes in Jefferies scenarios include, but are not limited to, a large widening of credit spreads, a substantial decline in equities markets, significant moves in selected emerging markets, large moves in interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve and large moves in European markets. In addition, Jefferies also performs ad hoc stress tests and adds new scenarios as market conditions dictate. Because Jefferies stress scenarios are meant to reflect market moves that occur over a period of time, its estimates of potential loss assume some level of position reduction for liquid positions. Unlike Jefferies VaR, which measures potential losses within a given confidence interval, stress scenarios do not have an associated implied probability; rather, stress testing is used to estimate the potential loss from market moves that tend to be larger than those embedded in the VaR calculation.

Stress testing is performed and reported regularly as part of the risk management process. Stress testing is used to assess Jefferies aggregate risk position as well as for limit setting and risk/reward analysis.

Counterparty Credit Risk and Issuer Country Exposure

Counterparty Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in a counterparty's credit worthiness or its ability or willingness to meet its financial obligations in accordance with the terms and conditions of a financial contract. Jefferies is exposed to credit risk as trading counterparty to other broker-dealers and customers, as a direct lender and through extending loan commitments, as a holder of securities and as a member of exchanges and clearing organizations.

It is critical to Jefferies financial soundness and profitability that Jefferies properly and effectively identify, assess, monitor and manage the various credit and counterparty risks inherent in its businesses. Credit is extended to counterparties in a controlled manner in order to generate acceptable returns, whether such credit is granted directly or is incidental to a transaction. All extensions of credit are monitored and managed on a Jefferies enterprise level in order to limit exposure to loss related to credit risk.

Jefferies employs a Credit Risk Framework, which is responsible for identifying credit risks throughout its operating businesses, establishing counterparty limits and managing and monitoring those credit limits. Jefferies framework includes:

- defining credit limit guidelines and credit limit approval processes;
- providing a consistent and integrated credit risk framework across the enterprise;
- approving counterparties and counterparty limits with parameters set by its Risk Management Committee;
- negotiating, approving and monitoring credit terms in legal and master documentation;
- delivering credit limits to all relevant sales and trading desks;
- maintaining credit reviews for all active and new counterparties;
- operating a control function for exposure analytics and exception management and reporting;
- determining the analytical standards and risk parameters for on-going management and monitoring of global credit risk books;
- actively managing daily exposure, exceptions, and breaches;
- monitoring daily margin call activity and counterparty performance (in concert with the Margin Department); and

· setting the minimum global requirements for systems, reports, and technology.

Jefferies Credit Exposures

Credit exposure exists across a wide-range of products including cash and cash equivalents, loans, securities finance transactions and over-the-counter derivative contracts.

Loans and lending arise in connection with our capital markets activities and represents the notional value of loans that have been drawn by the borrower and lending commitments outstanding.

Securities and margin finance includes credit exposure arising on securities financing transactions (reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements) to the extent the fair value of the underlying collateral differs from the contractual agreement amount and from margin provided to customers.

Derivatives represent over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives, which are reported net by counterparty when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable master netting agreement. Derivatives are accounted for at fair value net of cash collateral received or posted under credit support agreements. In addition, credit exposures on forward settling trades are included within Jefferies derivative credit exposures.

· Cash and cash equivalents include both interest-bearing and non-interest bearing deposits at banks.

82

Current counterparty credit exposures reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are summarized in the table below and provided by credit quality, region and industry. Credit exposures presented take netting and collateral into consideration by counterparty and master agreement. Collateral taken into consideration includes both collateral received as cash as well as collateral received in the form of securities or other arrangements. Current exposure is the loss that would be incurred on a particular set of positions in the event of default by the counterparty, assuming no recovery. Current exposure equals the fair value of the positions less collateral. Issuer risk is the credit risk arising from inventory positions (for example, corporate debt securities and secondary bank loans). Issuer risk is included in Jefferies country risk exposure tables below. Of the counterparty credit exposure at June 30, 2014, excluding cash and cash equivalents, 65% are investment grade counterparties, compared to 66% at December 31, 2013, and are mainly concentrated in North America. Excluding cash and cash equivalents, current exposure has increased 19% to approximately \$1.2 billion from \$1.0 billion. All business areas contributed to the increase over the quarter, with the largest increase from securities and margin finance.

Counterparty Credit Exposure by Credit Rating

(in millions)	Loans and Lending		Securities and Margin Finance		OTC Derivatives		Total	Cash and Cash Equivalents		Total with Cash and Cash Equivalents		
	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013		As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	
AAA												
Range	\$—	\$—	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$—	\$—	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$3,217.3	\$2,680.6	\$3,217.6	\$2,680.6
AA												
Range	—	—	117.9	104.8	10.5	14.7	128.4	119.5	162.2	144.1	290.6	263.6
A Range	—	—	453.9	374.4	65.4	56.7	519.3	431.1	575.9	734.7	1,095.2	1,165.2
BBB												
Range	69.4	71.0	53.5	39.9	27.6	16.2	150.5	127.1	2.9	1.7	153.4	128.8
BB or Lower	85.9	120.3	189.8	115.4	27.0	9.5	302.7	245.2	—	—	302.7	245.2
Unrated	94.6	86.6	2.7	—	28.9	18.6	126.2	105.2	—	—	126.2	105.2
Total	\$249.9	\$277.9	\$818.1	\$634.7	\$159.4	\$115.7	\$1,227.4	\$1,028.3	\$3,958.3	\$3,561.1	\$5,185.7	\$4,589.9

Counterparty Credit Exposure by Region

(in millions)	Loans and Lending		Securities and Margin Finance		OTC Derivatives		Total	Cash and Cash Equivalents		Total with Cash and Cash Equivalents		
	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013		As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	As of June 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013	
	\$13.6	\$—	\$58.3	\$30.9	\$5.2	\$11.6	\$77.1	\$42.5	\$183.5	\$183.3	\$260.6	\$200.6

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Asia/Latin												
America/Other												
Europe	—	—	232.0	180.3	56.3	47.6	288.3	227.9	359.7	269.3	648.0	
North America	236.3	277.9	527.8	423.5	97.9	56.5	862.0	757.9	3,415.1	3,108.5	4,277.1	
Total	\$249.9	\$277.9	\$818.1	\$634.7	\$159.4	\$115.7	\$1,227.4	\$1,028.3	\$3,958.3	\$3,561.1	\$5,185.7	\$

Counterparty Credit Exposure by Industry

	Loans and Lending		Securities and Margin Finance		OTC Derivatives		Total	Cash and Cash Equivalents		Total with C and Cash Equiva		
	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of		
(in millions)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013		
Asset												
Managers	\$—	\$—	\$18.5	\$7.1	\$1.0	\$0.5	\$19.5	\$7.6	\$3,217.3	\$2,680.7	\$3,236.8	\$
Banks,												
Broker-dealers	—	—	409.1	354.9	93.8	73.8	502.9	428.7	741.0	880.4	1,243.9	
Commodities	—	—	41.5	35.6	8.2	9.4	49.7	45.0	—	—	49.7	
Other	249.9	277.9	349.0	237.1	56.4	32.0	655.3	547.0	—	—	655.3	
Total	\$249.9	\$277.9	\$818.1	\$634.7	\$159.4	\$115.7	\$1,227.4	\$1,028.3	\$3,958.3	\$3,561.1	\$5,185.7	\$

For additional information regarding credit exposure to OTC derivative contracts, see Note 6, Derivative Financial Instruments, in our consolidated financial statements.

Jefferies Country Risk Exposure

Country risk is the risk that events or developments that occur in the general environment of a country or countries due to economic, political, social, regulatory, legal or other factors, will affect the ability of obligors of the country to honor their obligations. Jefferies defines country risk as the country of jurisdiction or domicile of the obligor. The following tables reflect Jefferies top exposures to the sovereign governments, corporations and financial institutions in those non-U.S. countries in which there is net long issuer and counterparty exposure, as reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in millions):

As of June 30, 2014

	Issuer Risk			Counterparty Risk			Issuer and Counterparty Risk Excluding	
	Fair Value of Long Debt Securities	Fair Value of Short Debt Securities	Net Derivative Notional Exposure	Securities and Margin Finance	OTC Derivatives	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Including Cash and Cash Equivalents
Italy	\$1,222.9	\$(1,143.3)	\$ 630.5	\$0.9	\$ 0.1	\$ —	\$711.1	\$ 711.1
Great Britain	398.0	(212.4)	96.4	84.7	27.3	184.1	394.0	578.1
Netherlands	616.6	(249.2)	(0.6)	13.0	1.7	—	381.5	381.5
Canada	145.8	(61.7)	30.6	148.2	6.6	3.7	269.5	273.2
Belgium	51.4	(24.4)	—	2.0	—	166.0	29.0	195.0
France	630.2	(334.5)	(155.3)	11.5	5.0	—	156.9	156.9
Puerto Rico	137.2	—	—	0.2	—	—	137.4	137.4
Hong Kong	23.7	(8.1)	—	3.1	—	105.2	18.7	123.9
Austria	131.7	(44.7)	—	1.2	—	0.6	88.2	88.8
Portugal	165.0	(99.9)	—	—	2.9	—	68.0	68.0
Total	\$3,522.5	\$(2,178.2)	\$ 601.6	\$264.8	\$ 43.6	\$ 459.6	\$2,254.3	\$ 2,713.9

As of December 31, 2013

	Issuer Risk			Counterparty Risk			Issuer and Counterparty Risk Excluding	
	Fair Value of Long Debt Securities	Fair Value of Short Debt Securities	Net Derivative Notional Exposure	Securities and Margin Finance	OTC Derivatives	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Including Cash and Cash Equivalents
Great Britain	\$418.8	\$(181.5)	\$ (27.2)	\$42.5	\$ 20.7	\$ 113.1	\$273.3	\$ 386.4
Germany	462.0	(226.1)	(70.5)	93.2	10.9	3.3	269.5	272.8
Netherlands	445.7	(198.8)	(2.3)	5.2	1.5	0.3	251.3	251.6
Italy	1,181.4	(1,017.6)	74.2	1.8	0.1	—	239.9	239.9
Canada	140.6	(59.0)	18.8	99.5	0.2	2.2	200.1	202.3
Spain	352.3	(159.8)	0.3	3.0	0.2	0.1	196.0	196.1

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Puerto Rico	130.1	—	—	—	—	—	130.1	130.1
Luxembourg	75.0	(15.1)	—	0.1	—	68.0	60.0	128.0
Hong Kong	33.9	(18.3)	(0.9)	0.3	—	104.3	15.0	119.3
Austria	130.2	(32.8)	—	5.0	—	0.1	102.4	102.5
Total	\$3,370.0	\$(1,909.0)	\$(7.6)	\$250.6	\$ 33.6	\$ 291.4	\$1,737.6	\$ 2,029.0

Exposure to the Sovereign Debt, Corporate and Financial Securities of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain

The table below reflects Jefferies exposure to the sovereign debt and economic derivative positions of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain, and its exposure to the securities of corporations, financial institutions and mortgage-backed securities collateralized by assets domiciled in these countries, as reflected in our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014. This table is presented in a manner consistent with how Jefferies management views and monitors these exposures as part of its risk management framework. Issuer exposure to these European countries arises primarily in the context of Jefferies market making activities and its role as a major dealer in the debt securities of these countries. While the economic derivative positions are presented on a notional basis, Jefferies believes this best reflects the reduction in the underlying market risk due to interest rates or the issuer's credit as a result of its positions. Long and short financial instruments are offset against each other for determining net exposure although they do not represent identical offsetting positions of the same debt security. Components of risk embedded in the securities will generally offset, however, basis risk due to duration and the specific issuer may still exist. Economic hedges as represented by the notional amounts of the derivative contracts may not be perfect offsets for the risk represented by the net fair value of the debt securities.

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

(in millions)	As of June 30, 2014			Notional Amount (3)			
	Fair Value		Net Cash Inventory	Long Derivatives	Short Derivatives	Net Derivatives	Total Net Exposure
	Long Debt Securities (1) (4)	Short Debt Securities (2) (4)					
Greece							
Sovereigns	\$5.2	\$ 6.3	\$ (1.1)	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1.1)
Corporations	3.0	0.6	2.4	—	—	—	2.4
Financial Institutions	2.1	0.4	1.7	2.1	1.6	0.5	2.2
Total Greece	10.3	7.3	3.0	2.1	1.6	0.5	3.5
Ireland							
Sovereigns	7.4	2.1	5.3	—	—	—	5.3
Corporations	2.5	8.6	(6.1)	2.4	2.4	—	(6.1)
Financial Institutions	19.5	5.0	14.5	—	—	—	14.5
Total Ireland	29.4	15.7	13.7	2.4	2.4	—	13.7
Italy							
Sovereigns	1,024.1	1,124.5	(100.4)	965.6 ⁽⁵⁾	335.7 ⁽⁵⁾	629.8	529.4
Corporations	31.2	13.8	17.4	—	—	—	17.4
Financial Institutions	121.6	5.0	116.6	0.6	—	0.6	117.2
Structured Products	46.0	—	46.0	—	—	—	46.0
Total Italy	1,222.9	1,143.3	79.6	966.2	335.7	630.4	710.0
Portugal							
Sovereigns	135.5	86.2	49.3	—	—	—	49.3
Corporations	0.3	0.9	(0.6)	—	—	—	(0.6)
Financial Institutions	18.4	12.8	5.6	—	—	—	5.6
Structured Products	10.8	—	10.8	—	—	—	10.8
Total Portugal	165.0	99.9	65.1	—	—	—	65.1
Spain							
Sovereigns	134.5	223.6	(89.1)	—	—	—	(89.1)
Corporations	9.9	6.1	3.8	—	—	—	3.8
Financial Institutions	65.3	7.1	58.2	—	—	—	58.2
Structured Products	23.1	—	23.1	—	—	—	23.1
Total Spain	232.8	236.8	(4.0)	—	—	—	(4.0)
Total	\$ 1,660.4	\$ 1,503.0	\$ 157.4	\$ 970.7	\$ 339.7	\$ 630.9	\$ 788.3
Total Sovereign	\$ 1,306.7	\$ 1,442.7	\$ (136.0)	\$ 965.6	\$ 335.7	\$ 629.8	\$ 493.8

Total Non-sovereign	\$ 353.7	\$ 60.3	\$ 293.4	\$ 5.1	\$ 4.0	\$ 1.1	\$ 294.5
---------------------	----------	---------	----------	--------	--------	--------	----------

Long securities represent the fair value of debt securities and are presented within Trading assets on the face of the (1) Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and are accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in Principal transactions revenues.

Short securities represent the fair value of debt securities sold short and are presented within Trading liabilities on (2) the face of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and are accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in Principal transactions revenues.

(3) Net derivative contracts reflect the notional amount of the derivative contracts and include credit default swaps, bond futures and listed equity options.

Classification of securities by country and by issuer type is presented based on the view of Jefferies Risk Management Department. Jefferies Risk Management takes into account whether a particular security or issuer of a security is guaranteed or otherwise backed by a sovereign government and also takes into account whether a corporate or financial institution that issues a particular security is owned by a sovereign government when (4) determining domicile and whether a particular security should be classified for risk purposes as a sovereign obligation. The classification of debt securities within the table above will differ from the financial statement presentation in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition because the classification used for financial statement presentation in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition classifies a debt security solely by the direct issuer and the domicile of the direct issuer.

(5) These positions are comprised of bond futures executed on exchanges outside Italy.

85

For Jefferies second quarter of 2014, its exposure to the sovereign debt of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain calculated on an average daily basis was as follows (in millions):

	Remaining Maturity Less Than One Year	Remaining Maturity Greater Than or Equal to One Year	Total Average Balance
Financial instruments owned - Debt securities			
Greece	\$ —	\$ 6.2	\$6.2
Ireland	2.6	2.4	5.0
Italy	1,018.9	2,124.7	3,143.6
Portugal	3.7	120.0	123.7
Spain	15.5	312.9	328.4
Total average fair value of long debt securities (1)	1,040.7	2,566.2	3,606.9
Financial instruments sold - Debt securities			
Greece	—	2.7	2.7
Ireland	2.1	1.3	3.4
Italy	222.5	1,686.3	1,908.8
Portugal	0.1	78.9	79.0
Spain	38.8	361.9	400.7
Total average fair value of short debt securities	263.5	2,131.1	2,394.6
Total average net fair value of debt securities	777.2	435.1	1,212.3
Derivative contracts - long notional exposure Italy	—	131.4	(2) 131.4
Total average notional amount - long	—	131.4	131.4
Derivative contracts - short notional exposure Italy	—	340.7	340.7
Total average notional amount - short	—	340.7	340.7
Total average net derivative notional exposure (3)	—	(209.3)	(209.3)
Total average net exposure to select European countries	\$ 777.2	\$ 225.8	\$1,003.0

(1) Classification of securities by country and by issuer type is presented based on the view of Jefferies Risk Management Department. Jefferies Risk Management takes into account whether a particular security or issuer of a security is guaranteed or otherwise backed by a sovereign government and also takes into account whether a

corporate or financial institution that issues a particular security is owned by a sovereign government when determining domicile and whether a particular security should be classified for risk purposes as a sovereign obligation. The classification of debt securities within the table above will differ from the financial statement presentation in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition because the classification used for financial statement presentation in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition classifies a debt security solely by the direct issuer and the domicile of the direct issuer.

- (2) These positions are comprised of bond futures executed on exchanges outside Italy.
- (3) Net derivative contracts reflect the notional amount of the derivative contracts and include credit default swaps and bond futures.

In addition, non-U.S. sovereign obligations recorded in trading assets and trading liabilities are routinely financed through reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements, of which a significant portion is executed with central clearing organizations. Accordingly, foreign sovereign obligations are utilized as underlying collateral for Jefferies repurchase financing arrangements. Repurchase financing arrangements that are used to finance the debt securities presented above had underlying collateral of issuers domiciled in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain as follows (in millions):

86

	Reverse Repurchase Agreements (1)	Repurchase Agreements (1)	Net
Greece	\$ —	\$ —	\$—
Ireland	4.9	74.8	(69.9)
Italy	1,214.4	1,355.2	(140.8)
Portugal	102.9	154.3	(51.4)
Spain	266.1	236.8	29.3
Total	\$ 1,588.3	\$ 1,821.1	\$(232.8)

(1) Amounts represent the contract amount of the repurchase financing arrangements.

Collateral management of the risk due to exposure from these sovereign obligations is subject to Jefferies overall collateral and cash management risk framework.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

The Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of June 30, 2014. Based on their evaluation, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2014.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The information set forth in response to this Item 1 is incorporated by reference from the "Contingencies" section in Note 23, Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees, in the notes to consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table presents information on our purchases of our common shares during the three months ended June 30, 2014:

(b) Average Price Paid	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(d) Maximum Number
---------------------------------	---	--------------------------

Edgar Filing: LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	per Share	as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2014 – April 30, 2014	40,747	\$ 26.10	–	25,000,000
May 1, 2014 – May 31, 2014	21,115	\$ 25.38	–	25,000,000
June 1, 2014 – June 30, 2014	104,073	\$ 26.33	–	25,000,000
Total	165,935		–	

Includes an aggregate of 165,935 shares repurchased other than as part of our publicly announced Board authorized repurchase program. We repurchased these securities in connection with our share compensation plans

(1) which allow participants to use shares to satisfy certain tax liabilities arising from the vesting of restricted shares and the distribution of restricted share units. The total number of shares purchased does not include unvested shares forfeited back to us pursuant to the terms of our share compensation plans.

(2) In November 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase, from time to time, of up to an aggregate of 25,000,000 of our common shares, inclusive of prior authorizations.

87

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Leucadia National Corporation for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated
101 Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated
Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (v) the
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2014

By: /s/ Barbara L. Lowenthal
Barbara L. Lowenthal
Vice President and Comptroller
(Chief Accounting Officer)

Exhibit Index

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Leucadia National Corporation for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated
101 Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
