

TEJON RANCH CO  
Form 10-Q  
August 07, 2014

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q  
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014  
Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number: 1-7183

TEJON RANCH CO.  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 77-0196136  
(State or other jurisdiction of (IRS Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

P.O. Box 1000, Lebec, California 93243  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (661) 248-3000

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

The number of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock on July 31, 2014 was 20,591,480.

---

TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX

Page No.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 3

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Six Months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 4

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013 5

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 6

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 7

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements 8

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 21

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 29

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 31

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 31

Item 1A. Risk Factors 31

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 31

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities 31

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures 31

Item 5. Other Information 31

Item 6. Exhibits 31

SIGNATURES

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30		June 30		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Revenues:					
Real estate - commercial/industrial	\$2,553	\$2,825	\$5,495	\$5,547	
Real estate - resort/residential	228	234	587	471	
Mineral resources	2,441	2,765	4,705	5,631	
Farming	2,786	1,651	4,366	5,586	
Total revenues	8,008	7,475	15,153	17,235	
Costs and Expenses:					
Real estate - commercial/industrial	3,336	3,141	6,647	6,254	
Real estate - resort/residential	918	1,265	1,573	1,574	
Mineral resources	152	65	227	225	
Farming	1,197	1,179	2,870	3,436	
Corporate expenses	2,608	658	6,032	4,489	
Total expenses	8,211	6,308	17,349	15,978	
Operating income (loss)	(203	) 1,167	(2,196	) 1,257	
Other Income:					
Income from water sales	177	—	3,179	—	
Investment income	185	238	383	513	
Other income	20	14	47	17	
Total other income	382	252	3,609	530	
Income from operations before equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	179	1,419	1,413	1,787	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	1,148	1,270	1,586	1,679	
Income before income tax expense	1,327	2,689	2,999	3,466	
Income tax expense	479	686	1,020	833	
Net income	848	2,003	1,979	2,633	
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	(26	) (81	) (8	) (66	)
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$874	\$2,084	\$1,987	\$2,699	
Net income per share attributable to common stockholders, basic	\$0.04	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.13	
Net income per share attributable to common stockholders, diluted	\$0.04	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.13	

See accompanying notes.

TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
 (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30		June 30		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Net income	\$848	\$2,003	\$1,979	\$2,633	
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities	(1	) (409	) 11	(452	)
Benefit plan adjustments	—	—	(474	) —	
Benefit plan reclassification for losses included in net income	—	—	407	—	
Other comprehensive loss before taxes	(1	) (409	) (56	) (452	)
(Provisions) benefit for income taxes related to other comprehensive loss items	—	164	186	181	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(1	) (245	) 130	(271	)
Comprehensive income	847	1,758	2,109	2,362	
Comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(26	) (81	) (8	) (66	)
Comprehensive income attributable to common stockholders	\$873	\$1,839	\$2,117	\$2,428	

See accompanying notes.

TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2014 (unaudited)	December 31, 2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,898	\$9,031
Marketable securities - available-for-sale	52,844	55,436
Accounts receivable	4,958	7,108
Inventories	8,570	3,510
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,675	7,707
Deferred tax assets	637	452
Total current assets	76,582	83,244
Property and equipment - net of depreciation (includes \$76,113 at June 30, 2014 and \$74,726 at December 31, 2013, attributable to Centennial Founders LLC, Note 12)	154,373	146,542
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	73,722	62,604
Long-term water assets	46,025	46,754
Long-term deferred tax assets	1,591	1,592
Other assets	1,721	2,143
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$354,014</b>	<b>\$342,879</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Trade accounts payable	\$2,699	\$5,028
Accrued liabilities and other	2,545	2,647
Deferred income	792	865
Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt	10,439	234
Total current liabilities	16,475	8,774
Long-term debt, less current portion	4,338	4,459
Long-term deferred gains	2,248	2,248
Other liabilities	6,511	6,518
Pension liability	1,053	693
Total liabilities	30,625	22,692
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Tejon Ranch Co. Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock, \$.50 par value per share:		
Authorized shares - 30,000,000		
Issued and outstanding shares - 20,586,758 at June 30, 2014 and 20,563,023 at December 31, 2013	10,293	10,282
Additional paid-in capital	211,930	210,848
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,203	) (3,333
Retained earnings	64,772	62,785
Total Tejon Ranch Co. Stockholders' Equity	283,792	280,582
Non-controlling interest	39,597	39,605
Total equity	323,389	320,187
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>	<b>\$354,014</b>	<b>\$342,879</b>
See accompanying notes.		



TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (In thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	
	2014	2013
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$1,979	\$2,633
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,449	1,767
Amortization of premium/discount of marketable securities	392	459
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	(1,586)	(1,679)
Non-cash retirement plan expense	851	325
Stock compensation expense	1,562	(568)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables, inventories and other assets, net	521	643
Current liabilities, net	(4,040)	(948)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,128	2,632
Investing Activities		
Maturities and sales of marketable securities	8,352	14,066
Funds invested in marketable securities	(6,142)	(14,844)
Property and equipment expenditures	(11,479)	(10,653)
Reimbursement of outlet center costs	—	512
Reimbursement proceeds from Communities Facilities District	—	14,139
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(9,532)	(1,375)
Investments in long-term water assets	(482)	(437)
Other	453	(127)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(18,830)	1,281
Financing Activities		
Borrowings of short-term debt	14,700	—
Repayments of short-term debt	(4,500)	(20)
Repayments of long-term debt	(116)	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	211
Taxes on vested stock grants	(515)	(845)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	9,569	(654)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(7,133)	3,259
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	9,031	7,219
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$1,898	\$10,478
Supplemental cash flow information		
Accrued capital expenditures included in current liabilities	\$1,183	\$1,122
See accompanying notes.		

TEJON RANCH CO. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(In thousands, except shares outstanding)

	Common Stock Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity
Balance at January 1, 2013	20,085,865	10,043	198,117	(5,118 )	65,550	268,592	39,667	308,259
Net income	—	—	—	—	4,165	4,165	(62 )	4,103
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	1,785	—	1,785	—	1,785
Exercise of stock options and related tax benefit of \$3	7,567	4	207	—	—	211	—	211
Restricted stock issuance	391,555	196	(196 )	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock issued for water purchase	251,876	126	9,244	—	—	9,370	—	9,370
Stock compensation	—	—	1,223	—	—	1,223	—	1,223
Shares withheld for taxes and tax benefit of vested shares	(173,840 )	(87 )	(4,677 )	—	—	(4,764 )	—	(4,764 )
Warrants issued as dividends (3,000,000 warrants)	—	—	6,930	—	(6,930 )	—	—	—
Balance, December 31, 2013	20,563,023	10,282	210,848	(3,333 )	62,785	280,582	39,605	320,187
Net income	—	—	—	—	1,987	1,987	(8 )	1,979
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	130	—	130	—	130
Restricted stock issuance	38,794	19	(19 )	—	—	—	—	—
Stock compensation	—	—	1,608	—	—	1,608	—	1,608
Shares withheld for taxes and tax benefit of vested shares	(15,059 )	(8 )	(507 )	—	—	(515 )	—	(515 )
Balance, June 30, 2014	20,586,758	\$ 10,293	\$ 211,930	\$ (3,203 )	\$ 64,772	\$ 283,792	\$ 39,597	\$ 323,389

See accompanying notes.



## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2014

## 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The summarized information of Tejon Ranch Co. and its subsidiaries, (the Company, Tejon, we, us and our), furnished pursuant to the instructions to Part I of Form 10-Q is unaudited and reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of the Company's management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim period. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. We have evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of our consolidated financial statements.

The periods ending June 30, 2014 and 2013 include the consolidation of Centennial Founders, LLC's statement of operations within the resort /residential real estate development segment and statements of cash flows. The Company's June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 balance sheets and statements of equity are presented on a consolidated basis including the consolidation of Centennial Founders, LLC.

The Company has identified four reportable segments: commercial/industrial real estate development; resort/residential real estate development; mineral resources; and farming. Information for the Company's reported segments is presented in its consolidated statements of operations. The Company's reporting segments follow the same accounting policies used for the Company's consolidated financial statements. Management evaluates a segment's performance based upon a number of factors including pretax results.

The results of the period reported herein are not indicative of the results to be expected for the full year due to the seasonal nature of the Company's agricultural activities and timing of real estate sales and leasing activities. Historically, the Company's largest percentages of farming revenues are recognized during the third and fourth quarters of the fiscal year.

For further information and a summary of significant accounting policies, refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

## 2. EQUITY

## Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Basic net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders is based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders is based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding assuming the issuance of common stock upon exercise of warrants to purchase common stock, and the vesting of restricted stock grants per ASC 260, "Earnings Per Share."

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				
Common stock	20,586,190	20,136,188	20,577,280	20,118,152
Common stock equivalents-stock options, grants	35,406	16,323	40,323	17,039
Diluted shares outstanding	20,621,596	20,152,511	20,617,603	20,135,191

## Warrants

On August 7, 2013, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared a dividend of warrants, or the Warrants, to purchase shares of Company common stock, par value \$0.50 per share, or Common Stock, to holders of record of Common Stock as of August 21, 2013, the Record Date. The Warrants were distributed to shareholders on August 28, 2013. Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$40.00 per share. The Warrants will be exercisable through August 31, 2016, subject to the Company's right to accelerate the expiration date under certain circumstances when the Warrants are in-the-money. Each holder of Common Stock as of the Record Date received a number of Warrants equal to the number of shares held multiplied by 0.14771, rounded to the nearest whole number. No cash or other consideration was paid in respect of any fractional

Warrants that were rounded down. As a result, the Company issued an aggregate of 3,000,000 Warrants. These Warrants were issued pursuant to a Warrant Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2013, between the Company, Computershare, Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as warrant agent. The Warrants are currently anti-dilutive and have not been included in the EPS calculation.

## 3. MARKETABLE SECURITIES

ASC 320 "Investments – Debt and Equity Securities" requires that an enterprise classify all debt securities as either held-to-maturity, trading or available-for-sale. The Company has elected to classify its securities as available-for-sale and therefore is required to adjust securities to fair value at each reporting date. All costs and both realized and unrealized gains and losses on securities are determined on a specific identification basis. The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities at:

(\$ in thousands)	Fair Value Hierarchy	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
		Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Marketable Securities:					
Certificates of deposit					
with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months		\$1,290	\$1,282	\$1,690	\$1,677
with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months		459	455	110	110
with unrecognized gains		6,530	6,560	6,298	6,334
Total Certificates of deposit	Level 1	8,279	8,297	8,098	8,121
US Treasury and agency notes					
with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months		3,434	3,427	4,672	4,664
with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months		1,322	1,319	1,699	1,694
with unrecognized gains		5,851	5,889	3,713	3,760
Total US Treasury and agency notes	Level 2	10,607	10,635	10,084	10,118
Corporate notes					
with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months		3,010	2,990	7,270	7,192
with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months		1,338	1,334	530	523
with unrecognized gains		23,338	23,524	21,945	22,173
Total Corporate notes	Level 2	27,686	27,848	29,745	29,888
Municipal notes					
with unrecognized losses for less than 12 months		419	417	1,688	1,677
with unrecognized losses for more than 12 months		315	311	318	316
with unrecognized gains		5,291	5,336	5,267	5,316
Total Municipal notes	Level 2	6,025	6,064	7,273	7,309
		\$52,597	\$52,844	\$55,200	\$55,436

We evaluate our securities for other-than-temporary impairment based on the specific facts and circumstances surrounding each security valued below its cost. Factors considered include the length of time the securities have been valued below cost, the financial condition of the issuer, industry reports related to the issuer, the severity of any decline, our intention not to sell the security, and our assessment as to whether it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before a recovery of its amortized cost basis. We then segregate the loss between the amounts representing a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected, or the credit loss, which is recognized through earnings, and the balance of the loss which is recognized through other comprehensive income.

At June 30, 2014, the fair market value of investment securities exceeded the cost basis by \$247,000. The cost basis includes any other-than-temporary impairments that have been recorded for the securities. None have been recorded at June 30, 2014. The Company has determined that any unrealized losses in the portfolio are temporary as of June 30, 2014. The Company believes that market factors such as, changes in interest rates, liquidity discounts, and premiums

required by market participants rather than an adverse change in cash flows or a fundamental weakness in credit quality of the issuer have led to the temporary declines in value. In the future based on changes in the economy, credit markets, financial condition of issuers, or market interest rates, this could change.

As of June 30, 2014, the adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in consolidated equity for the temporary change in the value of securities reflects an increase in the market value of available-for-sale securities of \$11,000, which includes estimated taxes of \$4,000.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company's gross unrealized holding gains equal \$299,000 and gross unrealized holding losses equal \$52,000.

The following tables summarize the maturities, at par, of marketable securities by year (\$ in thousands):

At June 30, 2014	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Certificates of deposit	\$1,166	\$4,213	\$1,501	\$831	\$509	\$8,220
U.S. Treasury and agency notes	4,481	3,836	600	1,209	523	\$10,649
Corporate notes	1,919	9,937	6,704	6,798	1,264	\$26,622
Municipal notes	1,485	2,205	1,235	790	125	\$5,840
	\$9,051	\$20,191	\$10,040	\$9,628	\$2,421	\$51,331

At December 31, 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Certificates of deposit	\$1,627	\$4,213	\$1,501	\$681	\$8,022
U.S. Treasury and agency notes	5,485	3,336	600	692	\$10,113
Corporate notes	6,729	10,037	6,704	5,174	\$28,644
Municipal notes	3,325	2,205	1,235	295	\$7,060
	\$17,166	\$19,791	\$10,040	\$6,842	\$53,839

The Company's investments in corporate notes are with companies that have an investment grade rating from Standard & Poor's.

#### 4. LONG TERM WATER ASSETS

Long term water assets consist of water and water contracts held for future use or sale. The water is held at cost which includes the price paid for the water and the cost to pump and deliver the water. A portion of our water is currently held in a water bank on Company land in southern Kern County. Company banked water costs also include costs related to the right to receive additional acre feet of water in the future from the Antelope Valley East Kern Water Agency, or AVEK. The Company has also banked water within an AVEK owned water bank.

In recent years we have also been purchasing water for our future use or sale. In 2008 we purchased 8,393 acre-feet of transferable water and in 2009 we purchased an additional 6,393 acre-feet of transferable water, all of which is currently held on our behalf by AVEK. We also have secured State Water Project, or SWP, entitlement under long-term SWP water contracts within the Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District and the Dudley-Ridge Water District, totaling 3,444 acre-feet of SWP entitlement annually, subject to SWP allocations. These contracts extend through 2035 and now have been transferred to AVEK for our use in the Antelope Valley. On November 6, 2013, the Company acquired from DMB Pacific, or DMB, a contract to purchase water that obligates the Company to purchase 6,693 acre feet of water each year from the Nickel Family, LLC, or Nickel, a California limited liability company that is located in Kern County. The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$18,700,000 and was paid one-half in cash and one-half in shares of Company Common Stock. The number of shares of Common Stock delivered was determined based on the volume weighted average price of Common Stock for the ten trading days that ended two days prior to closing, which calculated to be 251,876 shares of Common Stock.

This Nickel water purchase is similar to other transactions the Company has completed over the last several years as the Company has been building its water assets for internal needs as well as for investment purposes due to the limited water supply within California.

The initial term of the water purchase agreement with Nickel runs through 2044 and includes a Company option to extend the contract for an additional 35 years. The purchase cost of water in 2014 was \$656 per acre-foot. Purchase costs in 2015 and beyond are subject to annual cost increases based on the greater of the consumer price index and 3%.

The water purchased under the contract with Nickel will ultimately be used in the development of the Company's land for commercial/industrial development, residential development, and farming. Interim uses may include the sale of portions of this water to third party users on an annual basis until this water is fully allocated to Company uses, as just described.

During the first six months of 2014, we sold 6,250 acre feet of water totaling \$7,702,000 with a cost of \$4,523,000, which are recorded in income (expense) from water sales on the Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Water contracts with the Wheeler Ridge Maricopa Water Storage District, or WRMWS D, and the Tejon-Castac Water District, or TCWD, are also in place, but were entered into with each district at inception of the contract and not purchased later from third parties, and do not have a related financial value on the books of the Company. Therefore there is no amortization expense related to these contracts. Water assets consist of the following:

(in acre feet, unaudited)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Banked water and water for future delivery		
AVEK water bank	13,461	12,280
Company water bank	8,700	8,818
AVEK water for future delivery	2,362	2,362
Total Company and AVEK banked water	24,523	23,460
Transferable water with AVEK*	14,786	14,786
Water Contracts	10,137	10,137
Total purchased water - third parties	49,446	48,383
WRMWS D - Contracts with Company	15,547	15,547
TCWD - Contracts with Company	5,749	5,479
TCWD - Banked water contracted to Company	37,552	42,685
Total purchased and contracted water sources in acre feet	108,294	112,094

\*Any transferable water with AVEK that is used by the Company or returned by AVEK to the Company will be returned at a 1.5 to 1 factor giving the Company use of a total of 22,179 acre feet.

(\$ in thousands)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Banked water and water for future delivery	\$4,779	\$4,779
Transferable water	9,309	8,988
Water Contracts (net of accumulated amortization of \$3,512 and \$2,837 at June 30, 2014 and December 2013, respectively)	33,288	33,804
Total long-term assets	47,376	47,571
less: Current portion	(1,351)	(817)
	\$46,025	\$46,754

## 5. ACCRUED LIABILITIES AND OTHER

Accrued liabilities and other consists of the following:

(\$ in thousands)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Accrued vacation	\$742	\$673
Accrued paid personal leave	591	619
Accrued bonus	896	677
Other	316	678
	\$2,545	\$2,647

## 6. SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The carrying value of our outstanding debt consists of the following:

(\$ in thousands)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Note payable to a bank	\$4,577	\$4,693
Revolving line of credit	10,200	—
Total short-term and long-term debt	14,777	4,693
Less short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt	(10,439)	(234)
	\$4,338	\$4,459

The outstanding long-term debt is being used to provide long-term financing for commercial retail development within the Tejon Ranch Commerce Center -West, or TRCC-West. The balance of the long-term debt instrument listed above approximates the fair value of the instrument.

We also have a long-term revolving line of credit of \$30,000,000 that, as of June 30, 2014 had an outstanding balance of \$10,200,000 and at December 31, 2013, had no outstanding balance. At the Company's option, the interest rate on this line of credit can float at 1.75% over a selected LIBOR rate or can be fixed at 1.50% above LIBOR for a fixed rate term. During the term of this credit facility (which matures in December 2016), we can borrow at any time and partially or wholly repay any outstanding borrowings and then re-borrow, as necessary. Under the terms of the line of credit, we must maintain tangible net worth, defined as total equity, including noncontrolling interest, plus debt less intangible assets, of not less than \$225,000,000 and liquid assets of not less than \$25,000,000, including the amount then available for borrowing under the line of credit. At June 30, 2014 our tangible net worth was \$327,966,000 and liquid assets were \$74,542,000 including available line of credit of \$19,800,000. A portion of our farm acreage secures this line of credit.

#### 7. STOCK COMPENSATION - RESTRICTED STOCK AND PERFORMANCE SHARE GRANTS

The Company's stock incentive plans provide for the making of awards to employees based upon time-based criteria or through the achievement of performance-related objectives. The Company has issued three types of stock grant awards under these plans: restricted stock with time-based vesting, performance share grants that only vest upon the achievement of specified performance conditions such as corporate cash flow goals; and performance share grants that include threshold, target, and maximum achievement levels based on the achievement of specific performance milestones.

The following is a summary of the Company's performance share grants with performance conditions for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

##### Performance Share Grants with Performance Conditions

Below threshold performance	—
Threshold performance	79,961
Target performance	152,418
Maximum performance	250,517

The following is a summary of the Company's stock grant activity, both time and performance share grants, assuming target achievement for outstanding performance share grants for the following periods:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Stock Grants Outstanding Beginning of the Year at Target Achievement	265,701	688,041
New Stock Grants/Additional shares due to maximum achievement	138,485	192,348
Vested Grants	(29,194)	(361,886)
Expired/Forfeited Grants	(128,265)	(252,802)
Stock Grants Outstanding June 30, 2014 at Target Achievement	246,727	265,701

The following is a summary of the Company's performance- and time-based share grants for the following periods:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Performance Share Grants	152,418	177,044
Time Based Grants	94,309	88,657
Stock Grants Outstanding June 30, 2014 at Target Achievement	246,727	265,701

The unamortized cost associated with nonvested stock grants and the weighted-average period over which it is expected to be recognized as of June 30, 2014 was \$5,404,000 and 28 months, respectively. The fair value of restricted stock with time-based vesting features is based upon the Company's share price on the date of grant and is expensed over the service period. Fair value of performance share grants that cliff vest based on the achievement of performance conditions is based on the share price of the Company's stock on the day of grant once the Company determines that it is probable that the award will vest. This fair value is expensed over the service period applicable to these grants. For performance share grants that contain a range of shares from zero to maximum we determine, based on historic and projected results, the probability of (1) achieving the





performance objective, and (2) the level of achievement. Based on this information, we determine the fair value of the award and measure the expense over the service period related to these grants. Because the ultimate vesting of all performance share grants is tied to the achievement of a performance condition, we estimate whether the performance condition will be met and over what period of time. Ultimately, we adjust compensation cost according to the actual outcome of the performance condition.

Beginning in the second half of 2013, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, or the Board, conducted a compensation study prepared by an outside consultant that was completed during the first quarter of 2014. One of the outcomes of the compensation study was that the Board elected to modify selected outstanding and unvested performance share grants, or the existing performance milestone grants, and issue new milestone performance grants. The Company has assessed that it is probable that these new performance milestones will be met. As discussed above, the performance share grant approved by the Board in March 2014, included the modification of existing performance milestone grants totaling 133,890 restricted stock units and the issuance of new performance share grants totaling 89,837 restricted stock units. The restricted stock units of the modified existing performance milestone grants have been accounted for as probable-to-probable modification since the Company has determined that achieving the existing performance milestones was probable. The unamortized total cost relating to these probable-to-probable modified performance share grants is being recognized ratably over the new requisite service period. The impact of modifying the existing performance stock grants is an annual expense of \$1,109,000 over the service period. The values for the 2014 performance grants, including the new milestone grants, are fixed at threshold, target and maximum performance, meaning that the amount of shares at vesting will vary depending on the stock price at that time. The total value for these grants at maximum performance is \$5,789,000. These grants cannot be settled in cash and there are sufficient registered shares in the equity compensation plans to meet the delivery requirements. Under the Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan, or NDSI Plan, each non-employee director receives his or her annual compensation in stock. The stock is granted at the end of each quarter based on the quarter ending stock price.

The following table summarizes stock compensation costs for the Company's Employee 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, or the Employee Plan, and NDSI Plan for the following periods:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
Employee Plan:			
Expensed	\$ 1,148,000	\$ (952,000	)
Capitalized	46,000	208,000	
	1,194,000	(744,000	)
NDSI Plan	414,000	384,000	
	\$ 1,608,000	\$ (360,000	)

During the first quarter of 2013 an executive officer of the Company resigned, which led to the Company reversing \$485,000 of previously recognized stock compensation expense as the shares were forfeited upon leaving the Company. During the second quarter of 2013, the then current CEO announced his plans to retire at the end of 2013. Based upon his announcement and plans, \$2,271,000 of stock compensation expense was reversed in corporate expenses as the shares were forfeited upon leaving the company.

#### 8. INCOME TAXES

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company incurred a net income tax expense of \$1,020,000 compared to a net income tax expense of \$833,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. These represent effective income tax rates of approximately 34% and 24% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and, 2013, respectively. The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2014 is based on forecasted annual pre-tax income for 2014 and lower estimated oil depletion allowances as a result of the decrease in oil revenues compared to the same period in 2013. As of June 30, 2014, our balance sheet reflects an income tax receivable of \$2,160,000 which is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets.

The Company classifies interest and penalties incurred on tax payments as income tax expenses. During the first six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company had \$13,600 income tax payments for the 2014 tax year.

#### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company's land is subject to water contracts with minimum future annual payments of approximately \$7,765,000 per year, based on payments due in 2014. These estimated water contract payments consist of SWP, contracts with Wheeler Ridge

Maricopa Water Storage District, Tejon-Castac Water District, or TCWD, Tulare Water Storage, and Dudley-Ridge Water Storage District. These SWP contracts for the supply of future water run through 2035. The Tulare Water Storage and Dudley-Ridge Water Storage District SWP contracts have now been transferred to AVEK, for our use in the Antelope Valley. Future payments related to these contracts will be paid to AVEK beginning in 2014 and future years. As discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 in Part I, Item 2 - Properties - Water Operations, we purchased the assignment of a contract to purchase water in late 2013. The assigned water contract is with Nickel Family, LLC, and obligates us to purchase 6,693 acre-feet of water starting in 2014 and running through 2044.

The Company is obligated to make payments of approximately \$800,000 per year to the Tejon Ranch Conservancy as prescribed in the Conservation Agreement. Our advances to the Tejon Ranch Conservancy are dependent on the occurrence of certain events and their timing, and are therefore subject to change in amount and period.

The Company exited a consulting contract during the second quarter of 2014 related to the Grapevine Development and is obligated to pay an earned incentive fee at the time of successful receipt of project entitlements and at a value measurement date five-years after entitlements have been achieved for Grapevine. The final amount of the incentive fees will not be finalized until the future payment dates. The Company believes that net savings from exiting the contract over this future time period will more than offset the incentive payment costs.

The Tejon Ranch Public Facilities Financing Authority, or TRPFFA, is a joint powers authority formed by Kern County and TCWD to finance public infrastructure within the Company's Kern County developments. TRPFFA has created two Community Facilities Districts, or CFDs, the West CFD and the East CFD. The West CFD has placed liens on 420 acres of the Company's land to secure payment of special taxes related to \$28,620,000 of bond debt sold by TRPFFA for TRCC-West. The East CFD has placed liens on 1,931 acres of the Company's land to secure payments of special taxes related to \$39,750,000 of bond debt sold by TRPFFA for TRCC-East. At TRCC-West, the West CFD has no additional bond debt approved for issuance. At TRCC-East, the East CFD has approximately \$80,250,000 of additional bond debt authorized by TRPFFA that can be sold in the future.

In connection with the sale of bonds there is a standby letter of credit for \$5,426,000 related to the issuance of East CFD bonds. The standby letter of credit is in place to provide additional credit enhancement and cover approximately two years worth of interest on the outstanding bonds. This letter of credit will not be drawn upon unless the Company, as the largest land owner in the CFD, fails to make its property tax payments. The Company believes that the letter of credit will never be drawn upon. The letter of credit is for two years and will be renewed in two-year intervals as necessary. The annual cost related to the letter of credit is approximately \$83,000.

The Company is obligated, as a landowner in each CFD, to pay its share of the special taxes assessed each year. The secured lands include both the TRCC-West and TRCC-East developments. Proceeds from the sale of West CFD bonds went to reimburse the Company for public infrastructure related to the TRCC West development. At this time there are no additional improvement funds remaining from the West CFD bonds and there are approximately \$4,967,000 in improvement funds within the East CFD bonds for reimbursement of cost during 2014 and future years. During 2013, the Company paid approximately \$886,000 in special taxes. As development continues to occur at TRCC, new owners of land and new lease tenants, through triple net leases, will bear an increasing portion of the assessed special tax. As this happens, the Company's obligation is correspondingly reduced. This amount could change in the future based on the amount of bonds outstanding and the amount of taxes paid by others.

In July 2014, the Company received a copy of a Notice of Intent to Sue, or Notice, dated July 17, 2014 indicating that the Center for Biological Diversity, the Wishtoyo Foundation and Dee Dominguez intend to initiate a lawsuit against the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, or USFWS, under the federal Endangered Species Act challenging USFWS's approval of Tejon Ranchcorp's Tehachapi Uplands Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, or TUMSHCP, and USFWS's issuance of an Incidental Take Permit, or ITP, to Tejon Ranchcorp for the take of federally listed species. The foregoing approvals authorize, among other things, removal of California condor habitat associated with Tejon Ranchcorp's potential future development of Tejon Mountain Village. No lawsuit has been filed at this time. It is not possible to predict whether any lawsuit will actually be filed or whether the Company or Tejon Ranchcorp will incur any damages from such a lawsuit.

Tejon Mountain Village

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-Q

On November 10, 2009, a suit was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California (Fresno division) by David Laughing Horse Robinson, or the plaintiff, an alleged representative of the federally-unrecognized "Kawaiisu Tribe" alleging, inter alia, that the Company does not hold legal title to the land within the Tejon Mountain Village, or TMV development that it seeks to develop. The grounds for the federal lawsuit were the subject of a United States Supreme Court decision in 1924 where the United States Supreme Court found against the Indian tribes. The suit named as defendants the

14

---

Company, two affiliates (Tejon Mountain Village, LLC and Tejon Ranchcorp), the County of Kern, or the County, and Ken Salazar, in his capacity as U.S. Secretary of the Interior.

The Company and other defendants filed motions to dismiss the plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim and lack of jurisdiction. On January 24, 2011, the Company received a ruling by Judge Wanger dismissing all claims against the Company, TMV, the County and Ken Salazar. However, the judge did grant a limited right by the plaintiff to amend certain causes of action in the complaint.

During April, 2011, the plaintiff filed his second amended complaint against the Company, alleging similar items as in the original suit. The plaintiff filed new materials during July, 2011 related to his second amended complaint.

Thereafter, the case was reassigned to Magistrate Judge McAuliffe. On January 18, 2012, Judge McAuliffe issued an order dismissing all claims in the plaintiff's second amended complaint for failure to state a cause of action and/or for lack of jurisdiction, but allowing the plaintiff one more opportunity to state certain land claims provided the plaintiff file an amended complaint on or before February 17, 2012. The court also indicated that it was considering dismissing the case due to the lack of federal recognition of the "Kawaiisu Tribe". The court then granted the plaintiff an extension until March 19, 2012 to file his third amended complaint.

The plaintiff filed his third amended complaint on March 19, 2012. The defendants filed motions to dismiss all claims in the third amended complaint without further leave to amend on April 30, 2012. The plaintiff thereafter substituted in new counsel and with leave of court filed his opposition papers on June 8, 2012. The defendants filed their reply papers on June 22, 2012. Oral argument of the motions to dismiss the third amended complaint was conducted on July 20, 2012. On August 7, 2012, the court issued its Order dismissing all of Robinson's claims without leave to amend and with prejudice, on grounds of lack of jurisdiction and failure to state a claim.

On September 24, 2012, Robinson (through another new counsel) filed a timely notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On September 26, 2012, the Court of Appeals issued its time schedule order calling for briefing to be completed by February, 2013. Robinson's brief was due to be filed on January 2, 2013. On February 26, 2013, the Ninth Circuit issued an order dismissing the appeal for failure to prosecute including failure to file an opening brief. Forty-five days later, Robinson's counsel filed a motion to reinstate the appeal. As an excuse Robinson's new counsel offered that he overlooked the court of appeal's briefing schedule order and assumed that state court procedure would be followed. The motion to reinstate the appeal was accompanied by a proposed opening brief. In response, the Company and the County filed oppositions to the motion to reinstate the appeal. Despite objections by the Company and the County (in which the U.S. Department of Justice, or the DOJ, did not join), the Ninth Circuit granted Robinson's motion to reinstate, rejected the appeal of that reinstatement decision by the County and the Company, and set a due date of July 7, 2013 for the opposition briefs of the Company and the County to be filed.

Thereafter, the DOJ and the County exercised their right to obtain an automatic 30-day extension to August 6, 2013, and the Company filed an unopposed motion (which the Ninth Circuit granted) extending the Company's date for its opposition brief to August 6, 2013 as well. Thereafter, the DOJ requested and obtained further extensions of time to file its answering brief, first to August 27, 2013, and finally to September 17, 2013. The Company filed its answering brief and supplemental excerpts of record on August 27, 2013. The County and DOJ both filed their answering briefs on September 17, 2013. Both the Company and the County (but not the DOJ) included in their answering briefs the argument that the Court of Appeal lacks jurisdiction to hear the appeal because the plaintiff did not show the required extraordinary good cause for his failure to file his opening briefs. The plaintiff filed a short reply brief on November 4, 2013. The matter is now fully briefed. The Ninth Circuit initially scheduled an oral argument to occur on Wednesday, May 14, 2014 but counsel for Robinson filed a motion to continue the argument due to a scheduling conflict. So far as the Company is aware, the Ninth Circuit has not yet set a revised oral argument date. In the meantime, the Company continues to believe that a negative outcome of this case is remote and the monetary impact of an adverse result, if any, cannot be estimated at this time.

#### National Cement

The Company leases land to National Cement Company of California Inc., or National, for the purpose of manufacturing Portland cement from limestone deposits on the leased acreage. The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, or RWQCB, for the Lahontan Region issued several orders in the late 1990s with respect to environmental conditions on the property currently leased to National:

- Groundwater plume of chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds. This order directs the Company's former tenant Lafarge Corporation, or Lafarge, the current tenant National, and the Company to, among other things, clean up
- (1) groundwater contamination on the leased property. In 2003, Lafarge and National installed a groundwater pump-and-treat system to clean up the groundwater. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National continue to operate the cleanup system and will continue to do so over the near-term.
  - (2) Cement kiln dust. National and Lafarge have consolidated, closed and capped cement kiln dust piles located on land leased from the Company. An order of the RWQCB directs National, Lafarge and the Company to maintain

and monitor the effectiveness of the cap. Maintenance of the cap and groundwater monitoring remain as on-going activities.

Former industrial waste landfills. This order requires Lafarge, National and the Company to complete the cleanup (3) of groundwater associated with the former industrial waste landfills. The Company is advised that the cleanup is complete. Lafarge continues to monitor the groundwater.

Diesel fuel. An order of the RWQCB directs Lafarge, National and the Company to clean up contamination from a (4) diesel fuel tank and pipeline. The Company is advised that Lafarge and National have substantially completed the groundwater cleanup and that groundwater monitoring remains an on-going activity.

To date, the Company is not aware of any failure by Lafarge or National to comply with the orders or informal requests of the RWQCB. Under current and prior leases, National and Lafarge are obligated to indemnify the Company for costs and liabilities arising directly or indirectly out of their use of the leased premises. The Company believes that all of the matters described above are included within the scope of the National or Lafarge indemnity obligations and that Lafarge and National have sufficient resources to perform any reasonably likely obligations relating to these matters. If they do not and the Company is required to perform the work at its own cost, it is unlikely that the amount of any such expenditure by the Company would be material.

#### Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases

On November 29, 2004, a conglomerate of public water suppliers filed a cross-complaint in the Los Angeles Superior Court seeking a judicial determination of the rights to groundwater within the Antelope Valley basin, including the groundwater underlying the Company's land near the Centennial project. Four phases of a multi-phase trial have been completed. Upon completion of the third phase, the court ruled that the groundwater basin is currently in overdraft and established a current total sustainable yield. The fourth phase of trial occurred in first half 2013 and resulted in confirmation of each party's groundwater pumping for 2011 and 2012. The fifth phase of the trial commenced in February, 2014, and concerned 1) whether the United States has a federal reserved water right to basin groundwater, and 2) the rights to return flows from imported water. The court heard evidence on the federal reserve right but continued the trial on the return flow issues while most of the parties to the adjudication discussed a settlement, including rights to return flows. The sixth phase of the trial concerning claims of prescriptive water rights is scheduled to commence in August, 2014, at which time the court will also consider preliminary approval of the proposed settlement. Because the settlement remains tentative and given the complex nature of the adjudication, at this time it is difficult to ascertain what the outcome of the court proceedings will be or whether an alternative settlement agreement will be reached and what effect, if any, this case may have on the Centennial project or the Company's remaining lands in the Antelope Valley. Because the water supply plan for the Centennial project includes several sources of water in addition to groundwater underlying the Company's lands, and because the creation of an efficient market for local water rights is frequently an outcome of adjudication proceedings, the Company remains hopeful that sufficient water to supply the Company's needs will continue to be available for its use regardless of the outcome of this case.

#### Water Bank Lawsuits

On June 3, 2010, the Central Delta and South Delta Water Agencies and several environmental groups, including the Center for Biological Diversity, filed a complaint in the Sacramento County Superior Court against the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), Kern County Water Agency and a number of "real parties in interest," including the Company and TCWD. The lawsuit challenges certain amendments to the SWP contracts that were originally approved in 1995, known as the "Monterey Amendments." The original Environmental Impact Report, or EIR, for the Monterey Amendments was determined to be insufficient in an earlier lawsuit. The current lawsuit principally (i) challenges the adequacy of the remedial EIR that DWR prepared as a result of the original lawsuit and (ii) challenges the validity of the Monterey Amendments on various grounds, including the transfer of the Kern Water Bank, or KWB, from DWR to Kern County Water Agency and in turn to the Kern Water Bank Authority (KWBA), whose members are various Kern and Kings County interests, including TCWD, which TCWD has a 2% interest in the KWBA. A parallel lawsuit was also filed by the same plaintiffs in Sacramento Superior Court against Kern County Water Agency, also naming the Company and TCWD as real parties in interest, which has been stayed pending the outcome of the other action against DWR. The Company is named on the ground that it "controls" TCWD. Another lawsuit was filed in Sacramento by two districts boarding the Kern Water Bank, namely Rosedale Rio Bravo and

Buena Vista Water Storage Districts (Rosedale), which is before the same court, asserting that the remedial EIR did not adequately evaluate potential impacts arising from future operations of the Kern Water Bank, but this lawsuit did not name the Company, only TCWD. TCWD has a contract right for water stored in the KWB and rights to recharge and withdraw water. Counsel for the Company is pursuing a dismissal of the Company from these lawsuits. In an initial favorable ruling on January 25, 2013, Judge Frawley determined that the challenges to the validity of the Monterey Amendments, including the transfer of the KWB, were not timely and barred by the statutes of limitation and doctrine of laches. The substantive hearing on the challenges to the EIR was held on January 31, 2014. On March 5, 2014 the court issued a lengthy decision, rejecting all of Central Delta's California Environmental Quality Act claims, except the claims of Rosedale's that Central Delta essentially joined claiming the EIR was deficient in that it did not adequately evaluate future impacts on groundwater resulting from



operation of the Kern Water Bank. Therefore DWR will be required to prepare a supplemental EIR on the issue of local groundwater impacts. On September 5, 2014 the court will hold a hearing on the remedy for the deficient EIR. We are uncertain as to whether this could result in some curtailment in future Kern Water Bank Authority operations. The monetary value of a potential adverse outcome on the claims likewise cannot be estimated at this time.

#### 10. RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has a defined benefit plan that covers many of its employees, or the Benefit Plan. The benefits are based on years of service and the employee's five-year final average salary. Contributions are intended to provide for benefits attributable to service both to date and expected to be provided in the future. The Company funds the plan in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and the Pension Protection Act. The Company anticipates contributing approximately \$600,000 to the plan during 2014.

Plan assets consist of equity, debt and short-term money market investment funds. The plan's current investment policy targets 65% equities, 25% debt and 10% money market funds. Equity and debt investment percentages are allowed to fluctuate around the respective targets to take advantage of market conditions. As an example, equities could fluctuate from 78% to 52% of plan assets. At June 30, 2014, the investment mix was approximately 61% equity, 35% debt, and 4% money market funds. At December 31, 2013, the investment mix was approximately 54% equity, 30% debt and 16% money market funds. Equity investments consist of a combination of individual equity securities plus value funds, growth funds, large cap funds and international stock funds. Debt investments consist of U.S. Treasury securities and investment grade corporate debt. The weighted-average discount rate used in determining the periodic pension cost is 5.0% in 2014 and 2013. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is 7.5% in 2014 and 2013. The long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on the historical returns within the plan and expectations for future returns.

The expected total pension and retirement expense for the Benefit Plan was as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	
	2014	2013
Cost components:		
Service cost-benefits earned during the period	\$ (180)	\$ (142)
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	(202)	(188)
Expected return on plan assets	272	228
Net amortization and deferral	(533)	(96)
Total net periodic pension cost	\$ (643)	\$ (198)

During the first quarter of 2014, the Pension Trust purchased annuities for eligible, retired participants of the defined benefit plan. The retirement benefits payments were of a size that met the requirement for settlement accounting within the pension plan. Based on the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets before and after the payment of benefits we realized a \$392,000 increase in minimum pension liability and an increase in expense of \$407,000 above our normal periodic pension cost.

The Company has a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP, to restore to executives designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors the full benefits under the pension plan that would otherwise be restricted by certain limitations now imposed under the Internal Revenue Code. The SERP is currently unfunded. The pension and retirement expense for the SERP was as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	
	2014	2013
Cost components:		
Service cost-benefits earned during the period	\$ (160)	\$ (86)
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	(110)	(108)
Net amortization and deferral	(114)	(144)
Total net periodic pension cost	\$ (384)	\$ (338)



## 11. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We currently operate in four business segments: commercial/industrial real estate development; resort/residential real estate development; mineral resources; and farming.

The revenue components of the commercial/industrial real estate segment for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013
Commercial leases	\$2,785	\$3,401
Grazing leases	713	748
All other land management ancillary services	1,997	1,398
Total revenue	5,495	5,547
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,621	1,720
Revenues and equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$7,116	\$7,267

Commercial lease revenue consists of land and building leases to tenants at our commercial retail and industrial developments, base and percentage rents from our Calpine power plant lease, communication tower rents, and payments from easement leases. Land management ancillary services include development fees, wildlife management, landscape and property maintenance, and building management services.

The resort/residential real estate development segment produces revenues from farming activities, such as hay sales, within the Centennial Founders, LLC joint venture and is actively involved in the land entitlement and pre-development process.

The revenue components of the resort/residential real estate development segment for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

(In thousands)	2014	2013	
Hay sales - Centennial operations	\$404	\$307	
Management fees	180	156	
Other	3	8	
Total revenue	587	471	
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures	(35	) (41	)
Revenues and equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$552	\$430	

The mineral resources segment receives oil and mineral and mining royalties from exploration and development companies that extract or mine the natural resources from our land. The revenue components of the mineral resources segment for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	2014	2013
Oil and gas	\$3,522	\$4,418
Rock aggregate	592	296
Cement	479	375
Land lease for oil exploration	112	542
Total revenue	\$4,705	\$5,631

The farming segment produces revenues from the sale of wine grapes, almonds and pistachios.

## 12. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The Company maintains investments in joint ventures. The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting unless the venture is a variable interest entity, or VIE, and meets the requirements for consolidation. The Company's investment in its unconsolidated joint ventures at June 30, 2014 was \$73,722,000. The equity in the income of the unconsolidated joint ventures was \$1,586,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The unconsolidated joint ventures have not been consolidated as of June 30, 2014, because the Company does not control the investments. The Company's current joint ventures are as follows:

Petro Travel Plaza Holdings LLC – TA/Petro is an unconsolidated joint venture with TravelCenters of America, LLC for the development and management of travel plazas and convenience stores. The Company has 50% voting rights and shares 60% of profit and losses in this joint venture. It houses multiple commercial eating establishments as well as diesel and gasoline operations in TRCC. The Company does not control the investment due to its having only 50% voting rights, and because our partner in the joint venture is the managing partner and performs all of the day-to-day operations and has significant decision making authority regarding key business components such as fuel inventory and pricing at the facility. At June 30, 2014, the Company had an equity investment balance of \$13,045,000 in this joint venture.

Tejon Mountain Village LLC - Prior to the Company's acquisition of full ownership of the entity on July 15, 2014, Tejon Mountain Village LLC, or TMV LLC, was an unconsolidated joint venture between the Company and DMB TMV LLC, which is owned by DMB Pacific LLC, formed to obtain all necessary government entitlement approvals and to develop the Tejon Mountain Village project. Prior to the acquisition, the Company had 50% voting rights and shared 50% of profit and losses in this venture. The joint venture agreement provided that cash distributions would follow defined distribution levels and be distributed quarterly to the Company and DMB in an amount proportional to capital contributed at each distribution level, some of which were not equal. For additional information please refer to the filed TMV LLC agreement and amendments listed as exhibits under Part II, Item 6 of this report and the description of this agreement in our Form 10K/A for fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2014, the Company's equity investment balance in this joint venture was \$44,851,000 which includes \$1,013,000 of Company contributions during the first six months of June 30, 2014, which helped to fund the joint venture's planning activities. On July 15, 2014, the Company acquired full ownership of TMV LLC. See Note 13 (Subsequent Events) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail regarding the Company's acquisition of full ownership of TMV LLC.

Rockefeller Joint Ventures – The Company has multiple joint ventures with Rockefeller Group Development Corporation or Rockefeller. Two joint ventures are for the development of buildings on approximately 91 acres and are part of an agreement for the potential development of up to 500 acres of land in TRCC including pursuing Foreign Trade Zone, or FTZ, designation and development of the property within the FTZ for warehouse distribution and light manufacturing. The Company owns a 50% interest in each of the joint ventures. Currently the Five West Parcel LLC joint venture owns and leases a 606,000 square foot building. The Five-West Parcel joint venture currently has an outstanding loan with a balance of \$11,000,000. The note is due in 2015 and is fully secured by the building as well as guarantees from each partner. We do not believe the bank will call on the guarantees provided. The second of these joint ventures, 18-19 West LLC, was formed in August 2009 through the contribution of 61.5 acres of land by the Company, which is being held for future development.

During the second quarter of 2013, we entered into a new joint venture with Rockefeller, the TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC joint venture, to develop, own, and manage an approximately 326,000 leasable square foot outlet center on land at TRCC-East. This outlet center is estimated to cost approximately \$86 million to construct and will be funded through a construction loan for up to 60% of the costs and equity from the members. This joint venture is separate from the above agreement to develop up to 500 acres of land in TRCC. During the second quarter of 2013, we contributed land and other assets at an agreed value of \$10,558,000 for our capital contribution (\$2,159,000 at cost) and Rockefeller matched our capital contribution with cash. Rockefeller also reimbursed the Company for \$335,000 in outlet center marketing costs, which were offset against the Company's equity in losses for the TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC joint venture. During the fourth quarter of 2013, the TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC joint venture entered into a construction line of credit agreement with a financial institution for \$52,000,000 that, as of

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2014, had an outstanding balance of \$18,460,000. The Company and Rockefeller both guarantee the payment and performance of this construction line of credit which is secured by the constructed real property of the outlet center.

At June 30, 2014, the Company's combined equity investment balance in the three Rockefeller joint ventures was \$15,826,000 which includes \$8,500,000 of Company contributions during 2014, which helped to fund development of the outlet center.

Centennial Founders, LLC – Centennial Founders, LLC is a joint venture with Pardee Homes (TRI Pointe Homes), Lewis Investment Company, and Standard Pacific Corp. that was organized to pursue the entitlement and development of land that the Company owns in Los Angeles County. Based on the Second Amended and Restated Limited Company Agreement of Centennial Founders, LLC and the change in control and funding that resulted from the amended agreement, Centennial Founders, LLC qualified as a VIE, beginning in the third quarter of 2009 and the Company was determined to be the primary beneficiary. As a result, Centennial Founders, LLC has been consolidated into our financial statements beginning in that quarter. Our partners retained a noncontrolling interest in the joint venture. At June 30, 2014 the Company had a 73.55% ownership position in Centennial Founders, LLC.

The Company's investment balance in its unconsolidated joint ventures differs from its respective capital accounts in the respective joint ventures. The differential represents the difference between the cost basis of assets contributed by the Company and the agreed upon contribution value of the assets contributed.

Unaudited condensed balance sheet information of the Company's unconsolidated and consolidated joint ventures as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and unaudited condensed statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014

(\$ in thousands)	UNCONSOLIDATED						CONSOLIDATED
	Petro Travel Plaza Holdings	Five West Parcel	18-19 West LLC	TRCC/Rock Outlet Center	Tejon Mountain Village	Total	Centennial-VIE
Revenues	\$54,658	\$1,710	\$31	\$480	\$—	\$56,879	\$407
Net income (loss)	\$2,555	\$136	\$19	\$20	\$(70)	\$2,660	\$(32)
Partner's share of net income (loss)	\$1,021	\$68	\$10	\$10	\$(35)	\$1,074	\$(8)
Equity in earnings (losses)	\$1,534	\$68	\$9	\$10	\$(35)	\$1,586	\$—

Balance Sheet Information as of June 30, 2014

(\$ in thousands)	UNCONSOLIDATED						CONSOLIDATED
	Petro Travel Plaza Holdings	Five West Parcel	18-19 West LLC	TRCC/Rock Outlet Center	Tejon Mountain Village	Total	Centennial-VIE
Current assets	\$16,788	\$1,866	\$25	\$1,860	\$365	\$20,904	\$256
Property and equipment, net	44,686	15,924	4,573	53,040	101,393	219,616	76,355
Other assets	189	257	—	8,129	—	8,575	—
Long-term debt	(16,213)	(11,000)	—	(18,460)	—	(45,673)	—
Other liabilities	(3,043)	(22)	—	(6,903)	(280)	(10,248)	(293)
Net assets	\$42,407	\$7,025	\$4,598	\$37,666	\$101,478	\$193,174	\$76,318

Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2013

(\$ in thousands)	UNCONSOLIDATED						CONSOLIDATED
	Petro Travel Plaza Holdings	Five West Parcel	18-19 West LLC	TRCC/Rock Outlet Center	Tejon Mountain Village	Total	Centennial
Revenues	\$55,364	\$1,673	\$31	\$—	\$—	\$57,068	\$314
Net income (loss)	\$2,394	\$47	\$25	\$(176)	\$(83)	\$2,207	\$(232)
Partner's share of net income (loss)	\$958	\$23	\$12	\$(88)	\$(42)	\$863	\$(66)
Equity in earnings (losses)	\$1,436	\$24	\$13	\$247	\$(41)	\$1,679	\$—



## Balance Sheet Information as of December 31, 2013

(\$ in thousands)	UNCONSOLIDATED					Total	CONSOLIDATED
	Petro Travel Plaza Holdings	Five West Parcel	18-19 West LLC	TRCC/Rock Outlet Center	Tejon Mountain Village		Centennial-VIE
Current assets	\$ 14,886	\$ 813	\$ 10	\$ 2,428	\$ 99,690	\$ 117,827	\$ 86
Property and equipment, net	43,897	16,980	4,514	24,633	—	90,024	74,968
Other assets	208	438	—	2,161	—	2,807	—
Long-term debt	(16,602 )	(11,000 )	—	—	—	(27,602 )	—
Other liabilities	(2,687 )	(343 )	—	(8,577 )	(168 )	(11,775 )	(204 )
Net assets	\$ 39,702	\$ 6,888	\$ 4,524	\$ 20,645	\$ 99,522	\$ 171,281	\$ 74,850

## 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 15, 2014, Tejon Ranchcorp, or TRC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into a Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, or Agreement, with DMB TMV, LLC, or DMB TMV, pursuant to which DMB TMV agreed to sell its membership interest in TMV LLC to TRC for \$70,000,000 in cash, the Purchase Price. The transaction contemplated by the Agreement closed simultaneously with the signing of the Agreement on July 15, 2014. From May 19, 2006 until the closing of the transaction contemplated by the Agreement, TRC and DMB TMV were co-owners and co-managers of TMV LLC, a joint venture formed by the parties to pursue the entitlement and development of the project commonly known as Tejon Mountain Village.

Pursuant to the Agreement, TRC paid DMB TMV an initial payment of \$10,000,000 in cash on July 15, 2014 and both parties executed an Assignment and Assumption of Membership Interest pursuant to which DMB TMV transferred 100% of its membership interest in TMV LLC to TRC. TRC is required to pay DMB TMV the remaining \$60,000,000 of the Purchase Price on or before October 13, 2014, but has a one-time right to extend the final payment due date by thirty days. In the event TRC fails to pay the remaining \$60,000,000 of the Purchase Price before the final payment due date (as such date may be extended), any agreements and the transactions contemplated thereby will be void, DMB TMV will continue to be a member of TMV LLC and DMB TMV will have the right to retain the initial payment of \$10,000,000.

The Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants by TRC and DMB TMV, including indemnification obligations.

A copy of the Agreement is filed as an exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K that was filed on July 16, 2014. The foregoing description of the Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the filed Agreement.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, including without limitation statements regarding strategic alliances, the almond, pistachio and grape industries, the future plantings of permanent crops, future yields, prices and water availability for our crops and real estate operations, future prices, production and demand for oil and other minerals, future development of our property, future revenue and income of our jointly-owned travel plaza and other joint venture operations, potential losses to the Company as a result of pending environmental proceedings, the adequacy of future cash flows to fund our operations, market value risks associated with investment and risk management activities and with respect to inventory, accounts receivable and our own outstanding indebtedness and other future events and conditions. In some cases these statements are identifiable through the use of words such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “plan”, “project”, “target”, “can”, “could”, “will”, “should”, “would”, and similar expressions. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future



financial performance, our anticipated growth, and trends in our business and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not a guarantee of future performances and are subject to assumptions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company, or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance, or achievement implied by such forward- looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and important factors include, but are not limited to, weather, market and economic forces, availability of financing for land development activities, and success in obtaining various governmental approvals and entitlements for land development activities. No assurance can be given that the actual future results will not differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make for a number of reasons including those described above in the section entitled, “Risk Factors” in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Overview

We are a diversified real estate development and agribusiness company committed to responsibly using our land and resources to meet the housing, employment, and lifestyle needs of Californians and to create value for our shareholders. In support of these objectives, we have been investing in land planning and entitlement activities for new industrial and residential land developments and in infrastructure improvements within our active industrial development. Our prime asset is approximately 270,000 acres of contiguous, largely undeveloped land that, at its most southerly border, is 60 miles north of Los Angeles and, at its most northerly border, is 15 miles east of Bakersfield. Our business model is designed to create value through the entitlement and development of land for commercial/industrial and resort/residential uses while at the same time protecting significant portions of our land for conservation purposes. We operate our business near one of the country's largest population centers, which is expected to continue to grow well into the future.

We currently operate in four business segments: commercial/industrial real estate development; resort/residential real estate development; mineral resources; and farming.

Our commercial/industrial real estate development segment generates revenues from building, grazing, and land lease activities, land and building sales, and ancillary land management activities. The primary commercial/industrial development is TRCC. The resort/residential real estate development segment is actively involved in the land entitlement and development process internally and through joint venture entities. Its revenues are generated through farming activities within the Centennial joint venture. Within our resort/residential segment, the three active developments are TMV, Centennial, and the Grapevine Development Area, or Grapevine. During the first quarter of 2013 we began land planning activities and the first steps of gathering information to prepare an environmental impact report to entitle Grapevine, which is an approximately 15,315-acre potential development area located on the San Joaquin Valley floor area of our lands, adjacent to TRCC. We are currently focusing on approximately 8,010 acres within Grapevine for a mixed use development to include housing, retail, and commercial components. Our mineral resources segment generates revenues from oil and gas royalty leases, rock and aggregate mining leases, and a lease with National Cement. The farming segment produces revenues from the sale of wine grapes, almonds, and pistachios. For the first six months of 2014 we had net income attributable to common stockholders of \$1,987,000 compared to net income attributable to common stockholders of \$2,699,000 for the first six months of 2013. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in farming revenues resulting from a decrease in almond sales and a decrease in mineral resources revenues mainly due to lower oil production levels. This decrease was also attributable to an increase in corporate expenses resulting from an increase in stock compensation due to the reversal of stock compensation expense in 2013 and an increase in pension expense resulting mainly from pension settlements. This was partially offset by an increase of \$3,179,000 in net income generated by sales of water.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations provides a narrative discussion of our results of operations. It contains the results of operations for each operating segment of the business and is followed by a discussion of our financial position. It is useful to read the business segment information in conjunction with Note 11 (Business Segments) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our interim financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if (1) the accounting estimate requires us to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made, and (2) changes in the estimates that are likely to occur from period to period, or use of different estimates that we reasonably could have used in the current period, would have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, impairment of long-lived assets, capitalization of costs, profit recognition related to land sales, stock compensation, and our defined benefit retirement plan. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our critical accounting policies have not changed since the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Please refer to that filing for a description of our critical accounting policies.

## Results of Operations

Comparison of six months ended June 30, 2014 to six months ended June 30, 2013

Total revenues for the first six months of 2014 were \$15,153,000 compared to \$17,235,000 for the first six months of 2013. This decrease of \$2,082,000, or 12%, in total revenues is primarily attributable to a decrease in farming revenues resulting from a decrease in almond sales and mineral resources revenues mainly due to lower oil production levels.

Commercial/industrial segment revenues were \$5,495,000 for the first six months of 2014, a decrease of \$52,000 or 1% compared to the first six months of 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a \$646,000 reduction in Calpine percentage rent resulting from lower 2014 prices and to a one-time credit of \$467,000 that is the result of an amendment to the lease executed in the second quarter of 2014 and retroactive to January 2013. The amendment is related to percentage rent paid on the collection of greenhouse gas assessment taxes that are now included in the energy revenue component of the percentage rent. The percentage rent calculation has been modified to exclude these taxes that are collected by Calpine and passed on to the State of California. The retroactive amendment resulting in a credit of \$467,000 will be applied over time through future earned percentage rent. Our expectations are that percentage rent from this lease will continue to range between \$400,000 and \$600,000 as it has traditionally done. This decrease was partially offset by a \$583,000 increase in development fees related to the construction of the Outlets at Tejon.

Commercial/industrial segment expenses were \$6,647,000 during the first six months of 2014, an increase of \$393,000 or 6% compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$305,000 decrease in costs capitalized to construction in progress, a \$250,000 increase in general and administrative expense allocations and \$115,000 increase in professional services fees to handle the growth in this segment. These increases were partially offset by a \$102,000 decrease in marketing expense, as our marketing efforts have been directed to the Outlets at Tejon, where costs are shared with our joint venture partner. Additional decreases in expense are attributable to reduced assessments from Tejon Castac Water District and smaller reductions in other expenses.

Resort/residential segment revenues were \$587,000 during the first six months of 2014, an increase of \$116,000, or 25%, compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to a \$97,000 increase in ancillary hay sales due to higher prices.

Mineral resources segment revenues decreased \$926,000, or 16%, to \$4,705,000 during the first six months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to an \$896,000 decrease in oil and gas royalty revenues. The decrease is primarily due to a \$771,000 decline in oil royalty revenues resulting from a 23% drop in production due to the timing of completion of the expansion of lessees' production facilities and regulatory permitting management, which reduced in total the number of new wells being drilled. However, during the first half of 2014, 6 new production wells were completed on our lands. These wells are still in the test phase and are expected to be put into production by the end of 2014. The average price per barrel of oil was unchanged at approximately \$100 when compared to 2013. Leasehold payments declined \$430,000 due to Sojitz Energy beginning a drill program during the second half of 2013. These declines in oil royalty and lease payments were partially offset by \$400,000 of improvements in rock and aggregate royalties and in production at the National Cement lease. These improvements were driven by improved construction activity in our region.

Farming revenues decreased \$1,220,000, or 22%, to \$4,366,000 during the first six months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$2,148,000 decrease in almond revenues because of a 54% decrease in pounds sold, resulting from a reduction in 2013 inventory carryover compared to the prior year. This decline in sales volume was partially offset by a 15% increase in price for almonds. Pistachio revenues increased \$864,000 due to a 41% increase in price per pound, while the volume of pounds sold decreased 24%.

Farming expenses declined \$566,000, or 16%, to \$2,870,000 during the first six months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$321,000 decrease in almond cost of sales due to the decrease in pounds sold during the first half of 2014 when compared to 2013. Additionally, water expense decreased \$210,000 in 2014 due to an adjustment by WRMWSO during 2013 to increase fixed costs related to higher cost water resources being used and the associated power costs related to that water. Despite this decrease we continue to expect the cost of water in California to increase over time.

Corporate general and administrative costs increased \$1,543,000, or 34%, to \$6,032,000 during the first six months of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$1,890,000, increase in stock compensation expense resulting mainly from the reversal of \$2,271,000 in expense in 2013 for grants that would not vest upon the Chief Executive Officer's retirement at the end of 2013. Additionally, pension expense increased \$503,000 during the first quarter of 2013 resulting mainly from pension settlements, including that of the recently retired Chief Executive Officer. These increases were partially offset by a \$445,000 increase in general and administrative expense allocations to other departments and a \$256,000 decrease in charitable donations mainly due to the \$250,000 pledge to the Houchin Blood Bank in 2013.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we acquired the right and obligation to purchase 6,693 acre-feet of water on an annual basis through 2044 with an option to extend the purchase agreement for an additional 35 years. This water is being purchased to service our long-term farming and real estate development projects. During the first quarter of 2014, we determined we had

excess water supply for our 2014 needs, and in the first half of 2014 we sold 6,250 acre-feet of the 6,693 acre-feet of 2014 water we purchased. Water sales during the first six months of 2014 totaled \$7,702,000 with a cost of \$4,523,000 for net income recognized of \$3,179,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Our share of earnings from our joint ventures was \$1,586,000, or a decrease of \$93,000 during the first six months of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to a \$237,000 decrease in equity in earnings from the Outlets at Tejon joint venture as a result of the reimbursement of marketing expense during 2013. This was partially offset by \$98,000 higher net income from our TA/Petro joint venture as a result of higher volumes and improving net operating margins and \$45,000 in higher income from our Five West Parcel joint venture.

Comparison of three months ended June 30, 2014 to three months ended June 30, 2013

Total revenues for the second quarter of 2014 were \$8,008,000 compared to \$7,475,000 for the second quarter of 2013. This increase of \$533,000, or 7%, in total revenues is primarily attributable to an increase in farming revenues resulting mainly from improved pistachio and almond market prices. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in mineral resources revenues mainly due to lower production levels and commercial real estate revenues due to an adjustment in the calculation of percentage rent from our lease with Calpine, as discussed above.

Commercial/industrial real estate segment revenues decreased during the second quarter of 2014 by \$272,000, or 10%, to \$2,553,000 compared to the second quarter of 2013 primarily due to a \$575,000 decrease in percentage rent from our Calpine lease resulting from a modification to exclude rent paid on taxes in the calculation of percentage rent, as discussed above. This decrease is partially offset by a \$354,000 increase in development fees related to the construction of the Outlets at Tejon.

Commercial/industrial real estate segment expenses increased \$195,000, or 6%, to \$3,336,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to a \$108,000 increase in general and administrative allocations and a \$96,000 decrease in costs capitalized to construction in progress projects. These increases were partially offset by a \$97,000 decrease in assessments from the Tejon-Castac Water District.

Resort/residential real estate segment expenses fell \$347,000, or 27%, to \$918,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. This improvement is primarily due to a \$264,000 decrease in compensation related to the departure of the division's senior vice president in 2013 and a \$200,000 decrease in costs related to ancillary hay crop farm activities.

Mineral resources segment revenues decreased \$324,000, or 12%, to \$2,441,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$268,000 decrease in oil royalty revenues. The decline in oil royalty revenues is due to a decrease in production of 15% resulting from the timing of completion of the expansion of lessees' production facilities and the timing of new wells leaving the test phase and moving into production. Please see the explanation for the six months results for additional information. In addition, the average price per barrel of oil for the second quarter of 2014 increased by 3% to approximately \$103 when compared to the second quarter of 2013.

Leasehold payments declined \$174,000 during the quarter due to Sojitz Energy beginning a drill program during the second half of 2013. These unfavorable oil royalty and lease payments were partially offset by \$231,000 of improvements in rock and aggregate royalties and in production at the National Cement lease. These improvements were driven by improved construction activity in our region.

Farming revenues increased \$1,135,000, or 69%, to \$2,786,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$788,000 increase in pistachio revenues resulting from price increases for previously sold pistachios. This was partially offset by fewer pistachios being sold during the quarter. Almond revenues increased \$242,000 due to a 21% increase in price and a 17% increase in pounds sold.

Farming expenses increased \$18,000, or 2%, to \$1,197,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a \$229,000 increase in almond cost of sales due to the increase in pounds sold during the second quarter of 2014 when compared to 2013. This increase is partially offset by a \$210,000 decrease in 2014 fixed water costs resulting from an adjustment by WRWSD during 2013 to increase fixed costs related to higher cost water resources being used and the associated power costs related to that water.

Corporate general and administrative expenses increased \$1,950,000 to \$2,608,000 during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to \$2,301,000 increase in stock compensation expense resulting from the reversal of expense in 2013 for grants that would not vest upon the CEO's retirement at the end of 2013. This increase is partially offset by a \$576,000 increase in general and administrative allocations to other departments.

Our share of earnings from our joint ventures was \$1,148,000, a decrease of \$122,000, or 10%, during the second quarter of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to \$116,000 in lower income from our TA/Petro joint venture as a result of increases in operating expenses.

### General Outlook

As we enter into the second half of 2014 we are seeing increased commercial retail activity within TRCC as we near completion and opening of the Outlets at Tejon in August 2014. We expect this increase in retail activity to continue into 2015. Within the industrial market we are focusing our efforts on companies that have a California and western United States distribution model. The logistics operators currently located within our development have demonstrated success in serving all of California and the western region of the United States and we are building off of their success in our marketing efforts.

We believe our development strategy fits within the logistics model that many companies are using, which favors larger single-site buildings rather than a number of decentralized smaller distribution centers. A possible disadvantage to our development strategy is our distance from the Port of Los Angeles in comparison to the traditional distribution centers east of Los Angeles.

We expect that the commercial/industrial segment will continue to experience costs, net of amounts capitalized, primarily related to marketing costs, commissions, planning costs, and staffing costs as we continue forward with our development plans.

Most of the expense incurred within our resort/residential segment will be focused on the achievement of entitlement for the Grapevine Development Area, Centennial, and activities necessary to prepare a development business plan for TMV.

All of our crops are sensitive to the size of each year's world crop. Large crops in California and abroad can rapidly depress prices. It is still too early in 2014 to accurately predict crop production. We expect the 2014 crop harvest to begin in early August.

Prices received for many of our products are dependent upon prevailing market conditions and commodity prices. Therefore, we are unable to accurately predict revenue and we cannot pass on to our customers any cost increases caused by general inflation, except to the extent such inflation is reflected in market conditions and commodity prices. The operations of the Company are seasonal and future results of operations cannot be predicted based on quarterly results. Future real estate sales and leasing activity are dependent on market circumstances and specific opportunities and therefore are difficult to predict from period to period.

For further discussion of the risks and uncertainties that could potentially adversely affect us, please refer to Part I, Item 7 – "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, or Annual Report, and to Part I, Item 1A - "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report. We continue to be involved in various legal proceedings related to leased acreage. For a further discussion, please refer to Note 9 (Commitments and Contingencies) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

### Income Taxes

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company incurred a net income tax expense of \$1,020,000 compared to a net income tax expense of \$833,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. These represent effective income tax rates of approximately 34% and 24% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and, 2013, respectively. The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2014 is based on forecasted annual pre-tax income for 2014 and lower estimated oil depletion allowances as a result of the decrease in oil revenues compared to the same period in 2013. As of June 30, 2014 we had an income tax receivable of \$2,160,000 which is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets. For June 30, 2013, we had no tax payable.

The Company classifies interest and penalties incurred on tax payments as income tax expenses. During the first six months of 2014, the Company had \$13,600 income tax payments for the 2013 tax year.

### Cash Flow and Liquidity



Our financial position allows us to pursue our strategies of land entitlement, development, farming, and conservation. Accordingly, we have established well-defined priorities for our available cash, including investing in core business segments to achieve profitable future growth. We have historically funded our operations with cash flows from operating activities, cash and investments, and short-term borrowings from our bank credit facilities, and long-term debt tied to revenue producing assets. In the past, we have also issued common stock and used the proceeds for capital investment activities. To enhance shareholder value, we will continue to make investments in our real estate segments to secure land entitlement approvals, build infrastructure for our developments, ensure adequate future water supplies, and provide funds for general land development activities. Within our farming segment, we will make investments as needed to improve efficiency and add capacity to its operations when it is profitable to do so.

Our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaled \$54,742,000 at June 30, 2014, a decrease of \$9,725,000, or 15%, from the corresponding amount at the end of 2013. Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities decreased during the first

six months of 2014 due to property and equipment expenditures that included infrastructure development costs and investment in joint ventures. These investments were partially offset by increased borrowing, cash from operations and net maturities of marketable securities.

The following table shows our cash flow activities for the six months ended June 30:

(in thousands)	2014	2013
Operating activities	\$2,128	\$2,632
Investing activities	\$(18,830	) \$1,281
Financing activities	\$9,569	\$(654

During the first six months of 2014, our operations provided \$2,128,000 of cash primarily attributable to \$3,179,000 in net proceeds from the sale of water and collection of farming accounts receivable, partially offset by a decrease in oil royalties. During the first six months of 2013, our operations provided \$2,632,000 of cash from operating results mainly from improved crop revenues, leasing, and hunting activities, and from the collection of accounts receivable. During the first six months of 2014, investing activities used \$18,830,000 of cash primarily as a result of a \$9,532,000 investment in our unconsolidated joint ventures of which \$8,500,000 was contributed to TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC joint venture and \$1,013,000 was contributed to TMV. Additionally, investing activities used \$11,479,000 in capital expenditures during the first six months of 2014 consisting of \$3,713,000 related to the Grapevine Development Area for entitlement activities, \$3,236,000 of investments in TRCC infrastructure, primarily associated with expansion of water systems and road infrastructure on land at TRCC-East, \$1,377,000 related to investments in water systems as well as crop development and \$1,893,000 related to Centennial Founders LLC for entitlement activities. The remaining capital expenditures consisted of \$960,000 related to ordinary capital expenditures such as farm equipment, property maintenance equipment, and IT equipment replacements. These expenditures were partially offset by net proceeds of \$2,210,000 from the sale and maturity of marketable securities.

During the first six months of 2013, investing activities provided \$1,281,000 of cash primarily as a result of \$14,139,000 in reimbursement proceeds for public infrastructure costs through the communities facilities district, or CFD, which were offset by \$10,653,000 in capital expenditures, described below, \$1,375,000 in contributions to the TMV joint venture, \$778,000 net investment in marketable securities and \$512,000 in reimbursements for outlet center costs incurred prior to the formation of the joint venture. Included in the \$10,653,000 of capital expenditures during the first six months of 2013 was \$1,763,000 related to Centennial Founders LLC. The remaining capital expenditures consisted of \$8,890,000 of investments in TRCC infrastructure, primarily associated with the development of an outlet center on land at TRCC-East and ordinary capital expenditures such as farm equipment replacements and crop development.

We anticipate that the requirements of our capital investment programs for the remainder 2014 when compared to 2013 may increase when compared to the requirements for the second half of 2013. These estimated investments include approximately \$7,900,000 of infrastructure development at TRCC-East. This new infrastructure is to support continued commercial retail and industrial development within TRCC-East and to expand water facilities to support future demand. We are also investing approximately \$1,000,000 to complete development of new grape vineyards and begin removal of old vineyards as a part of a long-term farm management program to redevelop declining orchards and vineyards to maintain and improve future farm revenues. We expect to possibly invest up to an additional \$6,900,000 for land planning and entitlement activities for the Grapevine Development Area. We may potentially invest up to \$5,000,000 throughout the remainder of 2014 in our various joint ventures, including Centennial Founders LLC, Tejon Mountain Village LLC, and TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC. We will continue to add to our current water assets and water infrastructure as opportunities arise to help secure our ability to supply water to our real estate and farming activities and as an investment, since we believe that the cost of water in California will continue to increase and expect to invest up to \$1,000,000 in water assets and infrastructure. We are also planning to invest approximately \$1,000,000 in the replacement of operating equipment, such as farm equipment, and updates to our information technology systems. Subsequent to quarter end the Company entered into a membership purchase agreement to purchase the interest of DMB TMV in TMV LLC for \$70,000,000 in cash. An initial payment of \$10,000,000 in cash was paid on July 15, 2014 with the remaining \$60,000,000 payable by October 13, 2014, unless extended. Please refer to Note 13 (Subsequent Events) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

During the first six months of 2014, financing activities provided \$9,569,000 in cash mainly due to the timing of net borrowings on the Company's line-of-credit. This increase in the line-of-credit was partially offset by the payment of payroll taxes on vested stock grants. At June 30, 2014, there was an outstanding balance of \$10,200,000 on our line-of-credit. During the first six months of 2013, financing activities used \$654,000 in cash, primarily as a result of payroll taxes on issuance of restricted stock grants.

It is difficult to accurately predict cash flows due to the nature of our businesses and fluctuating economic conditions. Our earnings and cash flows will be affected from period to period by the commodity nature of our farming operations, the timing

of sales and leases of property within our development projects, and the beginning of development within our residential projects. The timing of sales and leases within our development projects is difficult to predict due to the time necessary to complete the development process and negotiate sales or lease contracts. Often, the timing aspect of land development can lead to particular years or periods having more or less earnings than comparable periods. Based on our experience, we believe we will have adequate cash flows and funding sources over the next twelve months to fund internal operations.

#### Capital Structure and Financial Condition

At June 30, 2014, total capitalization at book value was \$327,966,000 consisting of \$4,577,000 of long-term debt and \$323,389,000 of equity, resulting in a long term debt-to-total-capitalization ratio of approximately 1.41%. As described below, the Company is in the process of expanding its long-term debt, which will increase the debt-to-total-capitalization ratio in future quarters.

We have a long-term revolving line of credit of \$30,000,000 that, as of June 30, 2014, had an outstanding balance of \$10,200,000. At the Company's option, the interest rate on this line of credit can float at 1.75% over a selected LIBOR rate or can be fixed at 1.50% above LIBOR for a fixed rate term. During the term of this credit facility (which matures in December 2016), we can borrow at any time and partially or wholly repay any outstanding borrowings and then re-borrow, as necessary. Under the terms of the line of credit, we must maintain tangible net worth, defined as total equity, including noncontrolling interest, plus debt less intangible assets, not less than \$225,000,000 and liquid assets of not less than \$25,000,000 including available borrowing on the line of credit. At June 30, 2014, our tangible net worth was \$327,966,000 and liquid assets were \$74,542,000, including the amount then available for borrowing under the line of credit. This line of credit is secured by a portion of our farm acreage. The outstanding long-term debt, less current portion of \$239,000, is \$4,338,000 at June 30, 2014. This debt is being used to provide long-term financing for commercial retail development within TRCC-West.

Our current and future capital resource requirements will be provided primarily from current cash and marketable securities, cash flow from on-going operations, proceeds from the sale of developed and undeveloped parcels, potential sales of assets, additional use of debt, proceeds from the reimbursement of public infrastructure costs through Community Facilities District bond debt (described below under "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements"), and the issuance of common stock. During October 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 that went effective in May 2013. Under the shelf registration statement, we may offer and sell in the future one or more offerings, common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants or any combination of the foregoing. The shelf registration allows for efficient and timely access to capital markets and when combined with our other potential funding sources just noted, provides us with a variety of capital funding options that can then be used and appropriately matched to the funding need.

In connection with the purchase of DMB TMV's interest in TMV the Company is working with its financial banker to structure long-term debt to fully fund the \$70,000,000 purchase price. The Company anticipates that the debt will be secured by assets of the Company. Final negotiations and documentation of the debt facility are not completed at this time. Once the loan agreement has been completed we will provide updated information through a Current Report on Form 8-K.

On August 7, 2013, the Company announced that its Board of Directors declared a dividend of 3,000,000 warrants to purchase shares of Company common stock, par value \$0.50 per share, or Warrants, to holders of record of Common Stock as of August 21, 2013, the Record Date. The Warrants were distributed to shareholders on August 28, 2013. Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$40.00 per share and will be exercisable through August 31, 2016, subject to the Company's right to accelerate the expiration date under certain circumstances when the Warrants are in-the-money. Each holder of Common Stock as of the Record Date received a number of Warrants equal to the number of shares held multiplied by 0.14771, rounded to the nearest whole number. No cash or other consideration was paid in respect of any fractional Warrants that were rounded down. The Company issued the Warrants pursuant to a Warrant Agreement, dated as of August 7, 2013, between the Company, Computershare, Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as warrant agent. Proceeds received from the exercise of the Warrants will be used to provide additional working capital for general corporate purposes, including development activities within the Company's industrial and residential projects and to continue its investments into water assets and water facilities.

As noted above, at June 30, 2014, we had \$54,742,000 in cash and securities and as of the filing date of this Form 10-Q, we have \$19,800,000 available on credit lines to meet any short-term liquidity needs.

We continue to expect that substantial future investments will be required in order to develop our land assets. In order to meet these long-term capital requirements, we may need to secure additional debt financing and continue to renew our existing credit facilities. In addition to debt financing, we will use other capital alternatives such as joint ventures with financial partners, sales of assets, and the issuance of common stock. We will use a combination of the above funding sources to properly match funding requirements with the assets or development project being funded. There is no assurance in the future that we can

obtain financing or that we can obtain financing at favorable terms. We believe we have adequate capital resources to fund our cash needs and our capital investment requirements as described earlier in the cash flow and liquidity discussions.

#### Contractual Cash Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations and commercial commitments as of June 30, 2014, to be paid over the next five years and thereafter:

(In thousands)	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	One Year or Less	Years 2-3	Years 4-5	After 5 Years
<b>CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS:</b>					
Estimated water payments	\$286,248	\$7,765	\$15,942	\$16,517	\$246,024
Cash contract commitments	8,066	5,857	1,138	—	1,071
Defined Benefit Plan	5,001	1,119	702	816	2,364
SERP	6,511	550	1,318	1,403	3,240
Tejon Ranch Conservancy	6,000	400	1,600	1,600	2,400
Interest on fixed rate debt	1,533	190	348	303	692
Line of credit borrowings	10,200	10,200	—	—	—
Long-term debt	4,577	118	499	543	3,417
Financing fees and interest	150	150	—	—	—
Total contractual obligations	\$328,286	\$26,349	\$21,547	\$21,182	\$259,208

The categories above include purchase obligations and other long-term liabilities reflected on our balance sheet under GAAP. A “purchase obligation” is defined in Item 303(a)(5)(ii)(D) of Regulation S-K as “an agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on the registrant that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction.” Based on this definition, the table above includes only those contracts that include fixed or minimum obligations. It does not include normal purchases, which are made in the ordinary course of business.

On July 15, 2014, the Company acquired full ownership of TMV LLC. See Note 13 (Subsequent Events) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail. The \$70,000,000 purchase price is excluded from the Contractual Obligations table above, as the Agreement was consummated after June 30, 2014. Outstanding contractual commitments for TMV LLC at June 30, 2014 were \$398,000.

Our financial obligations to the Tejon Ranch Conservancy are prescribed in the Conservation Agreement. Our advances to the Tejon Ranch Conservancy are dependent on the occurrence of certain events and their timing, and are therefore subject to change in amount and period. The amounts included above are the minimum amounts we anticipate contributing through the year 2021.

As discussed in Note 10 (Retirement Plans) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, we have long-term liabilities for deferred employee compensation, including pension and supplemental retirement plans. Payments in the above table reflect estimates of future defined benefit plan contributions from the Company to the plan trust, estimates of payments to employees from the plan trust, and estimates of future payments to employees from the Company that are in the SERP program. We estimate that we will contribute approximately \$600,000 to the pension plan over the next twelve months.

Our cash contract commitments consist of contracts in various stages of completion related to infrastructure development within our industrial developments and entitlement costs related to our industrial and residential development projects. Also, included in the cash contract commitments are estimated fees earned during the second quarter of 2014 by a consultant, related to the entitlement of the Grapevine Development Area. The Company exited a consulting contract during the second quarter of 2014 related to the Grapevine Development and is obligated to pay an earned incentive fee at the time of successful receipt of project entitlements and at a value measurement date five-years after entitlements have been achieved for Grapevine. The final amount of the incentive fees will not be finalized until the future payment dates. The Company believes that net savings from exiting the contract over this future time period will more than offset the incentive payment costs.

Our operating lease obligations are for office equipment, several vehicles, and a temporary trailer providing office space and average approximately \$25,000 per month. At the present time, we do not have any capital lease obligations or purchase obligations outstanding.

Estimated water payments include SWP contracts with Wheeler Ridge Maricopa Water Storage District, Tejon-Castac Water District, Tulare Water Storage, and Dudley-Ridge Water Storage District. These contracts for the supply of future water run through 2035. The Tulare Water Storage and Dudley-Ridge Water Storage District SWP contracts have now been transferred to AVEK for our use in the Antelope Valley. Future payments related to these contracts will be paid to AVEK beginning in 2014 and future years. In addition, as discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31 2013 in Part I, Item 2 - Properties - Water Operations, in late 2013 we purchased the assignment of a contract to purchase water. The assigned water contract is with Nickel Family, LLC and obligates us to purchase 6,693 acre-feet of water starting in 2014 and running through 2044. Please refer to Note 4 (Long Term Water Assets) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding water assets.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table shows contingent obligations we have with respect to certain bonds issued by the CFD:

(\$ in thousands)	Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period				
	Total	< 1 year	1 -3 Years	4 -5 Years	After 5 Years
<b>OTHER COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS:</b>					
Standby letter of credit	\$5,426	\$5,426	\$—	\$—	\$—
Total other commercial commitments	\$5,426	\$5,426	\$—	\$—	\$—

TRPFFA, is a joint powers authority formed by Kern County and TCWD to finance public infrastructure within the Company's Kern County developments. TRPFFA created two CFDs, the West CFD and the East CFD. The West CFD has placed liens on 420 acres of the Company's land to secure payment of special taxes related to \$28,620,000 of bond debt sold by TRPFFA for TRCC-West. The East CFD has placed liens on 1,931 acres of the Company's land to secure payments of special taxes related to \$39,750,000 of bond debt sold by TRPFFA for TRCC-East. At TRCC-West, the West CFD has no additional bond debt approved for issuance. At TRCC-East, the East CFD has approximately \$80,250,000 of additional bond debt authorized by TRPFFA.

In connection with the sale of bonds there is a standby letter of credit for \$5,426,000 related to the issuance of East CFD bonds. The standby letter of credit is in place to provide additional credit enhancement and cover approximately two years worth of interest on the outstanding bonds. This letter of credit will not be drawn upon unless the Company, as the largest landowner in the CFD, fails to make its property tax payments. As development occurs within TRCC-East there is a mechanism in the bond documents to reduce the amount of the letter of credit. The Company believes that the letter of credit will never be drawn upon. This letter of credit is for a two-year period of time and will be renewed in two-year intervals as necessary. The annual cost related to the letter of credit is approximately \$83,000. The Company maintains investments in joint ventures. The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting unless the venture is a variable interest entity, or VIE, and meets the requirements for consolidation. For a further discussion, please refer to Note 12 (Investment in Unconsolidated and Consolidated Joint Ventures) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company due to adverse changes in financial or commodity market prices or rates. We are exposed to market risk in the areas of interest rates and commodity prices.

##### Financial Market Risks

Our exposure to financial market risks includes changes to interest rates and credit risks related to marketable securities, interest rates related to our outstanding indebtedness and trade receivables.

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields and prudently managing risk. To achieve this objective and limit interest rate exposure, we limit our investments to securities with a maturity of less than five years and an investment grade rating from Moody's or Standard and Poor's. See Note 3 (Marketable Securities) of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our line-of-credit currently has an outstanding balance of \$10,200,000. The interest rate on our line-of-credit can either float with LIBOR or be tied to a specific LIBOR rate on a fixed basis and change only at maturity of the



fixed-rate feature. We are exposed to interest rate risk on our long term debt currently outstanding. The long-term debt of \$4,577,000 has a fixed interest rate of 4.25%, and the fair value of this long-term debt will change based on interest rate movements in the market. The floating rate feature in our line of credit can expose us to variability in interest payments due to changes in interest rates. We believe it is prudent at times to limit the variability of floating-rate interest payments and in the past have entered into interest rate swaps to manage those fluctuations. At June 30, 2014, we had no outstanding interest rate swap agreements.

Market risk related to our farming inventories ultimately depends on the value of almonds, grapes, and pistachios at the time of payment or sale. Credit risk related to our receivables depends upon the financial condition of our customers. Based on

historical experience with our current customers and periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions, we believe our credit risk is minimal. Market risk related to our farming inventories is discussed below in the section pertaining to commodity price exposure.

The following tables provide information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The tables present our debt obligations and marketable securities and their related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates.

#### Interest Rate Sensitivity Financial Market Risks

##### Principal Amount by Expected Maturity

At June 30, 2014

(In thousands except percentage data)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value at June 30, 2014
Assets:								
Marketable securities	\$9,059	\$20,484	\$10,432	\$10,098	\$2,524	\$—	\$52,597	\$52,844
Weighted average interest rate	1.24	% 1.48	% 1.29	% 1.28	% 1.61	% —	% 1.37	%
Liabilities:								
Short-term debt	\$10,200	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$10,200	\$10,200
Long-term debt	\$118	\$244	\$255	\$266	\$277	\$3,417	\$4,577	\$4,577
Short-term debt weighted average interest rate	2.00	% —	% —	% —	% —	% —	% —	%
Long-term debt weighted average interest rate	4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	%

#### Interest Rate Sensitivity Financial Market Risks

##### Principal Amount by Expected Maturity

At December 31, 2013

(In thousands except percentage data)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value 12/31/2013
Assets:								
Marketable securities	\$17,246	\$20,237	\$10,534	\$7,183	\$—	\$—	\$55,200	\$55,436
Weighted average interest rate	1.47	% 1.51	% 1.29	% 1.32	% —	% —	% 1.43	%
Liabilities:								
Long-term debt	\$234	\$244	\$255	\$266	\$277	\$3,417	\$4,693	\$4,693
Weighted average interest rate	4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	% 4.25	%

Our risk with regard to fluctuations in interest rates has decreased slightly related to marketable securities since these balances have decreased compared to the December 31, 2013.

#### Commodity Price Exposure

As of June 30, 2014, we have exposure to adverse price fluctuations associated with certain inventories and accounts receivable. Farming inventories consist of farming cultural and processing costs related to 2013 and 2014 crop production. The farming costs inventoried are recorded at actual costs incurred. Historically, these costs have been recovered each year when that year's crop harvest has been sold.



With respect to accounts receivable, the amount at risk relates primarily to farm crops. These receivables are recorded as estimates of the prices that ultimately will be received for the crops. The final price is generally not known for several months following the close of our fiscal year. Of the \$4,958,000 of accounts receivable outstanding at June 30, 2014, \$1,199,000 or 25%, is at risk to changing prices. Of the amount at risk to changing prices, \$505,000 is attributable to pistachios, and \$694,000 is attributable to almonds. The comparable amount of accounts receivable at risk to price changes at December 31, 2013 was \$5,495,000, or 77% of the total accounts receivable of \$7,108,000. The price estimated for recording accounts receivable for pistachios recorded at June 30, 2014 was \$2.64 per pound, as compared to \$2.51 per pound at December 31, 2013. For each \$.01 change in the price of pistachios, our receivable for pistachios increases or decreases by \$2,000. Although the final price of pistachios (and therefore the extent of the risk) is not presently known, over the last three years prices have ranged from \$1.91 to \$4.07. With respect to almonds, the price estimated for recording the receivable was \$2.70 per pound, as compared to \$2.49 per pound at December 31, 2013. For each \$0.01 change in the price of almonds, our receivable for almonds increases or decreases by \$3,000. The range of final prices over the last three years for almonds has ranged from \$1.71 to \$3.64 per pound.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that all information required in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period required by the rules and regulations of the SEC.

##### (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

##### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Please refer to Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

##### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A or elsewhere in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

##### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

##### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

##### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

##### Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits:

3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation	FN 1
3.2	By-Laws	FN 1
4.1	Form of First Additional Investment Right	FN 2
4.2	Form of Second Additional Investment Right	FN 3
4.3	Registration and Reimbursement Agreement	FN 10
10.1	Water Service Contract with Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District	FN 4
10.5	Petro Travel Plaza Operating Agreement	FN 5
10.7	*Severance Agreement	FN 5
10.8	*Director Compensation Plan	FN 5
10.9	*Amended and Restated Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan	FN 13
10.9(1)	*Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan	FN 5
10.10	*Amended and Restated 1998 Stock Incentive Plan	FN 14
10.10(1)	*Stock Option Agreement Pursuant to the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan	FN 5
10.12	Lease Agreement with Calpine Corp.	FN 6
10.15	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement	FN 7
10.16	Form of Registration Rights Agreement	FN 8
10.17	*2004 Stock Incentive Program	FN 9
10.18	*Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Directors	FN 9
10.19	*Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement	FN 9
10.23	Tejon Mountain Village LLC Operating Agreement	FN 11
10.24	Tejon Ranch Conservation and Land Use Agreement	FN 12
10.25	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Agreement of Centennial Founders, LLC	FN 15
10.26	*Executive Employment Agreement - Allen E. Lyda	FN 16

Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-Q

10.27	Limited Liability Company Agreement of TRCC/Rock Outlet Center LLC	FN 17
10.28	Warrant Agreement	FN 18
10.29	Amendments to Limited Liability Company Agreement of Tejon Mountain Village LLC	FN 19
10.30	Membership Interest Purchase Agreement - TMV LLC	FN 20
31.1	Certification as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.	Filed herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.	Filed herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.	Filed herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.	Filed herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.	Filed herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.	Filed herewith
*	Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.	
FN 1	This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1987, is incorporated herein by reference.	
FN 2	This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.3 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.	
FN 3	This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number I-7183) as Exhibit 4.4 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.	
FN 4	This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 1994, is incorporated herein by reference.	



Edgar Filing: TEJON RANCH CO - Form 10-Q

- FN 5 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the period ending December 31, 1997, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 6 This document filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 14 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 7 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 8 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 9 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 15 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 10 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2005, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 11 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 10.24 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2006, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 12 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 10.28 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 23, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 13 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 10.9 to our Annual Report on form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 14 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) as Exhibit 10.10 to our Annual Report on form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 15 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 6 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2009, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 16 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 6 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2013, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 17 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 10.27 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2013, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 18 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 8, 2013, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 19 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 10.29 to our Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2013, is incorporated herein by reference.
- FN 20 This document, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. (file number 1-7183) under Item 10.30 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 16, 2014, is incorporated herein by reference.





SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEJON RANCH CO.  
(The Company)

August 7, 2014  
DATE

BY /s/ Allen E. Lyda  
Allen E. Lyda  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer